Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

41(2): 1-7, 2019; Article no.JEAI.51673
ISSN: 2457-0591
(Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Genetic Manipulation and Product Shelf Life: Is There a Connection? A Developing World Perspective

Michael T. Masarirambi[1*], Kwanele A. Nxumalo[1], Diana M. Earnshaw[2], Patricia J. Musi[3] and Bonginkhosi E. Dlamini[2]

[1]Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Eswatini, PO Luyengo, Luyengo M205, Kingdom of Eswatini.
[2]Department of Crop Production, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Eswatini, PO Luyengo, Luyengo M205, Kingdom of Eswatini.
[3]Department of Consumer Science Education and Community Development, Faculty of Consumer Sciences, University of Eswatini, PO Luyengo, Luyengo M205, Kingdom of Eswatini.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors MTM, DME and KAN designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors PJM and BED managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2019/v41i230394
Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Bishun Deo Prasad, Assistant Professor, Department of Molecular Biology & Genetic Engineering, Bihar Agriculture College, Sabour, Bihar Agricultural University, Bihar, India.
Reviewers:
(1) Raj K. Lal, Central Institue of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, India.
(2) Joseph Adjebeng-Danquah, CSIR-Savanna Agricultural Research Institute, Ghana.
(3) Grace O. Tona, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51673

Mini-review Article

Received 25 July 2019
Accepted 01 October 2019
Published 14 October 2019

ABSTRACT

Genetic manipulation of plants is the science where there is deliberate modification of the genetic make up of plants. Such plants are referred to as genetically modified (GM) organisms. The practice of genetic manipulation of organisms has been practiced since ancient times, it is only that nowadays there is use of advanced technologies. However there are critics of GM technologies which include organic farmers, religious groups, environmentalists, trade protectionists, some politicians, some naturalists and African traditionalists. Some of the fears pertain to potential toxicity, allergenicity, possible antibiotic resistance, carcinogenicity and possible genetic contamination of other crops and wild flora. Other concerns include possible creation of new

**Corresponding author: E-mail: mike@uniswa.sz*

viruses, restriction of seed availability and fear of the unknown. On the other hand there are benefits which may outweigh the disadvantages of GM technology. Benefits include improvement of shelf life of fruits and vegetables and their nutritional content. The aim of this study (review) was to explore the link between genetic manipulation and product shelf life of fruits and vegetables in a developing world perspective.

Keywords: Genetic engineering; plant breeding; risks; benefits; shelf life; fruits and vegetables; African traditionalists; food security.

1. INTRODUCTION

Genetic engineering is described as the science and art whereby the characteristics of an organism are deliberately modified by the manipulation of the genetic material, especially DNA and transformation of certain genes to create new variations of life [1,2,3].

The recombinant DNA technique is whereby DNA is manipulated in various ways and transferred from one organism to another. Transgenic organisms are programmed to manufacture substances such as monoclonal antibodies, hormones, nutrients, enzymes and various pharmaceutical products like vaccines and drugs [4,5,1]. Cloning of organisms which include plants, bacteria, fish and livestock has been done. The potential for gene splicing techniques, gene editing and other biotechnological procedures [2]. such as cloning have been compared in popular press with the discovery of fire, invention of the printing press and splitting of the atom [1].

Plant biotechnology involves the manipulation of biological systems to solve problems in industry and agriculture, fundamental techniques that affect various aspects of the regulation of gene expression [6]. Many food plants have been genetically engineered for various purposes. Some fruits and vegetables have been genetically manipulated to affect product shelf life [7,3].

2. IMPORTANCE OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Plants are an important source of energy in developing countries and developed countries. Fruits and vegetables are important for their nutritional contribution as major sources of vitamins and minerals and for fibre provision and hence ensure a balanced diet [8,9]. Broccoli and cauliflower are prime examples of vegetables that have gained dramatically in popularity due to evidence from the American Cancer Society that including them in a high-fiber, low-fat diet may reduce the risk of cancer [9]. Fruits and vegetables are generally poor sources of protein because of low content exceptions are legumes. Men and animals are supplied by plants with nine essential amino acids which animals cannot synthesize. The nine essential amino acids are histidine, leucine, isoleucine, lysine, methionine, threonine, valine, tryptophan and phenylalanine [10]. Fruits and vegetables are generally not high in fats or oils, exceptions are oil seeds. Fats are an energy source and are required for the formation of protective layers. The good thing about vegetable oils is that they are unsaturated oils (containing at least a double bond in the carbon chain) which are good for the body especially the heart and cardio-vascular system.

Vitamins are the major contribution of fruits and vegetables to the human diet. Vitamin A- from β-carotene provided for by orange coloured fruits and vegetables, Vitamin A is important for proper eye function. Vitamin K, B complex and vitamin C obtained from fruits and vegetables are very important for proper body function [11]. Fruits and vegetables are major sources of minerals eg. calcium and phosphorus- many fruits and vegetables are good sources while leafy vegetables are good sources of iron. In fact fruits and vegetables play an aesthetic role, providing interesting colour, texture and variety in food.

3. HISTORY OF GENETIC MANIPULATION OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Genetic manipulation of plants by man in order to obtain desired or eliminate undesired attributes has been practiced since pre-historic times. Genetic engineering has been practiced by resourceful farmers who bred plants and animals to obtain certain attributes, by gathering and planting seeds of fatter grains and by cross-fertilizing different species of plants to create new varieties that exhibit the most desirable characteristics of the parent plants [12,1]. Traditional plant breeding was largely by chance (imprecise), slow and it took a long time to

produce a valuable variety [2]. In 1967 a new potato variety called Lenape potato was bred for its high solids content which made it more suitable for making potato chips [1]. However it was withdrawn by the USDA after it was found to be prone to development of an alkaloid (toxin) called solanine. In this case biotechnology proved that it can lead to unexpected negative outcomes.

In the 1980s researchers in West Germany (Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding), Belgium and in the United States (Monsanto Corporation) found a method of creating transgenic plants using a pathogenic bacterium (*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) [13,14,1]. Many traits were introduced into other plants [15] including slow ripening of tomatoes [1].

4. POTENTIAL RISKS OF GM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

There are some potential risks of GM foods including GM fruits and vegetables. The critics of genetically manipulated foods have concerns, not only for safety, toxicity, allergernicity, carcinogenicity and altered nutritional quality of foods, but also for the environment (Table 1.) as Eswatini strives to achieve sustainable development goals (SDGS).

5. BENEFITS OF GM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

There are several benefits of GM foods including GM fruits and vegetables. Supporters of the genetic manipulation of foods cite increased

Table 1. Potential risks or concerns from use of GM foods.

Risks or Concerns
Alteration in nutritional quality of foods
Antibiotic resistance
Potential toxicity from GM foods
Potential allergenicity from GM foods
Unintentional gene transfer to wild plants
Possible creation of new viruses and toxins
Limited access to seeds through patenting of GM food plants
Threat to crop genetic diversity
Religious/cultural/ethical concerns
Concerns of animal rights groups
Concerns of organic and traditional farmers
Fear of the unknown

Source: [1]

Table 2. Potential benefits from GM technology

Benefits of GM technology
Increase in food availability
Improved shelf life and organoleptic quality of foods
Improvement in nutritional quality and healthy benefits
Improved protein quality
Increase in food carbohydrate content
Improvement in quantity and quality of meat, milk and livestock
Increased crop yield.
Manufacture of edible vaccines and drugs
Biological defense against diseases, stresses, pests, weeds, herbicides and viruses.
Bioremediation
Positive effect on farming/food product
Protection of the environment
GM crops function as bio-factories and source of industrial raw materials

Source: [1]

Table 3. Scientific evidence for observed health benefits of antioxidant vitamins in chronic disease

Disease	Vitamin C	Vitamin E	B-carotene
Cardiovascular disease	+	+++	+
Cancer	++	++	+
Cataracts	++	++	++
Immune function	++	+++	++
Arthritis	+	+	+
Alzheimer's diseases	-	++	-

Source: [22]; -Little or no evidence of relationship; + zsome evidence of relationship; ++ Good evidence of relationship; +++ Excellent evidence of relationship

year-round availability, extended shelf life and improved nutritional quality as some of the reasons (Table 2) why they encourage the new science which will benefit farmers, consumers and the environment [1].

6. EXTENDED FRUIT AND VEGETABLE SHELF LIFE AND ORGANOLEPTIC QUALITY

The GM technology has led to extended shelf life and improved organoleptic quality of some commodities including fruits and vegetables. Calgene Corporation of California produced the first genetically engineered tomato crop which was approved by the FDA [16,17,1]. Flavar Savar tomato had its genes manipulated so that it can ripen on the vine and have a relatively longer shelf life with delayed softening and rotting processes. Ethylene (C_2H_4) control technologies may be used like suppression of gene expression of ethylene synthesis or inhibition of ethylene perception. The inhibitor of ethylene perception, 1 methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) is the basis of a new technology that is increasingly being used to improve storage potential and maintain quality of fruit and vegetables. It is registered for use on a number of crops including apricot, apple, banana, avocado, kiwi fruit, broccoli, mango, pear, peach, melon, persimmon, nectarine, tomato and plums [18,19]. Genetic suppression of cell wall degrading enzymes may be used i.e.pectin methylesterase (PME) and polygalacturonase (PG) leading to longer shelf life, better handling and shipping properties [20]. The slow or delayed ripening characteristics can be replicated in other crops like strawberry, raspberry and pine-apple and can extend the crops shelf life [1]. Good shipping and handling properties will also benefit farmers and consumers in developing countries like those in Southern Africa where refrigeration is unreliable, expensive and transportation network is rudimentary [21].

7. IMPROVED NUTRITIONAL QUALITY AND HEALTH BENEFITS

Genetic manipulation may help increase levels of minerals and naturally occurring anti-oxidants (Table 3).

Vitamins (flavonoids, carotenoids, vitamin A, C and E), compounds that can shut down or slow biological oxidation, a damaging chemical reaction, that appears to promote the development of some cancers, blindness and heart diseases [22,23,24].

8. GM TECHNOLOGY AND POST-HARVEST CROP PROTECTION

After harvest fresh fruits and vegetables which are still alive face challenges including disease infections and insect pest attack. Disease caused by pathogenic fungi and bacteria infect fruits and vegetables in a physiologically weakened state [25,26,27,28,29,30]. GM technologies which interfere with C_2H_4 production, perception and action delays senescence and thus keep harvested fruits and vegetables in a state not prone to attack by opportunistic pathogens hence prolonged shelf life. Healthy harvested fruits and vegetables are prone to attack by post-harvest insect pest like the fruit fly and weevils which are attracted to the ripening fruits by the release of ripening stimulants [31,32,33]. The GM technologies reduce activities associated with cell wall degrading enzymes which prevent harvested fruits and vegetables from attack by opportunistic diseases and insect pests. The integrity of cell walls of harvested commodities is maintained relatively for some time. The cell wall degrading enzymes of importance include pectin methyl esterase (PME) and polygalacturonase (PG) which act on pectin and polygalacturonic acid polymer units, respectively. Keeping the cell walls relatively inactive by suppression of gene expression of cell wall degrading enzymes

[16,7,3] keeps the cell walls relatively intact and subsequently act as the first line of defense of the harvested fruits and vegetables against post-harvest opportunistic pathogens and insect pests. In this way the use of post-harvest pesticides is avoided and this is not only good for human health but also for the environment [34].

9. IMPLICATIONS TO FOOD SECURITY

Food security, as defined by the United Nations' Committee on World Food Security, means that all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food that meets their food preferences and dietary needs for an active and healthy life [35]. There is need for adaptation strategies to achieve nutritional and food security in countries of the developing world including those of the Southern African Development Community (SADC). Over the coming decades, a changing climate, growing global population, rising food prices, and environmental stressors will have significant yet uncertain impacts on food security. Adaptation strategies and policy responses to global change, including options for handling water allocation, land use patterns, food trade, postharvest food processing, and food prices and safety are urgently needed [36]. Food security/insecurity issues are crucial. For example food insecurity is now recognized as a major health crisis in the United States of America [37]. There is need to build momentum in SADC for a future launching of a regional multi-stakeholder hunger-free initiative for Southern Africa that builds upon existing policies and programmes and amplifies the current political commitment [36].

By manipulating C_2H_4 control technologies and suppression of cell degrading enzymes PME and PG the shelf life of fruits and vegetables can be extended. When the shelf life of fruits and vegetables is extended it means their availability in the post-harvest handling chain is improved up to market and consumption and thus subsequent improved food security. With improved food security SADCs efforts of attaining SDGs are accelerated.

10. CONCLUSION

It appears that there are overally more benefits of use of GM technologies than perceived adverse effects in fruits and vegetables pertaining to extended shelf life. The use of C_2H_4 control technologies and suppression of gene expression of cell wall degrading enzymes PME and PG has potential post-harvest benefits environmentally, physiologically, pathologically and entomologically. Extended shelf life is an added benefit in terms of improving nutritional and food security towards achieving SDGs.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Uzogara SG. The impact of genetic modification of human foods in the 21st century: A review. Biotechnol Advances. 2000;(18):179-206.
2. Tazeb A. Molecular marker techniques and their novel applications in crop improvement: A review article. Global J Molecular Sci. 2018;13(1):01-16.
3. O'Donoghue EM, King G. Biotechnology: Potential for the future. In: Bartz JA, Brecht JK (Eds.) Postharvest physiology and pathology of vegetables. Second Edition, Revised and Expanded. Marcel Dekker, inc, New York, NY. 2003;437-456:10016.
4. Brown KS. Prescription: One plant please. Bioscience. 1996;46(2):82.
5. Campbell POQ. Super foods: Agricultural products and genetic engineering. Biology Digest. 1996;1(23):10–7.
6. Kung SD, Arntzen CJ. (Eds). Plant biotechnology. Elsevier; 1989.
7. Seymour GB, Manning K. Genetic control of fruit ripening. In: Knee M (Ed.). Fruit quality and its biological basis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 33431, U.S.A. 2002;253-269.
8. Cook L. The dynamic US fresh produce industry: An overview. In: Kader AA (Ed). Postharvest technology of Horticltural crops. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication. 1992;109-116.3311.
9. Kader AA. Fruits in the global market. In: Knee M (Ed.). Fruit quality and its biological basis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 33431, U.S.A. 2002;180-207.
10. Reeds PJ. Dispensable and indispensable amino acids for humans. J Nutrition. 2000;130(7):1835S-1840S. Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.7.1835S
11. Zhuang H, Barth MM. The physiological roles of vitamins in vegetables. In: Bartz

JA, Brecht JK. (Eds.) Postharvest physiology and pathology of vegetables. Second Edition, revised and expanded. Marcel Dekker, inc, New York, NY 10016. 2003:519-541.

12. Schardt D. Brave new foods (genetically engineered foods). Amer Health. 1994; 13(1):60.
13. Fraley RT, Rogers SG, Horsch RB, Sanders PR, Rick JS, Adams SP, Bittner ML, Brand LA, Fink CL, Fry JS, Gallupi GR, Goldberg SB, Hoffman NL, Woo SC. Expression of bacterial genes in plant cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1983;80: 4803–7.
14. Zambrynski P, Joos H, Genetello C, Leemans J, Van Montagu M, Schell J. Ti-plasmid vector for the introduction of DNA into plant cells without alteration of their normal regeneration capacity. Embo J. 1983;2:2143–50.
15. Hinchee MAW, Connor-Ward DV, Newell CA, McDonnel RE, Sato SJ, Gasser CS, Fischhoff DA, Re DB, Fraley RT, Horsch RB. Production of transgenic soybean plants during Agrobacterium-mediated DNA transfer. Bio/Technology. 1988;53(5): 50–3.
16. Redenbaugh K, Berner T, Emlay D, Frankos B, Haitt W, Houck C, Kramer M, Malyj L, Martineau B, Rachmann N, Rudenko L, Sanders R, Sheey R, Wixtrom R. Regulatory issues for commercialization of tomatoes with anti-sense poly-galaturonase gene. *In vitro* Cell Dev Biol. 1993;29:17–26.
17. Walters DKH. First genetically altered food approved by the FDA. (Food and drug administration approves Cal-gene's "Flavr Savr" tomato). The Los Angeles Times. 1994;113:A1.
18. Watkins CB. Overview of 1-methyl-ycloprοpene trials and uses for edible horticultural crops. Hortscience. 2008;(43): 86-94.
19. Watkins CB. Ethylene synthesis, mode of action, consequences and control. In: Knee M (Ed.). Fruit quality and its biological basis. CRC Press, B oca Raton, FL 33431, U.S.A. 2002;180-207.
20. BIO. Member survey. Biotechnology Industry Organization; 1998. Available:WWW.BIO.Org
21. Phillips SC. Genetically engineered foods: Do they pose health and environmental hazards? CQ Researcher. 1994;4(29):673-96.
22. Elliot IG. Application of antioxidant vitamins in foods and beverages. Food Technology. 1999;53(2):46-8.
23. Ames BN. Micronutrients prevent cancer and delay aging. Toxicology Letters. 1998; 103:5-18.
24. Smaglik P. Food as medicine: Nutritionists, chimciano disagree on role of chemo-preventative supplements. The Scientist. 1999;13(11):14.
25. Sommer, NF. Principles of diseases suppression by handling practices. In: Kader AA (Ed). Postharvest technology of horticltural crops. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication. 1992;3311:109-116.
26. Sugar D. Management of postharvest diseases. In: Knee M. (Ed.). Fruit quality and its biological basis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 33431, U.S.A. 2002;225-245.
27. Korsten L, Wehner FC. Fungi. In: Bartz JA, Brecht JK. (Eds.) Postharvest physiology and pathology of vegetables. Second Edition, Revised and Expanded. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, NY 10016. 2003; 485-518.
28. Bartz JA, Wei CI. The influence of bacteria. In: Bartz JA, Brecht JK. (Eds.) Postharvest physiology and pathology of vegetables. Second Edition, Revised and Expanded. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, NY 10016. 2003;519-541.
29. Sommer NF, Fortlage RJ, Edwards DC. Postharvest diseases of selected commodities. In: Kader AA. (Ed). Postharvest technology of horticltural crops. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication. 1992;3311:117-160.
30. Okonji RE, Itakorode BO, Ovumedia JO, Adeji OS. Purification and biochemical characterization of pectinase produced by *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolated from soil of decomposing plant materials. J App Biotech. 2019;7(03):1-8.
31. Mitchel GF, Kader AA. Postharvest treatments for insect control. In: Kader AA (Ed). Postharvest technology of horticultural crops. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication. 1992;3311;161-173.
32. Martinson HM, Venugopal PD, Bergmann EJ, Shrewsbury PM, Raupp MJ. Fruit

availability influences the seasonal abundance of invasive stink bugs in ornamental tree nurseries. J. Pest Sci; 2015.
DOI: 10.1007/s10340-015-0677-8

33. Martínez NB, Riquelme CPI, Bautista EL. Moreno LJV and CJG. Presence of drosophilidae (Diptera: Ephydroidea) flies associated with fig fruits in morelos, Mexico. Florida Entomological Society 2017;100(4):813-816.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1653/024.100.0409

34. Masarirambi MT, Tevera DS, Zwane PE, Mhazo ML, Mhazo N. Pesticide utilization and associated human health risks, safety and the biophysical environment in Swaziland. In: Socio-economic Development and the Environment in Swaziland. Tevera DS, Matondo JI. (Eds.) Geography, Environmental Science and Planning Department (GEP), University of Swaziland, Kwaluseni, Swaziland. 2010; 114-129.

35. Food Security | IFPRI (2019): International Food Policy Research Institute; 2019.
Available:www.ifpri.org/topic/food-security
[Accessed 21/08/2019]

36. FAO. Support to country-level learning from actions for food security in Southern Africa.
Available:http://www.fao.org/in-action/support-country-level-food-security-sadc/en/

37. Gundersen C, Zalik PJ. Food insecurity research in the United States: Where we have been and where we need to go. Applied Econ Perspectives Policy. 2018; 40(1):119–135.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/aepp/ppx058

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51673