



Production of Biscuits from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends and Investigating It's Physicochemical and Texture Characteristics

Maboh, J. ^{a*}, Yusufu, M. I. ^{a,b}, Awambeng, S. M. ^a,
Agbor, E. A. ^a, Konsum, L. K. ^a,
Ezindu-Odoemelam, M.N. ^{a,b,c} and Yakum, N. K. ^a

^a Centre for Food Technology and Research, Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria.

^b Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Idah, Kogi State, Nigeria.

^c Department of Vocational Studies, National Institute for Nigerian Languages, Aba, Abia State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/afsj/2024/v23i6717>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116996>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/03/2024

Accepted: 14/05/2024

Published: 18/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Biscuit, also known as a cookie is a nutritive snack produced from dough that is transformed into an appetizing product through the application of heat in an oven. This study was aimed at evaluating the quality of biscuit produced from wheat, African almond and pawpaw flour blends. From the

*Corresponding author: Email: mabohjoyceline100@gmail.com;

Cite as: Maboh, J., Yusufu, M. I., Awambeng, S. M., Agbor, E. A., Konsum, L. K., Ezindu-Odoemelam, M.N., & Yakum, N. K. (2024). Production of Biscuits from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends and Investigating It's Physicochemical and Texture Characteristics. *Asian Food Science Journal*, 23(6), 13–29. <https://doi.org/10.9734/afsj/2024/v23i6717>

flours, blends were made and six (6) samples, A to F formulated. Sample A (100 % wheat flour) was used as the control, B (60 % Wheat flour: 0 % Almond Flour: 40 % Pawpaw Flour), C (60 % Wheat flour: 10 % Almond Flour: 30 % Pawpaw Flour), D (60 % Wheat flour: 20 % Almond Flour: 20 % Pawpaw Flour), E (60 % Wheat flour: 30 % Almond Flour: 10 % Pawpaw Flour), F (60 % Wheat flour: 40 % Almond Flour: 0 % Pawpaw Flour). The physical, proximate, anti-nutrients, vitamins, minerals, antioxidants and sensory properties of the biscuits were evaluated using standard methods. For physical parameters of the biscuit, the weight ranged from 8.86 g-10.60 g, diameter from 6.60 mm- 6.80 mm, the spread ratio from 0.58-0.61, breaking strength from 0.25 kg-0.29 kg and thickness ranged from 11.08 mm- 11.43 mm. The anti-nutrients content of the biscuits were considerably low and within safe limits. The results showed an increase in ash, fibre, fats and protein content while the carbohydrate content of the biscuit reduced upon substitution of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flours. The vitamin content of the biscuit was also improved especially the vitamin A content upon addition of pawpaw flour. The result for mineral composition showed a significant increase from 46.00-89.35mg/100g for sodium, potassium from 323.44-486.95 mg/100g, calcium from 189.46-356.88mg/100g, magnesium from 44.34-132.88 mg/100g and phosphorus from 155.33-428.45mg/100g. Antioxidant activity also increased from 2.12-3.69mgAAE/g. The biscuit samples were all rated high in terms of their sensory attributes especially samples with high proportion of almond flour. This research indicates that almond and pawpaw flours are suitable for biscuit production especially at 40% and 10% substitution for almond and pawpaw flours respectively.

Keywords: *Tropical almond; pawpaw; underutilized; physical; anti-nutrients; proximate; vitamins; minerals; antioxidants; sensory.*

1. INTRODUCTION

“The core of food processing is transforming raw ingredients into consumable food products. This practice, which has been integral to human civilization, ensures the preservation, flavor enhancement, and safety of foods” [1]. In recent times, the food industry is being challenged by consumer pressure for natural foods with health promoting benefits. This has influenced the aims of the food industry which are four fold; to extend shelf life, increase variety in diet, to improve the nutritional quality of food and to generate income for the manufacturing industry. Each of these aims exists more or less in all food processes. As reported by Fellows, [2], the processing of a given product may emphasize some more than others.

“Biscuit, equally referred to as a cracker or cookie, is defined as a small baked product. It is a nutritive snack produced from dough that is transformed into an appetizing product through the application of heat in an oven. It is a dry product, usually with a golden-brown colour and a crisp texture. Biscuits together with other baked products belong to the class of foods that are sold as ready-to-serve foods” [3]. Biscuit is one of the most popularly produced bakery products in the world. This is because it is produced from simple, affordable, available and easily accessible raw materials [4,5]. “Biscuit is a

popular cereal food consumed by all age groups, especially pre-school and school age children. This food is a form of confectionary product with a low moisture content” [6]. “It usually varies in shape, size and composition. Although biscuits differ in composition, they contain three main ingredients in common; wheat flour, sugar and fat (which can either be butter or vegetable fats). Other ingredients include milk, salt, flavoring agent and egg” [7]. “Commercially, biscuits contain about 50 % of calories from fat and carbohydrates, with over 400 calories per 100 g in plain biscuits. It is a nutritional food, contributing valuable quantities of iron, calcium, protein, calorie, fiber and some B-vitamins to our diet and daily food requirements” [8,3]. “Despite its nutritional content, biscuit is limited by the fact that it is generally made from wheat flour and fat that is high-energy digestible foods. This has a negative impact on health if they are consumed regularly and in high amounts” [4]. “Based on their ingredient mix, biscuits can be categorized into two (2) main groups; hard and soft biscuits” [9]. “Commercial biscuits are prepared from refined wheat flour consisting mainly of carbohydrate as starch (70–75%), water (13-15%), protein (10-12 %), fat 1-2%, together with some fiber, ash and minerals” [10]. “It can thus be seen that, wheat flour is the fundamental ingredient for bakery products. This is due to its uniqueness in forming a cohesive gluten network when worked with water” [3]. However,

wheat flour is limited by its expensive nature since the climatic condition and soil type in Nigeria does not favor its cultivation. Therefore, substituting wheat flour with flours from other crops such as almond and pawpaw fruits will significantly improve on the nutritional composition of the snack.

Fruits are rich sources of micronutrients such as minerals and vitamins. They also contain carbohydrates in the form of soluble sugar, cellulose and starch [11], making it more appealing, affordable and of health benefit to the population. "They constitute a very important part of the diet and also serve as food supplements and appetizers" [12]. "The fruits of *Carica papaya* (pawpaw) are large and cylindrical, with a fleshy orange pulp, hollow berry and thin yellowish skin when ripe. The generic name is from the Latin word 'Carica', meaning 'edible fig', on account of the similarity of the leaves. It grows satisfactorily in a wide range of areas from the equatorial tropics to temperate latitudes" [13]. Pawpaw belongs to the family Caricaceae and it is the most important in the family. According to Scheldeman et al., [14], "it is the fourth most important tropical fruit around the globe, with its major producers in the world being Australia, United States, Philippines, Sri Lanka, South Africa, India, Bangladesh, Malaysia and a number of other countries in tropical America" [15]. "The pulp of pawpaw is most often consumed fresh either in slices, in chunks as dessert and can equally be processed into a variety of products such as cookies, jams, jellies, marmalade, candies and fruit juices" [11]. "Pawpaw is highly rich in vitamin C and minerals such as potassium, magnesium, iron and sodium. It also contains some active compounds such as ascorbic acid, antioxidant, β -carotene, α -tocopherol, flavonoids, vitamin B1, papain and niacin" [16,17]. "Approximately, pawpaw contains; water 86.6 g, protein 0.5 g, fat 0.3 g, carbohydrates 12.1 g, fiber 0.7 g, ash 0.5 g, potassium 204 mg, calcium 34 mg, phosphorus 11 mg, iron 1mg, sodium 3 mg, vitamin A 450 mg, vitamin C 74 mg, thiamine 0.03 mg, niacin 0.5 mg and riboflavin 0.04 mg per 100 g edible portion. The energy value is 200 kJ/100 g. Major sugars are sucrose (48.3 %), glucose (29.8 %) and fructose (21.9 %)" [13].

"Tropical, almond also known as *Terminaliacatappa*, belongs to the family *Combretaceae*. Almond is known to have three

(3) nuts producing varieties of which some are edible and others non-edible. One variety of almond produces edible sweet nuts, another produces non-edible bitter and poisonous nuts, while the third variety is a blend of both sweet and bitter almonds. Almond is native to western and central Asian countries. It is a small deciduous tree that usually grows to about 4-10 m tall with a trunk diameter of about 30 cm. Its fruits are 3.5 to 6.0 cm long drupe, with a soft outer cover. They are known to survive best in well-drained soil of light to medium texture" [18]. "Almond consists mainly of three parts, that is, the kernel or meat, mid shell and outer green shell with a thin leathery layer called brown skin or seed coat. Almond seeds are a good source of proteins, edible oils and fats as well as they are rich in vitamins, minerals and fiber in the diets" [19]. "They are also a potential raw material for local industries where they are used to compliment local foods that are low in protein. They can be eaten either raw or roasted" [20] This work therefore aims at substituting wheat flour with tropical almond and pawpaw flour for the production and quality evaluation of biscuits.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Source of Raw Materials

Pawpaw fruits (fresh, mature, firm and partially ripe) and almond kernels were purchased from railway market, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. Wheat flour, margarine, baking powder, sugar, eggs and salt were gotten from Wurukum market. All these were then taken to the Center for Food Technology and Research (CEFTER) food laboratory in the Chemistry Department, Benue State University (BSU) where preparation, processing and analysis was carried out.

2.2 Preparation of Raw Materials

2.2.1 Preparation of pawpaw flour and almond kernel flour

Pawpaw and almond kernel flour was produced as shown on Figure 1 and 2 with modification.

2.3 Blend Formulation and Recipe

A flour blend of wheat, almond and pawpaw flour was formulated to obtain six (6) samples. The flour blends for biscuit production are shown in Table 1 and the recipe with modification in Table 2.




Fig. 1. Flow chart for the production of pawpaw flour
Source: [11]




Fig. 2. Flow chart for the production of almond kernel flour
Source: [21]

Table 1. Flour blend formulation

Sample	Wheat flour (%)	Almond flour (%)	Pawpaw flour (%)
A	100	0	0
B	60	0	40
C	60	10	30
D	60	20	20
E	60	30	10
F	60	40	0

Table 2. Recipe for the production of biscuit

Component	Composition (g)
Flour	100
Margarine	33
Sugar	36
Baking powder	3
Whole egg	18 (ml)
Vanilla essence	0.25
Salt	1

Source: [22]

2.4 Biscuit Production




Fig. 3. Flow diagram for the production of biscuits

Source: [21,23]

2.5 Analytical Methods

2.5.1 Determination of physical properties of biscuit

Physical properties such as weight, diameter, thickness and spread ratio were determined according to Bala et al., [24], while the biscuit breaking strength was determined according to [25].

2.5.2 Determination of proximate composition of biscuits

The nutrient content (proximate: moisture, ash, fiber, fat and protein, minerals: calcium, sodium, iron, magnesium and potassium), carbohydrate, vitamins and energy were determined according to standard methods of [26].

Total carbohydrate content was calculated using the following formula

$$\%Carbohydrate = 100\% - (\%Moisture + \%Fat + \%Protein + \%Crude\ fibre + \%Ash) \quad (1)$$

Calorific values of the samples were calculated using the following formula

$$Energy\ value\ (Kcal/100g) = 9 \times \%fat + 4 \times \%protein + 4 \times \%carbohydrate \quad (2)$$

2.5.3 Determination of anti-nutritional and phytochemical composition of flour and biscuit

The oxalate, tannins and cyanides were determined according to the method described by [27].

HPLC analysis of total phenolic compounds: The phenolic compounds were extracted from 1 g biscuits according to the procedure reported by [28].

Determination of total flavonoids content: The total flavonoids content was determined using aluminum chloride calorimetric method based on the methodology reported by Afify et al., [29] with some modifications. According to this method, 0.5 mL of sample (1mg/mL) was mixed with 1mL of 10 % aluminum chloride, 1mL of potassium acetate (1M) and 2.5 mL of distilled water. Quercetin was used to make the calibration curve. The absorbance of the mixtures was measured at 415 nm using UV-spectrophotometer. The total flavonoid content was then expressed in terms of quercetin equivalent (mg QE/g of sample). All the analyses were repeated three times and the mean value of absorbance obtained.

2.5.4 Determination of vitamin content

Vitamin A was determined according to the method described by AOAC, [30] while vitamin C (ascorbic acid), thiamine (vitamin B1), riboflavin (vitamin B2) and niacin (vitamin B3) were determined according to [27].

2.5.5 Determination of minerals

A modified AACC (Cereals and Grains Association, formerly American Association of Cereal Chemists, 2010) official inductively

coupled plasma spectroscopy method 4075.01 was used for determination of calcium (Ca), sodium (Na), Magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), potassium (k) and phosphorus (P) content of biscuits.

2.5.6 Determination of antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity using the Ferric Reducing/Antioxidant Power (FRAP) method was conducted following the method described by (Benzie and Strain, 1996).

2.5.7 Sensory evaluation

A panel comprising of 30 students both MSc/Ph.D students of the Center for Food Technology and Research, Benue State University Makurdi, was employed to evaluate the sensory attributes of the biscuits. The panelists were first of all guided on the procedure. Clean water was provided for the panelists to rinse their mouths after tasting each sample. Panelists were required to evaluate the aroma, appearance, taste, texture (crunchiness) and overall acceptability of the cookies using a 9-point Hedonic scale ranging from dislike extremely to like extremely. Where 1=dislike extremely, 2=dislike very much, 3=dislike moderately, 4=dislike slightly, 5=neither like nor dislike, 6=like slightly, 7=like moderately, 8=like very much, 9=like extremely [31].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical package for social science (SPSS) v26 computer software was used to analyze raw data. Mean and standard deviation was calculated where appropriate. Analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) was equally used to determine treatment different from others in the various parameters to be tested. Duncan's Multiple Range Test was performed to determine

the difference of mean. Differences were considered at 95% ($p < 0.05$) significant level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical Properties of Biscuits from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Table 3 shows the various physical properties of biscuits that were analyzed. There was a significant difference between weight, diameter, spread ratio, breaking strength and the thickness. The weight was measured in grams and it ranged from 8.86g in sample A to 10.60 g in sample F. The diameter in mm ranged from 6.50 mm in sample C to 6.80 mm in sample D. For spread ratio, sample B and F showed a high spread ratio. It ranged from 0.58 to 0.61. Sample A recorded the highest (0.29 kg) breaking strength while sample B recorded the least (0.25 kg). The thickness decreased from 11.43 mm in sample A to 11.08 mm in sample B.

The results showed a significant $p < 0.05$ difference in the weight upon supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour. The weight was lower than the 11.28 g to 13.09 g reported by [32]. Shittu et al., [33] reported that “the basic determinant of weight of baked products is the quantity of dough baked and the amount of moisture and carbon dioxide that diffused out of the product during baking. No significant difference was recorded between sample A, B, E and F for the diameter”. Egwujeh et al., [25] reported a diameter between 6.60 to

7.70 mm for wheat flour biscuit supplemented with cricket flour. Supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour gave a spread ratio of 0.58 to 0.61. Sample B and F showed a high spread ratio. This could be due to their high water absorption capacity because of their fibre and protein content which tend to bind and retain more water. According to Dayakar et al., [34], “biscuit spread is affected by competition of ingredients for available water”. Akubor, [23] suggested that “spread ratio is affected by the water absorption capacity of the ingredients. Flour or any other ingredient which absorbs water during dough mixing will reduce biscuit spread. Increased spread factor might be due to difference in particle size”. “Diameter and spread ratio of biscuits are important parameters used for the evaluation of wheat varieties for biscuit making” [35]. “Large biscuit diameter and high spread ratio are considered as desirable quality attributes” [36]. The level of supplementation equally affected the breaking strength of the biscuit. Sample A recorded the highest (0.29 kg) breaking strength while sample B recorded the least (0.25 kg). No significant difference was recorded between sample C, D, E and F. The relationship between breaking strength and thickness has been reported by [37]. The thinner the biscuit, the lesser the ability to withstand stress, as such a lower breaking strength. These results are far less than the 6.4 to 8.0 kg reported by [38]. A significant ($p < 0.05$) difference in thickness was recorded between the samples. Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] reported a thickness of 6.85mm to 9.45 mm for wheat flour biscuit supplemented with African walnut and carrot flour.

Table 3. Physical properties of biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	Weight(g)	Diameter (mm)	Spread ratio	Breaking strength (Kg)	Thickness (mm)
A	8.86 ^a ±0.10	6.65 ^b ±0.05	0.58 ^a ±0.00	0.29 ^d ±0.00	11.43 ^e ±0.00
B	10.48 ^d ±0.01	6.60 ^b ±0.00	0.60 ^d ±0.00	0.25 ^a ±0.01	11.08 ^a ±0.02
C	10.15 ^b ±0.01	6.50 ^a ±0.00	0.58 ^a ±0.00	0.26 ^b ±0.00	11.24 ^c ±0.01
D	10.27 ^b ±0.01	6.80 ^c ±0.00	0.61 ^e ±0.00	0.27 ^c ±0.00	11.35 ^d ±0.01
E	10.17 ^c ±0.01	6.60 ^b ±0.00	0.59 ^c ±0.00	0.27 ^c ±0.01	11.25 ^c ±0.01
F	10.60 ^e ±0.00	6.65 ^b ±0.05	0.60 ^d ±0.00	0.27 ^{b,c} ±0.01	11.13 ^b ±0.01

Values represent mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

Table 4. Proximate composition of biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	%						Kcal/100g
	Moisture	Ash	Fat	Fibre	Protein	Carbohydrate	Energy
A	5.16 ^a ±0.11	2.21 ^a ±0.01	13.95 ^a ±0.00	0.10 ^c ±0.00	9.93 ^a ±0.04	68.65 ^f ±0.15	502.27 ^a ±0.31
B	8.75 ^f ±0.24	2.94 ^f ±0.00	14.36 ^b ±0.31	1.07 ^{cd} ±0.03	16.24 ^b ±0.05	56.64 ^e ±0.56	420.76 ^e ±2.34
C	7.21 ^d ±0.10	2.36 ^b ±0.02	27.31 ^c ±0.13	0.89 ^a ±0.01	16.98 ^c ±0.11	45.25 ^d ±0.16	464.71 ^b ±0.52
D	8.39 ^e ±0.11	2.47 ^c ±0.00	31.53 ^d ±0.10	0.94 ^b ±0.04	18.31 ^d ±0.39	38.36 ^c ±0.46	510.45 ^c ±0.97
E	6.01 ^b ±0.13	2.70 ^d ±0.00	36.15 ^e ±0.06	1.04 ^d ±0.01	21.44 ^e ±0.05	32.66 ^b ±0.31	541.75 ^d ±0.75
F	6.83 ^c ±0.07	2.88 ^e ±0.00	43.55 ^f ±0.06	1.03 ^d ±0.00	22.41 ^f ±0.05	23.3 ^a ±0.08	574.79 ^d ±0.55

Values represent mean ±S D of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at p<0.05

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

3.2 Proximate Composition of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Table 4 shows the proximate composition of the biscuit samples. The results of moisture, ash, fat, fibre, protein, carbohydrate and energy showed a significant difference between samples. The moisture content ranged from 5.16 % in sample A to 8.75 % in sample B. Ash content increased from sample A (2.21 %) to B (2.94 %). The fat content increased from sample A (13.95) to F (43.55). Fibre content ranged from 0.10 in samples A to 1.07 % in sample B. Sample F recorded the highest (22.41 %) while sample A recorded the least (9.93 %) protein content. The carbohydrate content reduced from 68.65 to 23.3 %. Energy value increased significantly from 420.76 to 574.79 Kcal/100g.

The moisture content of the biscuit samples increased with supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour (from 5.16 to 8.75 %). This increase in moisture content could be attributed to the high water absorption capacity of pawpaw flour. Amadi, [39] reported a similar trend for moisture content. It increased as varying degrees of okra flour was added. The moisture content of biscuit in this study was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than 17.01 to 26.23 % reported by Akoja and Coker, [40] on wheat flour biscuit incorporated with okra powder and in line with the 6.42 to 8.04 % reported by [21]. The low moisture content of the biscuit is advantageous as it limits microbial spoilage and increases its shelf life. The ash content increased significantly from sample A (2.21 %) to B (2.94 %). This is due to the presence of higher amounts of pawpaw flour which is richer in minerals. Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] reported a similar trend where ash content increased from 1.10 % to 2.15 %. A lower ash content of 1.7 % was reported by Akubor, [37] for biscuits made from wheat and cashew pomace flour. The ash content of a food material can be used as an index of mineral constituents of the food because ash is the inorganic residue that remains after water and organic matter have been removed by heating [41]. Sample F which contains 60 % wheat flour and 40 % almond flour had the highest fat content. The fat content increased from sample A to F as the percentage of almond flour increases. This is because almond is richer in fat than wheat and pawpaw flour. Guyihet al., [21] also recorded an increase in the fat content of biscuit supplemented with almond and carrot flour as a result of increase in almond flour. A

lower fat content of 3.78–4.23 % was reported by Haimanot et al., (2017) for wheat bread supplemented with cassava and soybean flour. The fibre content increased with supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour, it ranged from 0.10 % in sample A to 1.07 % in sample B. These results are in agreement with that of [21]. Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] also reported an increase in fibre content from 0.89 to 2.05 %. Supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour resulted to a significant $p < 0.05$ increase in the protein content. This is due to the fact that almond is a very rich source of proteins. These results are higher than the 6.14 to 10.23 % reported by Guyih et al., [21] for wheat biscuit supplemented with almond and carrot flour while Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] recorded a protein content between 10.55 to 15.10 % for wheat biscuit supplemented with African walnut and carrot flour. The biscuit showed that substitution of wheat with almond and pawpaw flour reduces the carbohydrate content from 68.65 to 23.3 %. Presumably, this product could be beneficial to adults seeking to lose weight while maintaining a healthy diet. The results of this study are lower than 53.39 to 75.19 % reported by [42]. Jimoh, [43] recorded a carbohydrate content of 68.48 to 85.80 % for wheat flour biscuit fortified with tiger nuts and date palm fruit. The energy value increased significantly from 420.76 to 574.79 Kcal/100g with increase supplementation with almond flour. This is because almond has a high fat content. These results are in agreement with that of Azza et al., [42] who recorded a significant increase in energy value with increase incorporation of flaxseed flour. It also agrees with the trend reported by [21]. From the results, it can be concluded that supplementing wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour increases the nutrient content of the biscuit.

3.3 Anti-nutrient and Phytochemicals Content of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

The results of the ant-nutrients of the cookies showed that the oxalate content ranged from 0.01 % in sample A to 0.09 % in sample B. The tannin content ranged from 0.20 mg/100g to 0.50 mg/100g. The cyanide content ranged from 0.01 mg/100g to 0.05 mg/100g. The total phenolic content of cookies obtained in this study ranged from 0.60 mg/100g in sample A to 1.09 mg/100g in sample D and E. Total flavonoid content ranged from 1.04 mg/100g in sample A to 1.90 mg/100g in sample F with increase in almond flour. The results showed a significant difference

between the oxalate, tannin, cyanide, total phenols and total flavonoids. The results are shown in Table 5.

The oxalate content reduced significantly ($p < 0.05$.) as the percentage of pawpaw flour decreased. These values are lower than 0.31 to 0.7 % reported by Moktan and Ojha, [44] and 0.95 mg/100g recorded by [39]. Cyanide content increased from sample A to F with increase in almond flour. Adejumo et al., [35] reported a similar result for whole wheat- based cookies supplemented with pigeon pea and banana flour.

Tannins are important in food, as they hasten the healing of wounds and inflamed mucus membrane. The tannin content increased as percentage of almond increased since it contains higher amount of tannins than pawpaw flour. Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] recorded a tannin value from 0.23 mg/100g – 0.33 mg/100g for cookies produced from a blend of wheat, African walnut and carrot flours. Amadi, [39] reported a much lower tannin content of 0.05% - 0.2 %. However, the tannin values obtained in this study were within permissible limit of 5-20 mg/100g as reported by World Food Program, 2011. The total phenolic content of cookies obtained in this study ranged from 0.60 mg/100g in sample A to 1.09 mg/100g in sample D and E. The results are coherent with 0.51 to 1.24 mg/g and 0.21 to 2.35 mg/g reported by Kiin-Kabari et al., [32] and Grah et al., [45] but lower values ranging from 0.11 to 0.84 mg/100g was reported by [46]. Phenols have been reported to have antioxidant and antimicrobial activity and can help fight against inflammation, degenerative diseases and allergies.

Total flavonoid content increased from 1.04 mg/100g in sample A to 1.90 mg/100g in sample

F with increase in almond flour. This is to show that almond is a good source of flavonoid. Akubor and Ihotu, [38]. Recorded a flavonoid content of 0.4 to 1.3 mg/100g for biscuit supplemented with sun- and oven-dried sweet orange pulp flours. Flavonoids have antioxidant properties that play protective role in the development of cardiovascular diseases, atherosclerosis, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, neurodegenerative diseases, rheumatoid, arthritis, and aging. From the results, the anti-nutrient content of the biscuit is lower than those of the flours. This is as a result of the processing methods applied during production of the biscuit. However, anti-nutrients are not entirely dangerous when consumed in food since they have anti-oxidant properties when consumed at safe level [47]. It has been reported that tannin and phytate reduced blood glucose and insulin response to foods rich in starch [48]. All samples analyzed were lower than the safe limit of 4–9 mg/100g as reported by Siddiq et al., [49] for the effect of processing method on anti-nutritional properties of Indian tribal pulse. Schiavone et al., [50] reported 150-200 mg/100g as the safe level for tannin. Hence it can be agreed that the anti-nutrient contents of the products of this study are considerably low and may not pose risk to health.

3.4 Vitamin Content of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Results of the various vitamins analyzed are shown in the table 6. The results showed that fortification of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour for the production of biscuit increases the vitamin content. The results of the individual vitamins varied significantly from each other.

Table 5. Anti-nutrient and phytochemical content of biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	%		mg/100g		
	Oxalate	Tannins	Cyanide	Total Phenolics	Total Flavonoids
A	0.01 ^a ±0.00	0.20 ^a ±0.00	0.01 ^a ±0.00	0.60 ^a ±0.00	1.04 ^a ±0.00
B	0.09 ^f ±0.00	0.47 ^f ±0.00	0.04 ^c ±0.00	1.07 ^c ±0.00	1.84 ^d ±0.15
C	0.03 ^b ±0.00	0.36 ^b ±0.00	0.03 ^b ±0.00	1.06 ^b ±0.00	1.48 ^b ±0.02
D	0.04 ^c ±0.00	0.37 ^c ±0.00	0.04 ^c ±0.00	1.09 ^e ±0.00	1.68 ^c ±0.01
E	0.05 ^d ±0.00	0.48 ^d ±0.01	0.04 ^c ±0.00	1.09 ^{de} ±0.00	1.89 ^e ±0.01
F	0.06 ^e ±0.00	0.50 ^e ±0.01	0.05 ^f ±0.00	1.08 ^d ±0.01	1.90 ^f ±0.02

Values represent mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

Table 6. Vitamin content of biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	mg/100g				
	A	B1	B2	B3	C
A	0.12 ^a ±0.00	0.04 ^a ±0.00	0.01 ^a ±0.00	0.01 ^a ±0.00	0.00 ^a ±0.00
B	0.83 ^e ±0.00	0.39 ^c ±0.00	0.32 ^c ±0.00	0.53 ^c ±0.00	21.39 ^e ±0.05
C	0.35 ^b ±0.00	0.32 ^b ±0.00	0.17 ^b ±0.00	0.47 ^b ±0.00	15.80 ^c ±0.53
D	0.55 ^f ±0.02	0.62 ^d ±0.00	0.85 ^f ±0.00	0.53 ^c ±0.00	16.74 ^d ±0.23
E	0.58 ^c ±0.01	0.74 ^e ±0.01	0.64 ^e ±0.00	0.55 ^d ±0.00	15.32 ^b ±0.00
F	0.70 ^d ±0.01	0.76 ^f ±0.00	0.87 ^d ±0.01	0.62 ^e ±0.01	15.72 ^{d,c} ±0.01

Values represent mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

Vitamin A ranged from 0.12 to 0.83 mg/100g. Sample B (60 % wheat and 40 % pawpaw flour) had the highest amount of vitamin A. The other samples also recorded higher values than the control sample. This could be attributed to the presence of pawpaw flour which is a rich source of vitamin A. Makanjuola and Adebawale, [51] reported a higher vitamin A content of 0.45 to 5.67 mg/100g for biscuit produced from wheat-cocoyam flour blends. Vitamin A is a vital micronutrient in complementary food. Sample F had the highest vitamin B₂ content (0.87 mg/100g) while sample A had the lowest 0.01 mg/100g. This could be due to the fact that almond is rich in vitamin B₂. Vitamin B₂ helps to break down proteins, fat and carbohydrates and plays a vital role in maintaining body's energy supply. These values fall within the recommended daily intake of 0.3-1.6 mg/100g [52]. Sample A had no vitamin C while sample B recorded the highest with a value of 21.39 mg/100g because it has the highest percentage of pawpaw flour which is highly rich in vitamin C. These results were lower than the 8.02 to 27.49 mg/100g and 17.17 to 78.92 mg/100g for vitamin B₂ and vitamin C reported by (Olakunle and Olalekan, 2020).

Substitution of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour increased the amount of vitamin B₁ significantly from sample A to F as the percentage of almond increased. The highest value (0.76 mg/100g) was recorded in sample F which contains 40% almond flour and 60 % wheat flour and the lowest (0.04 mg/100g) recorded in sample A which is the control sample. The results are similar to those of Ighere et al., [53] who recorded a vitamin B₁ content ranging from 0.54-0.60 mg/100g for biscuits produced from wheat, beniseed and maize composite flour. Vitamin B₁ equally known as

thiamin helps the body to convert carbohydrates into energy. It also helps in muscle contraction and conduction of nerve signals. The values recorded are within the recommended daily allowance which is 0.5-0.6 mg/day for children from 1-8years. Vitamin B₃ also known as niacin was equally analysed. The values were significantly different ($p < 0.05$.) and increased as the percentage substitution with almond flour increased. The highest value (0.62 mg/100g) was recorded in sample F and the lowest (0.01 mg/100g) in sample A. Niacin aids in the biochemical degradation of carbohydrates, fats and proteins to release energy in the body. The results imply that fortification of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour for the production of biscuit increases the vitamin content which is of benefit to the body when consumed.

3.5 Mineral Composition of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Generally, the mineral content of the biscuit increased with supplementation of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour. The values varied significantly from each other. Table 7 shows the results of the mineral results.

The mineral composition of wheat flour biscuit supplemented with almond and pawpaw flour are shown in the table below. The results show a significant ($p < 0.05$.) increase from 46.00 mg/100g -87.94 mg/100g in the sodium content with increase in almond. These results are higher than 14.11 mg/100 g to 16.08 mg/100 g reported by [32]. Sodium is an electrolyte compound which helps in balancing fluids in the human body system. It is also required for nerve and muscle functioning but over-consumption can lead to kidney damage and increase chances of high blood pressure Munteanu and Iliuta, [54].

Potassium content was found to increase significantly with an increase in almond content. This is because almond is richer in potassium than wheat and pawpaw. Sample F (60% wheat flour and 40% almond flour) contained the highest amount 486.95 mg/100g of potassium while sample A which is 100% wheat flour had the least amount 323.44 mg/100g. These results were far higher than the 122.5 mg/100g reported by Akubor and Owuse, [55] for wheat flour and tomato peel flour biscuit but lower than 877.62 to 984.26 mg/100g recorded by [21]. Potassium influences osmotic pressure and contributes to normal pH equilibrium (ADA, 2002). It is also an important constituent of every living cell. It is very essential in neuron transmission and other cellular reactions in the body. Calcium levels equally increased from the control sample as almond and pawpaw flours were added. Values increased significantly $p < 0.05$ from 189.46 to 356.88 mg/100g. Results are in agreement with those of Guyih et al., [21] and Akubor and Owuse, [55]. Calcium helps in the formation of bones and also provides support and rigidity to skeletal bones. It acts as an antidote to the depressant action of potassium [56]. Calcium also aids rennin in the coagulation of milk in the stomach. Magnesium content, just like the other minerals, equally increased from 44.34 mg/100g in sample A to 132.88 mg/100g in sample B. Sample B recorded the highest value because it contained the highest amount of pawpaw which is richer in this mineral than wheat and almond flour. Magnesium is involved in many metabolic reactions in the human body and is equally needed for making of new cells, activating B vitamins, relaxing nerves and muscles, blood clotting and in energy production. Phosphorus content equally increased with increase supplementation with almond and pawpaw flours. It ranged from 155.33 mg/100g in sample A to

428.45 mg/100g in sample F as percentage of almond increased. The results were far less than 1307.30 mg/100g reported by [39]. Phosphorus is an important mineral as it helps mainly in the formation of bones and teeth. It also assists the body in the utilization of carbohydrates and fats. Iron content increased significantly from 5.00 mg/100g in sample A to 7.66 mg/100g in sample B. This is because pawpaw is richer in iron. Iron is an essential trace element which plays vital roles such as hemoglobin formation and oxidation of fats, protein and carbohydrates [57]. It is highly required for replenishment of blood in menstruating women and much more in pregnant women for proper development of the fetus.

3.6 Antioxidant Composition of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Antioxidants are compounds that have the ability to either delay or inhibit the oxidation process [58]. This reaction takes place under the presence of atmospheric oxygen or reactive oxygen species (ROS). Antioxidants act as a defensive mechanism against free radical attack. The effectiveness of antioxidant compounds results from their free radical-scavenging activity. Analysis carried out showed that sample B had the highest (3.69 mgAAE/g) free radical scavenging activity while sample A exhibited the lowest (2.12 mgAAE/g). This increase in antioxidant activity of the cookies could be attributed to Maillard reaction. Azuan et al., [59] also reported an increase in antioxidant activity from 2.56 to 7.80 % for cookies supplemented with different levels of spent coffee ground extract. A similar trend was equally reported by Sharma and Gujral, [60] upon thermal processing barley.

Table 7. Mineral composition biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	mg/100g					
	Na	K	Ca	Mg	P	Fe
A	46.00 ^a ±0.01	323.44 ^a ±0.01	189.46 ^a ±0.01	44.34 ^a ±0.01	155.33 ^a ±0.01	5.00 ^a ±0.00
B	89.35 ^f ±0.01	405.00 ^b ±0.00	311.77 ^e ±0.01	132.13 ^f ±0.01	333.77 ^d ±0.01	7.66 ^f ±0.01
C	61.45 ^b ±0.01	411.67 ^d ±0.02	266.71 ^b ±0.01	61.34 ^b ±0.01	233.93 ^b ±0.01	5.16 ^b ±0.01
D	69.03 ^c ±0.01	411.46 ^c ±0.01	283.77 ^c ±0.01	84.34 ^c ±0.01	240.06 ^{bc} ±14.10	5.92 ^c ±0.01
E	72.02 ^d ±0.01	455.77 ^e ±0.01	290.99 ^d ±0.01	85.35 ^d ±0.02	264.32 ^c ±35.01	6.45 ^d ±0.01
F	87.94 ^e ±0.02	486.95 ^f ±0.01	356.88 ^f ±0.01	125.88 ^e ±0.01	428.45 ^e ±0.01	7.46 ^e ±0.01

Values represent mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

Table 8. Antioxidant activity biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	A	B	C	D	E	F
FRAP (mgAAE/g)	2.12 ^a ±0.15	3.69 ^d ±0.05	2.53 ^b ±0.01	3.34 ^c ±0.13	3.60 ^d ±0.05	3.55 ^d ±0.00

Values represent mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at $p<0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

Table 9. Sensory properties of biscuit from wheat, almond and pawpaw flour blends

Sample	Appearance	Aroma	Taste	Crunchiness	Overall acceptability
A	8.60 ^b ±0.60	6.75 ^a ±1.12	7.85 ^b ±0.93	8.15 ^{cd} ±1.09	8.10 ^c ±0.79
B	6.75 ^a ±1.33	7.95 ^c ±0.95	6.90 ^a ±1.29	6.60 ^a ±1.43	6.70 ^a ±1.42
C	6.75 ^a ±1.12	7.00 ^{ab} ±0.1.12	7.15 ^{ab} ±1.27	7.05 ^{ab} ±1.40	7.20 ^{ab} ±0.4
D	7.15 ^a ±1.31	7.15 ^{ab} ±1.23	7.15 ^{ab} ±1.18	7.25 ^{ab} ±1.12	7.50 ^{bc} ±1.05
E	7.40 ^a ±1.23	7.65 ^{bc} ±1.18	7.60 ^{ab} ±1.05	7.70 ^{bc} ±1.42	7.80 ^{bc} ±0.95
F	8.80 ^b ±0.41	8.30 ^c ±0.73	8.60 ^c ±0.68	8.60 ^d ±0.68	8.80 ^d ±0.41

Values represent mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p<0.05$

Key; A- 100% Wheat Flour, B- 60% Wheat flour: 0% Almond Flour: 40% Pawpaw Flour, C- 60% Wheat flour: 10% Almond Flour: 30% Pawpaw Flour, D- 60% Wheat flour: 20% Almond Flour: 20% Pawpaw Flour, E- 60% Wheat flour: 30% Almond Flour: 10% Pawpaw Flour, F- 60% Wheat flour: 40% Almond Flour: 0% Pawpaw Flour

3.7 Sensory Properties of Biscuit from Wheat, Almond and Pawpaw Flour Blends

Sensory parameters such as appearance, aroma, taste, crunchiness and overall acceptability of the biscuit samples were analyzed using a 9-point hedonic scale. 1 on the scale represented dislike extremely and 9 represented like extremely. The appearance of the biscuit was rated between 6.75 % in sample B and C to 8.80 % in sample F. There was no significant difference between sample A and F and equally between sample B, C, D and E. Results are in agreement with those of [32]. Aroma was also highly rated between 6.75 % in sample A and 8.30 % in sample F. The results showed that aroma increased upon substitution of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour. Sample F which contains 60 % wheat and 40 % almond flour was rated highest for its aroma. This could be attributed to the fat content of almond which contributes to the aroma. This result was similar to that of Uzo-Peters and Ola, [61]. Sample F still scored highest (8.60 %) in terms of the taste followed by sample A with 7.85 % which is the control sample. No significant difference was recorded between sample B, C, D, and E. The taste, however, increased with increase in almond flour. The results were in line with those reported by Stoin et al., [62] and

Guyih et al., [21]. The substituted biscuit samples significantly differed from themselves and from the control (sample A) in terms of crunchiness. The least score (6.60 %) for crunchiness was recorded in sample B. This could possibly be as a result of the high-water absorption capacity of pawpaw flour. Sample F rated highest (8.60 %) in terms of crunchiness because of its fat content. The results agreed with that of Kiin-Kabari et al., [32]. For overall acceptability, Sample F (60 % wheat flour and 40 % almond flour) was the most preferred (8.80 %) followed by sample A (100 % wheat flour) 8.10 % while sample B (60 % Wheat and 40 % pawpaw flour) was the least (6.70 %). Generally, the results showed that all samples scored positively from like slightly to like moderately indicating that almond and pawpaw are good raw materials that can be used for the substitution of wheat flour for the production of biscuit [63-66].

4. CONCLUSION

This research work aimed at evaluating the quality of biscuits produced from almond, pawpaw and wheat flour shows the potential use of these raw materials for the production of biscuit. Results obtained showed that substitution of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flour lead to an increase in the nutritional value of biscuit. The results showed an increase in ash,

fibre, fats and protein content while the carbohydrate content of the biscuit reduced upon substitution of wheat flour with almond and pawpaw flours. The vitamin content of the biscuit was also improved especially the vitamin A content upon addition of pawpaw flour. Also, mineral analysis showed that the biscuits contain appreciable amounts of minerals. The biscuit samples were all rated high in terms of their sensory attribute especially samples with high proportion of almond flour. Conclusively, judicious incorporation of African almond and pawpaw flours in suitable proportions into bakery products to enhance dietary quality and minimize post-harvest losses should be encouraged.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Insights S. Top 10 Food Processing Industry Trends in 2024. StartUs Insights; 2023. Available:<https://www.startus-insights.com/innovators-guide/food-processing-industry-trends/>
2. Fellows P. Food Processing Technology Principles and practice (Second edi). Wood head Publishing Limited; 2010.
3. Egwujeh SID, Onuh OJ, Yusufu PA, Yakubu A. Production and evaluation of the physico-chemical and sensory properties of biscuit from wheat and cricket flours. *Acta Scientific Nutritional Health*. 2017;2(1):03–07.
4. Caleja C, Barros L, Antonio AL. A comparative study between natural and synthetic antioxidants: Evaluation of their performance after incorporation into biscuits. *Food Chemistry*. 2017;216:342–346.
5. Warinporn K, Geoffrey PS. Effect of substituting purple rice flour for wheat flour on the physicochemical characteristics, *In vitro* digestibility, and sensory evaluation of biscuits. *Journal of Food Quality*. 2018;2(3).
6. Okoye J, Okaka JC. Production and evaluation of protein quality of bread from wheat/cassava flour blends. *Continental Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2019;3:1–7.
7. Caponio F, Summo C, Delcuratolo D, Pasqualone A. Quality of the lipid fraction of Italian biscuits. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2016;86(3):356–361.
8. Protonotariou S, Batzaki C, Yanniotis S, Mandala I. Effect of jet milled whole wheat flour in biscuits properties. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*. 2016;74:106–113.
9. Sudha ML, Vetrmani R, Leelavathi K. Influence of fiber from different cereals on the rheological characteristics of wheat flour dough and on biscuit quality. *Food Chemistry*. 2007;100(4):1365–1370.
10. Goesaert H, Brijs K, Veraverbeke WS. Wheat flour constituents: How they impact grain. *Acta Chimica Slovaca*. 2015;2(1): 115 – 138.
11. Yusufu MI, Akhigbe AO. The production of pawpaw enriched cookies: Functional, Physico-chemical and sensory characteristics. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Science*. 2018;2(2).
12. Joanne LS, Beate L. Health Benefits of Fruits and Vegetables. *Advances in Nutrition*. 2012;3(4):506-516
13. Orwa C, Muua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Anthony S. *Agroforestry Data Base* (4th ed.); 2009.
14. Scheldeman X, Willemen L, Coppens EG, Romeijn-Peeters E, Restrepo MT, Romero MJ, Jiménez D, Lobo M, Medina CI, Reyes C, Rodríguez D, Ocampo JA, Van Damme P, Goetgebeur P. Distribution, diversity and environmental adaptation of highland papayas (*Vasconcellea spp*) in tropical and subtropical America, *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 2007;16(6):293–310.
15. Anuara NS, Zaharia SS, Taiba IA, Rahaman MT. Effect of green and ripe Carica Biscuits Made from Wheat Supplemented with Watermelon Rinds and Orange Pomace Flour Blends. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 2008;12(2157-944X):332–341.
16. Oloyede IO. Chemical profile of unripe pulp of Carica papaya. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2005;6:379–361.
17. Leontowicz M, Leontowicz H, Drzewiecki, Jastrzebski J, Haruenkit Z, Poovarodom R, Park S, Jung YS, Kang ST, Trakhtenberg S. Two exotic fruits positively affect rat's plasma composition. *Food Chemistry*. 2007;102:192–200.
18. Mushtaq A, Khaliq M, Saeed A, Azeem MWJ, Ihene BG. Almond (*Prunus amygdalus L.*): A review on health benefits, nutritional value and therapeutic applications. *IJCBS*. 2015;8:103–106.

19. Salawu RA, Onyegbula AF, Lawal IO, Akande SA, Oladipo AK. Comparative study of the nutritional, phytochemical and mineral compositions of the nuts of tropical almond (*Terminalia catappa*) and sweet almond (*Prunus amygdalus*). *Ruhuna Journal of Science*. 2018;9(2536–8400):70–77.
20. Shahid M, Tahreem JM, Wajiha S, Muhammad QA. A critical review on varieties and benefits of almond (*Prunus dulcis*). *Acta Scientific Nutritional Health*. 2019a;3(11):70–72.
21. Guyih DG, Dinnah A, Ojotu EM. Production and quality evaluation of cookies from wheat, almond seed and carrot flour blends. *International Journal of Food Science and Biotechnology*. 2020;5(4): 55-61.
Available:https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijfsb.20200504.11
22. Samaa MS, Salim A. Functional properties, nutritional quality and sensory characteristics of pumpkin peel puree fortified biscuit. *J. Food. Sci.* 2020;48(2):203–212.
23. Akubor PI. Chemical composition, physical and sensory properties of biscuits supplemented with cashew pomace flour. *NSUK Journal of Science and Technology*. 2018;6(2016):138–141.
24. Bala A, Gul K, Riar CS. Functional and sensory properties of cookies prepared from wheat flour supplemented with cassava and water chestnut flours. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2015;1(1019815).
25. Egwujeh SIOD, et al. Production and Evaluation of the Physico-Chemical and Sensory Properties of Biscuit from Wheat and Cricket Flours. *Acta Scientific Nutritional Health*. 2018;(2.1):03–07.
26. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis (17th ed.); 2010.
27. Krishnaiah D, Devi T, Bono A, Sarbatly R. Studies on phytochemical constituents of six Malaysian medicinal plants. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Resources*. 2009;3(2):67–72.
28. Laddomada B, Durante M, Mangini G, D'Amico L, Lenucci MS, Simeone R, Piarulli L, Mita G, Blanco A. Genetic variation for phenolic acids concentration and composition in a tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum L.*) collection. 2016;64:587–597.
29. Afify AE, El-Beltagi HS, El-Salam SM, Omran AA. Biochemical changes in phenols, flavonoids, tannins, vitamin E, β-carotene and antioxidant activity during soaking of three white sorghum varieties. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 2012;2(3):203–209.
30. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis (18th ed.); 2011.
31. Akinjayeju O. Quality control for the industry: A statistical approach. *Food Science and Nutrition*. 2009;4(3):364–369.
32. Kiin-Kabari DB, Mbanefo CU, Akusu OM. Production, nutritional evaluation and acceptability of cookies made from a blend of wheat, African walnut, and carrot flours. *Asian Food Science Journal*. 2021; 20(6):60–76.
33. Shittu T, Raji O, Sanni LA. Bread from composite cassava-wheat flour: I. Effect of baking time and temperature on some physical properties of bread loaf. *Food Res Int*. 2007;40:280-90.
34. Dayakar R, Mohamed A, Sunooj K, Patil J, Ganesh T. Influence of milling methods and particle size on hydration properties of sorghum flour and quality of sorghum biscuits. *LWT Food Sci Technol*. 2016;67:8-13.
35. Adejumo P, Adejumo A, Edeberi O, Olukoya FO. Effect of unripe banana and pigeon pea flour on the chemical, anti-nutritional and sensory properties of whole wheat- based cookies. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2020;4(1):17-23.
36. Ekissi ESG, Koffi EA, Konan OH, Gbotognon OJ, Kouadio NP. Composition of organic acids and anti-nutritional factors of papaya (*Carica papaya L. var solo 8*) at different stages of maturity. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*. 2020;6(12).
37. Nwosu A, Akubor P. Health promoting potentials of biscuits produced with orange peel and pulp flours. *J Nutri Ecol Food Res*. 2016;3:43–48.
38. Akubor PI, Hotu J. Phytochemical composition and physical and sensory properties of biscuits supplemented with sun- and oven-dried sweet orange pulp flours. *Innovare Journal of Food Sci*. 2020;8(3):1–5.
39. Amadi JAC. Nutrient, phytochemical and sensory evaluation of biscuits produced from composite flours of wheat enriched with okra pod. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*. 2019;17(1):65 – 78.
40. Akoja SS, Coker OJ. Physicochemical, functional, pasting and sensory properties

- of wheat flour biscuit incorporated with Okra powder. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2018;3(5):64-70.
41. Sanni O, Adebawale A, Olayiwole I, Maziya-Dixon B. Chemical composition and pasting properties of Iron fortified maize flour. Journal of Food Agric. and Environment. 2008;6:172-175.
 42. Azza AO, Ola SI, Zahrat EOMM. Quality characteristics of biscuit prepared from wheat and flaxseed flour. Journal of Food Science. 2016;38(4):129-138.
 43. Jimoh MO. Quality evaluation of cookies made from wheat flour fortified with tiger nut flour and date palm fruit. European Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2021;9(3):35–52.
 44. Moktan K, Ojha P. Quality evaluation of physical properties, antinutritional factors, and antioxidant activity of bread fortified with germinated horse gram (*Dolichus uniflorus*) flour. Food Science and Nutrition. 2016;4(5):766–771.
 45. Grah BAM, Yapo BM, Dakia A, Niaba K, Gnakri PVD. Manufacture of biscuit from the flour of wheat and lentil seeds as a food supplement. European Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;2(2):23–32.
 46. Omah EC, Okafor G. production and quality evaluation of cookies from blends of millet-pigeon pea composite flour and cassava cortex. Journal of Food Resource Science. 2015;4(2):23–32.
 47. Petroski W, Minich D. Is there such a thing as “Anti-Nutrients”? A narrative review of perceived problematic plant compounds. 2020;12(10):2929.
 48. Udomkun P, Tirawattanawanich C, Ilukor J, Sridonpai P, Njukwe E, Nimbona P, Vanlauwe B. Promoting the use of locally produced crops in making cereal-legume based composite flours: An assessment of nutrient, antinutrient, mineral molar ratios, and aflatoxin content. Food Chemistry Journal. 2019;286:651–658.
 49. Siddiq M, Nasir M, Ravi R, Dolan DK, Butt SM. The effect of defatted maize germ addition on functional and textural properties of wheat flour. Int. J. Food Prop. 2009;12:860–870.
 50. Schiavone A, Chiarini R, Marzoni M, Castillo A, Tassone S, Romboli I. Breast Meat Traits of Muscovy Ducks Fed on a Microalga (*Cryptocodinium cohnii*) Meal Supplemented Diet. British Poultry Science. 2007;48(5):573-579.
 51. Makanjuola OM, Adebawale JO. Vitamins, functional and sensory attributes of biscuit produced from wheat-cocoyam composite flour. Journal of Science and Innovative Research. 2020;9(2):77-82. ISSN 2320-4818
 52. Zakpa HD. Production and Characterization of flour produced from ripe “apein” plantain (*Musa Sapientum* L.var.paradisiacal) Grown in Ghana. Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology. 2010;2(6):92-99.
 53. Ighere DA, Onabanjo OO, Olayiwola IO, Adegunwa MO. Chemical evaluation of biscuit produced from wheat, yellow maize and beniseed flour blends. Journal of Applied Sciences. 2019;19:756–762. Availanle:<https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2019.756.762>
 54. Munteanu C, Iliuta A. Iodine waters. Balneo Research Journal. 2011;2(4):124–126.
 55. Akubor PI, Owuse AU. Chemical composition, functional and biscuit making properties of tomato peel flour. South Asian J. Food Technol. Environ. 2020;6(1):874–884.
 56. Pravina P, Sayaji D, Avinash M. Calcium and its role in human body. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences. 2013;4(2):659-668.
 57. Mlitan A, Sasi M, Alkherraz AM. Proximate and minor mineral content in some selected basil leaves of *Ocimum gratissimum* L, in Libya. International Journal Chemical Engineering. 2014;5: 8–17.
 58. Pisoschi A, Negulescu GP. Methods for total antioxidant activity determination. Biochemistry & Analytical Biochemistry. 2011;1(1):106-115.
 59. Azuan AA, Mohd Zin Z, Hasmadi M, Rusli ND, Zainol MK. Physicochemical, antioxidant and sensory characteristics of cookies supplemented with different levels of spent coffee ground extract. Food Research. 2020;4(4):1181–1190.
 60. Sharma P, Gujral HS. Effect of sand roasting and microwave cooking on antioxidant activity of barley. Food Research International. 2011;14(10):227-236.
 61. Uzo-Peters PI, Ola ST. Proximate composition and functional properties ocomposite sorghum-okara flour and

- sensory evaluation of local snack product (SOSA). Agresearch. 2020;20(1): 158–167.
62. Stoin D, Jianu C, Mișcă C, Bujancă G, Rădulescu L. Effect of Almond Flour on Nutritional, Sensory and Bakery Characteristics of Gluten-Free; 2018.
63. Dubat et al. A new AACC international approved method to measure rheological properties of a dough sample. Cereal Foods World. 2010;55(3)150.
64. Haimanot HA, Geremew B, Tilahun A, Tessema A. Nutritional and sensory quality of wheat bread supplemented with cassava and soybean flours, Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2017;3(1). Available:<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2017.1331892>
65. Jarvis I, Jarvis KE. Plasma spectrometry in the earth sciences: Techniques, applications and future trends. Chem. Geol. 1992;95(1–2):1–33.
66. Pasqualone A, Laddomada B, Spina A, Todaro A, Guzmán C, Summo C, Mita G, Giannone V. Almond by-products: Extraction and characterization of phenolic compounds and evaluation of their potential use in composite dough with wheat flour. LWT. 2018;89: 299–306.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116996>