

Journal of Cancer and Tumor International

Volume 14, Issue 3, Page 16-23, 2024; Article no.JCTI.118007 ISSN: 2454-7360

Study of Epidemiological Patterns and Clinical Profiles of Breast Cancer in Young Patient: A Retrospective Study at Dr. Moewardi Surakarta

Maria Goretti Novianty ^{a*} and Widyanti Soewoto ^a

^a Department of Surgery, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jcti/2024/v14i3257

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118007

Original Research Article

Received: 23/04/2024 Accepted: 26/06/2024 Published: 29/06/2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Breast cancer remains a major health problem and a top priority for biomedical research. The incidence of this aggressive disease is around 1,700,000 new cases each year; This figure shows the low level of efforts to prevent this disease. About 12% of breast cancer cases occur in women aged ≥45 years. Unlike breast cancer in older women and the incidence of metastatic breast cancer has significantly increased in younger women over the past 30 years.

Aims: This study aims to identify the characteristics of risk factors on young women with breast cancer patients.

Study Design: This study was a retrospective descriptive study, with 182 subjects of young women breast cancer patient at the Department of Oncology, RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta in 2021 - 2023.

Place and Duration of Study: Sample: Department of Oncology, RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta in 2021 - 2023.

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: mg.novianty@gmail.com;

Cite as: Novianty, Maria Goretti, and Widyanti Soewoto. 2024. "Study of Epidemiological Patterns and Clinical Profiles of Breast Cancer in Young Patient: A Retrospective Study at Dr. Moewardi Surakarta". Journal of Cancer and Tumor International 14 (3):16-23. https://doi.org/10.9734/jcti/2024/v14i3257.

Methodology: This study was a retrospective descriptive study, with 182 subjects of young women breast cancer patient at the Department of Oncology, RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta in 2021 - 2023. The study will be conducted using medical record of the hospital.

Results: There were some risk factors that we found. There were young age at diagnosis, nulliparity, family history of malignancies, breastfeeding, hormonal contraception, children count, and age at first pregnancy.

Conclusion: This study concludes some risk factors of breast cancer in young women.

Keywords: Breast cancer; young women; risk factors; demography.

1. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed malignancy in women. Most cases of breast cancer arise due to abnormal cell growth in the mammary gland ducts (50-70%) or mammary gland lobules (10-15%). This is what makes this tumor called adenocarcinoma. A small percentage of breast tumors are sarcomas, these tumors originate from stromal cells or muscle cells. Other types and subtypes of breast cancer are less common [1].

Breast cancer is an invasive cancer that often occurs in women throughout the world. According to GLOBOCAN, in 2020 female breast cancer surpassed lung cancer as the most frequently diagnosed cancer with an estimated 2.3 million new cases (11.7%). Breast cancer mortality rates are much higher in developing countries than in developed countries (15.0 vs. 12.8 /100,000). In women, breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer death followed by colorectal cancer (incidence) and lung (mortality rate) [2].

Most of the breasts consist of glandular tissue (which produces milk) and fat. However, the ratio of glandular tissue to fat varies between individuals. Breasts are greatly influenced by the hormone estrogen. As sex menopause approaches, estrogen levels decrease which also reduces the amount of glandular tissue. The pectoralis major muscle forms the base of the breast extending from the second to sixth ribs in early human life, but can extend below the sixth rib as the breast matures and hangs down. The breasts are attached to the pectoralis major fascia by Cooper's ligaments but these ligaments are flexible and allow movement in the breasts in most women. Cooper's ligaments become stretched over time and age eventually resulting in ptotic breasts due to the gravity of the lower breast being fuller than the upper. On the lateral edge of the breast Spence's tail extends to the axilla. The nipple is usually located just above the inframammary crease and is consistently found parallel along the mid-clavicular line and fourth rib [3].

Breast cancer is one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers in adolescent and young adult women aged <40 years. Currently, breast cancer in adolescent and young adult women accounts for approximately 7% of all breast cancer diagnoses in all age groups. Younger age at menarche and older age at menopause increase the risk of breast cancer. The risk of breast cancer is approximately 20% higher among girls who start menstruating before age 11 compared with those who start menstruating at age 13.

Childbearing at a relatively older age, smaller family size, short duration of breastfeeding, and use of hormonal contraception have been associated with an increased risk of breast cancer. In contrast, women who had their first child at a younger age and had more children were associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer.[4,5]

Global gene expression profiling studies classify breast cancer into 5 intrinsic subtitles with hierarchical clustering; namely luminal a, luminal b, overexpression of HER 2, breast cancer such as basal cells (BLBC), and tumors that resemble normal conditions. Many factors can determine the prognosis, one of which is the morphological description of tumor cells, namely the histology type of the tumor. The medullary and mucinous types show a better prognosis than the ductal type. 85% of the ductal type have a poor prognosis. Nuclear grading is determined from points 1 2 and 3, namely tubular appearance, nuclear pleomorphism, and nuclear to cystoplasm ratio. Signs of infiltration of the lymphoid vessels also determine a poor prognosis [6,7].

Young women with breast cancer tend to have more aggressive disease than older women and have a lower survival rate. These include hiaher estroaen receptors. hiaher histopathological grade, and more negative subtypes (38%) compared to older age (26%). Low outcomes are found in young breast cancer due to the high clinical stage at diagnosis, with larger tumor size, and more positive axillary lymph nodes. From several studies, it has been concluded that young breast cancer has a higher recurrence rate with shorter Disease Free Survival (DFS) and Overall Survival (OS) than older breast cancer [8].

The purpose of this study is to investigate the unique characteristics and potential risk factors affecting young women diagnosed with breast cancer. By delving into this demographic subset, the research aims to uncover specific factors that contribute to the development mav or progression of breast cancer in young women. Through comprehensive analysis, including factors such as genetic predisposition, lifestyle choices, environmental influences, and hormonal factors, the study seeks to provide valuable insights into understanding the distinct profile of breast cancer among young women. Ultimately, the findings aim to inform more targeted preventive measures, early detection strategies, and personalized treatment approaches tailored to the needs of this vulnerable population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research is a descriptive study with a retrospective approach to describe the profile of breast cancer in young women at RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta. Employing a purposive sampling technique, subjects fulfilling the predetermined inclusion criteria will be selected within a specified timeframe to ensure an adequate sample size. The study will encompass all young women diagnosed with breast cancer at Dr. Moewardi Regional Hospital between 2021

and 2023, ensuring that patients have received informed consent before their inclusion in the study. Relevant data about risk factors will be extracted from patients' medical records for analysis.



Fig. 1. The adopted Methodological Framework

Inclusion Criteria:

Young women: aged ≤40 years Complete patient data Histopathology results can be tracked

Exclusion Criteria: Incomplete data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study involved young female patients (aged ≤40 years) who were diagnosed with breast cancer and were treated at the Department of Surgical Oncology, RSUD Dr. Moewardi Surakarta. The total research sample was 182 patients. The research was carried out using a retrospective method, by exploring risk factor data from medical records at RSUD Dr. Moewardi.

Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Characteristics | Frequency) and percentage (%) Mean ± SD |
|---------------------------|---|
| Patient Age | (n = 182) |
| | 36.18 ± 3.918 |
| <30 years | 16 (8.8%) |
| ≥30 years | 166 (91.2%) |
| Age at Diagnosis | 33.23± 3.73 |
| 30 – 40 years old (young) | 148 (81.3%) |
| <30 years (very young) | 34 (18.7%) |

Novianty and Soewoto; J. Can. Tumor Int., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 16-23, 2024; Article no.JCTI.118007

| Characteristics | Frequency) and percentage (%) Mean ± SD |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Married Age | 22.29 ± 6.126 |
| Not married yet | 9 (4.9%) |
| ≤20 years | 42 (23.1%) |
| 21-30 years old | 130 (71.4%) |
| >30 years | 1 (0.5%) |
| Age at First Pregnancy | 24.35 ± 4.142 |
| Never been pregnant | 17 (9.3%) |
| ≤20 years | 29 (15.9%) |
| 21-30 years old | 125 (68.7%) |
| >30 years | 11 (6%) |
| Family History with Ca Mammae | |
| There isn't any | 138 (75.8%) |
| There is | 44 (24.2%) |
| Breast-feed | |
| Yes | 143 (78.6%) |
| No | 39 (21.4%) |
| Contraception | |
| No contraception | 37 (20.3%) |
| Nonhormonal | 15 (8.2%) |
| Hormonal | 130 (71.4%) |
| Duration of Contraception | |
| < 10 years | 138 (75.8%) |
| ≥ 10 years | 7 (3.8%) |
| No contraception | 37 (20.3%) |
| Number of children | |
| Have no children | 23 (12.6%) |
| 1-2 children | 124 (68.1%) |
| ≥3 children | 35 (19.2%) |
| Disease Stage | |
| EBC | 43 (23.6%) |
| LABC | 80 (44%) |
| MBC | 59 (32.4%) |
| | Source: Primary data |

Source: Primary data

From the data collection carried out, we obtained the depiction of young woman who was diagnosed with breast cancer at RSUD Dr. Moewardi during the period 2021 - 2023 there were 182 patients. Of the total 182 patients, 34 (18.7%) were categorized as very young (<30 years) at diagnosis. The average patient age in general was 36.18 ± 3.918 with the average patient age at diagnosis being 33.23 ± 3.73. The youngest age at diagnosis was 25 years, and the oldest age was 39 years.

A total of 75 (41.2%) patients were diagnosed when they were <40 years old. A total of 9 (4.9%) patients were never married, and 17 (9.3%) patients had never been pregnant. A total of 44 (24.2%) patients had a family history of breast cancer. Of a total of 182 patients, it was found that 39 (21.4%) patients had never breastfed. A total of 37 (20.3%) patients had never used contraception, and 130 (71.4%) patients used hormonal contraception. The

duration of hormonal contraceptive use was more commonly found to be less than 10 years, namely in 138 (75.8%) patients. Patients who did not have children were 23 (12.6%).

Data on the distribution of disease stages illustrates the predominance of the Locally Advanced Breast Cancer (LABC) stage at 80 (44%), followed by the Metastatic Breast Cancer (MBC) category at 59 (32.4%) and the Early Breast Cancer (EBC) stage at 43 (23.6%).

To determine the characteristics of the stage of the disease, a cross-tabulation examination was carried out between the characteristics of age at diagnosis, marriage, pregnancy, breastfeeding history, contraceptive history, family history, and number of children. From this examination, it was found that 40 (22%) patients were in the EBC stage, with the highest number being in the LABC stage, 65 (35.7%) in the age range of 30-40 years. In the more severe stage, there were 43 (23.6%) patients aged 30-40 years.

| Tumor | Metastasis | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---|------------|--|
| Characteristics | Not metastatic | Local and Regional Infiltration Distant | Metastasis | |
| Histology | | | | |
| ductal | 21 (11.5%) | 61 (33.5%) | 56 (30.8%) | |
| lobular | 16 (8.8%) | 14 (7.7%) | 4 (2.2%) | |
| other | 5 (2.7%) | 4 (2.2%) | 1 (0.5%) | |
| Luminal | | | | |
| Luminal B | 19 (10.4%) | 42 (23.1%) | 19 (10.4%) | |
| Luminal A | 19 (10.4%) | 8 (4.4%) | 5 (2.7%) | |
| TNBC | 0 | 8 (4.4%) | 19 (10.4%) | |
| HER2 | 4 (2.2%) | 21 (11.5%) | 18 (9.9%) | |
| | | Source: Primary data | | |

| | Table | 2. | Tumor | characteristics |
|--|-------|----|-------|-----------------|
|--|-------|----|-------|-----------------|

Table 3. Tumor stages based on patient characteristics

| Tumor Characteristics | Stage | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | EBC | LABC | EBC |
| Age at Diagnosis | | | |
| 30 – 40 years old (young) | 40 (22%) | 65 (35.7%) | 43 (23.6) |
| <30 years (very young) | 3 (1.6%) | 15 (8.2%) | 16 (8.8%) |
| Married Age | | | |
| Not married yet | 1 (0.5%) | 2 (1.1%) | 6 (3.3%) |
| ≤20 years | 10 (5.5%) | 19 (10.4%) | 13 (7.1%) |
| 21-30 years old | 32 (17.6%) | 58 (32.4%) | 40 (21.4%) |
| >30 years | 0 | 1 (0.5%) | 0 |
| Age at First Pregnancy | | | |
| Never been pregnant | 2 (1.1%) | 4 (2.2%) | 11 (6%) |
| ≤20 years | 6 (3.3%) | 13 (7.1%) | 10 (5.5%) |
| 21-30 years old | 33 (18.1%) | 58 (31.9%) | 34 (18.7%) |
| >30 years | 2 (1.1%) | 5 (2.7%) | 4 (2.2%) |
| Family History with Ca Mamm | ae | | |
| There isn't any | 40 (22%) | 64 (35.2%) | 34 (18.7%) |
| There is | 3 (1.6%) | 16 (8.8%) | 25 (24.2%) |
| Breast-feed | | | |
| Yes | 38 (20.9%) | 68 (37.4%) | 37 (20.3%) |
| No | 5 (2.7%) | 12 (6.6%) | 22 (12.1%) |
| Contraception | | | |
| No contraception | 4 (2.2%) | 14 (7.7%) | 19 (10.4%) |
| Nonhormonal | 5 (2.7%) | 7 (3.8%) | 3 (1.6%) |
| Hormonal | 34 (18.7%) | 59 (32.4%) | 37 (20.3%) |
| Duration of Contraception | | | |
| < 10 years | 36 (19.8%) | 63 (34.6%) | 39 (21.9%) |
| ≥ 10 years | 3 (1.6%) | 3 (1.6%) | 1 (0.5%) |
| No contraception | 4 (2.2%) | 14 (7.7%) | 19 (10.4%) |
| Number of children | | | |
| Have no children | 2 (1.1%) | 6 (3.3%) | 15 (8.2%) |
| 1-2 children | 26 (14.3%) | 60 (33%) | 38 (20.9%) |
| ≥3 children | 15 (8.2%) | 14 (7.7%) | 35 (19.2%) |

Sumber: Data primer

In general, the age range 21-30 has the highest percentage of all stages of the disease. The highest number of married-age figures with the MBC stage was found at 34 (18.7%) and at the LABC stage, it was 58 (32.4%). Meanwhile, the rate at first pregnancy

experienced a similar thing, with the highest rate at the LABC stage at 58 (31.9%) and at the MBC stage at 34 (18.7%). In the interim conclusion, the early age of marriage and first pregnancy are at risk of experiencing a higher stage.

| Tumor Characteristics | | Stage | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|
| | EBC | LABC | MBC | |
| Histology | | | | |
| ductal | 22 (12.1%) | 61 (33.5%) | 55 (30.2%) | |
| lobular | 16 (8.8%) | 15 (8.2%) | 3 (1.6%) | |
| other | 5 (2.7%) | 4 (2.2%) | 1 (0.5%) | |
| Luminal | | | | |
| Luminal B | 19 (10.4%) | 41 (22.5%) | 20 (11%) | |
| Luminal A | 19 (10.4%) | 9 (4.9%) | 4 (2.2%) | |
| TNBC | 0 | 8 (4.4%) | 19 (10.4%) | |
| HER2 | 5 (2.7%) | 22 (12.1%) | 6 (8.8%) | |
| | Sumber: Data | a primer | | |

Table 4. Tumor characteristics

There were 25 (24.2%) family histories of mammary Ca in the MBC stage, followed by 16 (8.8%) in the LABC stage. In general, the incidence rate in patients who do not have a family history of Ca mammae is still higher. A history of breastfeeding is reported to have a higher percentage of the incidence of LABC and MBC stages. At the MBC stage, 37 (20.3%) and LABC were found in 68 (37.4%) patients who had a previous history of breastfeeding. Patients with a history of using hormonal contraception had a higher risk of disease stage, with 59 (32.4%) LABC stage and 37 (20.3%) MBC stage. Meanwhile, patients with several children in the range 1-2 had a higher stage risk, where LABC was found in 60 (33%) and MBC in 38 (20.9%).

In this study, the results were obtained in the form of images of young women with breast cancer at RSUD Dr. Moewardi. Of a total of 182 patients, 34 (18.7%) were categorized as very young (<30 years) at diagnosis. The average patient age in general was 36.18 ± 3.918 with the average patient age at diagnosis being 33.23 ± 3.73. The youngest age at diagnosis was 25 years, and the oldest age was 39 years. This supports various previous studies related to the incidence of breast cancer in young women. Research by Fernandes et al, stated that the average age of occurrence of breast cancer in young women was 36.1 years [9]. The majority of younger women (88%) had asymptomatic cancer, whereas the majority of older women (63.1%) were more likely to have cancer detected by mammography (P < 0.001).

A total of 17 (9.3%) patients in this study had never been pregnant. The age of first pregnancy ranges from 21-30 years. Even so, there are still patients who experience pregnancy before the age of 20 years. This is related to the risk of breast cancer due to nullipara. This is by previous studies by Winters et al. In this study, it was stated that nulliparity is a risk factor for breast cancer, and this risk is most obvious when compared with the risk in women who give birth at a relatively young age. Nulliparous women have a 20%–40% higher risk of developing postmenopausal breast cancer compared to women who first gave birth before the age of 25 years. The risk of breast cancer increases with age at first birth and is lower in women who gave birth to their first child when they were young than in nulliparous women [10].

In this study, 44 (24.2%) patients had a family history of breast cancer. In previous studies, it was stated that a family history of breast cancer influences the incidence of breast cancer in young women. However, this association did not have a significant effect (p>0.05) in breast cancer cases in young women compared to women >40 years old. In this study, there were 80 cases of LABC stage (locally advanced breast cancer) and 59 cases of MBC stage (metastatic breast cancer). This figure shows a tendency for disease aggressiveness in young women's breast cancer cases. In previous studies, it was stated that breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease consisting of certain biological and molecular subtypes [9,11].

Of a total of 182 patients, it was found that 39 (21.4%) patients had never breastfed. Among the many risk factors for developing breast cancer, breastfeeding is one known protective factor. Pregnancy and breastfeeding cause many physiological changes in the breasts. These unique physiological changes occur due to hormonal changes that result in an increase in breast volume accompanied by nodularity, firmness, and increased parenchymal density. This change in density is one of the protective factors for breast cancer in young women [10].

A total of 37 (20.3%) patients had never used contraception, and 130 (71.4%) patients used hormonal contraception. The duration of hormonal contraceptive use was more commonly found to be less than 10 years, namely in 138 (75.8%) patients. Patients who did not have children were 23 (12.6%). Considering that the development of breast cancer is caused by a combination of several biological, psychological, and environmental factors, including exogenous hormone intake, the causal mechanism cannot be linked directly to one risk factor alone. In addition, female hormones play an important role during the development of sexual characteristics in the mammary glands, acting as mitogens capable of promoting the development of various types of cancer through various receptordependent signaling pathways [12,13].

Several things need to be considered regarding the limitations of this research. The method of retrospective can limit the information needed. Different risk factors may need to be explored more to help achieving a preventive solution for breast cancer in young women.

4. CONCLUSION

This study on breast cancer in young women reveals significant findings: a notable proportion of cases occur in those under 30, often with asymptomatic cancer. While nulliparity and family history were identified as risk factors, they didn't significantly influence incidence compared to older women. The aggressive nature of the disease in young women, shown by high rates of advanced stages, emphasizes the need for early intervention.

Breastfeeding emerged as a protective factor, while the impact of hormonal contraception warrants further investigation. However, the study acknowledges limitations, like its retrospective nature, suggesting the need for more research to inform better preventive strategies.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-toimagegenerators that have beenusedn during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CONSENT

As per international standards or university standards, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This research has been approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Dr. Moewardi General Hospital as stated in the letter number 847/VI/HREC/2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgments, sponsorship or grants should be written after the main text and before references.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Slepicka PF, Cyrill SL, dos Santos CO. Pregnancy and breast cancer: Pathways to understand risk and prevention. Trends Mol Med [Internet]. 2019 Oct;25(10):866– 81. Available: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/re

Available:https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/re trieve/pii/S1471491419301650

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin [Internet]. 2021 May 4;71(3):209–49. Available:https://acsjournals.onlinelibrary.w iley.com/doi/10.3322/caac.21660
- 3. Rivard AB, Galarza-Paez L, Peterson DC. Anatomy, thorax, breast [Internet]. Stat Pearls; 2024. Available:http://www.pcbi.plm.pib.gov/pub

Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub med/0

- 4. Shoemaker ML, White MC, Wu M, Weir HK, Romieu I. Differences in breast cancer incidence among young women aged 20-49 years by stage and tumor characteristics, age, race, and ethnicity, 2004-2013. Breast Cancer Res Treat [Internet]. 2018 Jun 14;169(3): 595-606. Available:http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s 10549-018-4699-9
- Soetrisno, Sulistyowati S, Ardhianto A, Hadi S. The effect of logotherapy on the expressions of cortisol, HSP70, Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), and pain scales in advanced cervical cancer patients. Health Care for Women International. 2017 Feb 1;38(2):91-9.

- Tsang JYS, Tse GM. Molecular classification of breast cancer. Adv Anat Pathol [Internet]. 2020 Jan;27(1): 27–35. Available:https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/ PAP.0000000000232
- Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C. TNM classification of breast tumours. Philadelphia: William Wilkins. 2002;15–30.
- Albain KS, Allred DC, Clark GM. Breast cancer outcome and predictors of outcome: Are there age differentials? J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr [Internet]. 1994;(16):35–42. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub med/7999467
- Fernandes U, Guidi G, Martins D, Vieira B, Leal C, Marques C, et al. Breast cancer in young women: a rising threat: A 5-year follow-up comparative study. Porto Biomed J [Internet]. 2023 May;8(3). Available:https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/ j.pbj.00000000000213
- 10. Winters S, Martin C, Murphy D, Shokar NK. Breast Cancer Epidemiology, Prevention, and Screening. 2017; 1–32.

Available:https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/re trieve/pii/S1877117317301126

- 11. Martínez ME, Unkart JT, Tao L, Kroenke CH, Schwab R, Komenaka I, et al. Prognostic significance of marital status in breast cancer survival: A population-based study. Lafrenie RM, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 May 5;12(5):e0175515. Available:https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0175515
- Rudra S, Yu DS, Yu ES, Switchenko JM, Mister D, Torres MA. Locoregional and distant recurrence patterns in young versus elderly women treated for breast cancer. Int J Breast Cancer [Internet]. 2015;2015:1–9. Available:http://www.hindawi.com/journals/i jbc/2015/213123/
- Torres-de la Roche LA, Acevedo-Mesa A, Lizarazo IL, Devassy R, Becker S, Krentel H, et al. Hormonal contraception and the risk of breast cancer in women of reproductive age: A meta-analysis. Cancers (Basel) [Internet]. 2023 Nov 28;15(23):5624. Available:https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/15/23/5624

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118007