



Volume 30, Issue 5, Page 959-969, 2024; Article no.JSRR.113653 ISSN: 2320-0227

Assess the Magnitude of Genetic Diversity in Advance Breeding Line of Mungbean with Respect to Seed Yield and Component Traits

Pallavi Sonaniya ^{a*}, Lekha Ram ^a, Gundlapalli Soumith ^a Ravindra Solanki ^a, Ghanshyam Bamaniya ^a and Rahul Sonaniya ^b

 ^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior Madhya Pradesh-474001, India.
^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2024/v30i52013

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113653

> Received: 07/02/2024 Accepted: 11/04/2024 Published: 19/04/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted across four distinct environments in Madhya Pradesh during the 2021 kharif season, utilizing a Randomized Complete Block Design involving fourteen Mungbean genotypes with three replications. Examination of genetic parameters unveiled a notable pattern: the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) consistently exceeded the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) across all observed traitsof particular interest were the traits demonstrating the highest PCV and GCV values, notably seed yield per plant in E2 followed by biological yield per

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: pallavisonaniya.gpb@gmail.com;

J. Sci. Res. Rep vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 959-969, 2024

plant in E1. These findings strongly suggest the prevalence of additive gene action influencing these traits, as indicated by their high heritability estimates. The traits with the highest heritability values were seed yield per plant in E3 and E4, biological yield per plant in E2 and E4, and number of pods per plant in E1. These results underscore the genetic basis underlying these traits and their potential for targeted breeding efforts. Cluster I was the largest among all the clusters comprising 8 genotypes, whereas cluster II had 5 genotypes. While the clusters III were solitary cluster consisting one genotype only. Cluster II showed maximum intra cluster D2 value, whereas clusters III showed zero value for Intra cluster distance. The highest inter cluster divergence was observed between genotypes of cluster II and III. The percent contribution of individual characters toward the total divergence was found high for Harvest index, whereas Number of primary branches showed low percentage of contribution

Keywords: PCV; GCV; heritability; genetic advance; D2; clusters; environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mungbean, scientifically known as *Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var radiata, is a legume from the Fabaceae family. With a chromosome count of 2n = 22 and a compact 579 Mb genome, it goes by various names like green gram, moong, green soy, green bean, mash bean, and golden gram [1]. This crop, thriving in tropical and subtropical regions, stands as a significant food and cash crop. Its seeds offer easily digestible dietary protein, with an ideal daily intake of about 40 grams per person or 14.6 kg annually [2].

The protein content in green gram surpasses that of cereals by two to three times, comprising 51 percent carbohydrates, 26 percent protein, and 4 percent each of minerals and essential vitamins like A, B1, B2, C, niacin, folate, iron, calcium, and zinc. This nutrient profile complements and diversifies cereal-based diets effectively.

Mungbean has gained importance in double and intercropping systems due to its short growing cycle and nitrogen-fixing capabilities (58– 109 kg-1 ha-1), which significantly enhance soil fertility [3]. Its agronomic, nutritional, and economic advantages have led to a substantial surge in both production and consumer demand worldwide over the last two decades.

Globally, Mungbean cultivation spans various latitudes and seasons, occupying over 6 million hectares. In the context of pulses in India, which covers 28.79 million hectares with a production of 25.46 million tonnes and a productivity rate of 885 Kg per hectare, Mungbean covers 5.55 million hectares, yielding 3.17 million tonnes at a productivity rate of 570 Kg per hectare [4]. Notably, its production has escalated from 1.60 million tonnes in 2015-16 to 3.17 million tonnes in 2021-22. Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, and Madhya Pradesh stand out as key Mungbean cultivating states. Among these, Madhya Pradesh contributes 938.10 hectares, 1134.52 tonnes, and 1209 Kg per hectare in terms of area, production, and productivity, respectively.

Enhancing the genetic traits of this crop primarily relies on understanding genetic variability and heritability factors. Analyzing parameters like phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variability, genetic advance, and heritability [2] becomes imperative. This knowledge aids in pinpointing the most favorable yield attributes for selection or hybridization, laying the foundation for effective crop improvement.

Genetic diversity is an important factor and also a prerequisitein any hybridization programme. Inclusionof diverse parents in hybridization programme servesthe purpose of combining desirable recombinations.Multivariate analysis by means ofMahalanobis D² statistic is a powerful tool inquantifying the degree of divergence at genotypiclevel.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study took place in the experimental areas of the All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLaRP across four diverse environments in Madhya Pradesh (R.A.K. College of Agriculture, Sehore; K.V.K, Barwani; K.V.K, Jhabhua; and College of Agriculture, Gwalior) during the 2021 Kharif season. Fourteen different mungbean genotypes were cultivated using a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. The crop rows spanned 4 meters in length, with a spacing of 30 cm between rows and 10 cm between plants. The fields exhibited uniformity, gentle slopes, proper drainage, and normal fertilitv levels, where all recommended agronomic practices were implemented to foster a robust crop.

Data collection involved observing five randomly selected plants within each plot. These observations encompassed various characteristics such as days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, 100-seed weight, biological yield per plant, seed yield per plant, and harvest index.

Analysis of variance followed the methodology outlined by Burton [5], while the estimation of range was conducted based on Johnson et al.'s method [6]. The Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) were calculated using Burton's formula [5]. Heritability was determined using Allard's formula [7], and genetic advance was calculated as a percentage using Johnson et al.'s formula [6]. The data were subjected to Mahalanobis D² statistics as per Mahalonobis [8] method and genotypes were grouped into differentclusters following Toucher's method as suggested by Rao (1952).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the present study on fourteen genotypes were done to understand the genetic diversity. The experimental results of the present investigation have been mentioned under following:

3.1 Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance highlighted significant differences among genotypes across most traits, with exceptions noted in specific environments for traits like days to maturity in E3, plant height in E3 and E4, and the number of primary branches and seeds per pod across all environments. When pooling data across significant differences among environments, genotypes were observed for most traits, except for the number of primary branches and seeds per pod, where highly significant differences in mean sum of squares were evident, likely due to minimal genotype \times environment interaction for these specific traits within the studied material (Table 1). These findings align with previous research by Sopan et al. [9] and Mwangi et al [10].

3.2 Parameters of Genetic Variability

The genetic variability parameters namely phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genetic coefficient of variation (GCV), heritability in broad sense (%), genetic advance and expected genetic advance (as per cent of mean) for all ten traits were Estimated and have been presented in Table 2.

3.3 Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV), Genetic Coefficient of Variation (GCV)

In this study, the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) consistently surpassed the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) across all traits analysed. The traits with the highest PCV and GCV were seed yield per plant in E2 (31.36, 28.58) followed by biological yield per plant in E1 (27.41, 24.80). Moderate PCV and GCV values were observed for biological yield per plant in E4 (19.35 for PCV) followed by number of primary branches in E4 (16.15) and harvest index in E3 (14.61). For GCV, notable values were recorded for seed yield per plant in E4 (18.29), biological yield per plant in E3 (17.06), and number of pods per plant in E1 (16.42).

The traits with the lowest PCV were number of pods per plant in E4 (9.64), followed by 100 seed weight (8.39), and days to maturity in E1 (3.41). As for GCV, the lowest values were observed for plant height in E4 (1.31), number of primary branches in E1 (3.93), and plant height in E2 (8.02).

These results suggest that selecting traits with higher PCV and GCV for further breeding efforts could be more effective. These findings align with previous research by Nitesh et al. (2017) for seed yield per plant, harvest index, and number of pods per plant, Tushar kumar et al. (2019) and Mariyammal et al. [11] for seed yield per plant, Ramakrishnan et al. [12], Zida et al. [13], and Sineka et al. [14] for number of pods per plant.

3.4 Heritability (Broad Sense) and Genetic Advance

Heritability, indicating the inheritance of traits from parents to offspring, aids breeders in selecting superior genotypes. Higher heritability suggests traits less influenced by the environment and primarily controlled by additive effects. Robinson genetic et al. (1949)heritability high categorized into (>60%). moderate (30-60%), and low (<30%). In this study, seed yield per plant displayed the highest heritability in E3 (97.32) and E4 (92.95), followed by biological yield per plant in E2 (88.66) and E4 (87.91), and number of pods per plant in E1 (63.66). Moderate heritability was noted for days to 50% flowering in E1 (59.59) and plant height in E3 (47.21) (Table 2).

Course	- 4						Меа	an Squares	5					
Source	στ	df		Days	to 50% flow	vering					Days to n	naturity		
variations			E1	E2	E3	E4	POE	E1	E	2	E3	E4		POE
Replicate		2	1.88	1.45	3.50	4.57	8.73	6.02	1	3.02	0.73	7.73		7.06
Genotypes		13	9.83*	6.85*	8.11*	6.79*	20.67*	8.60*	1	3.42*	10.30	12.08	3*	16.11*
Error		26	3.31	2.01	1.55	1.57	0.88	2.94	4	.15	6.02	2.68		2.23
Source	of						Меа	an Squares	S					
Variations	01	df			No. of pods	s/plant				1	No. of prin	nary brand	ches.	
Variations			E1	E2	E3	E4	POI	-	E1	E2	E3	E4	PC	DE
Replicate		2	0.16	8.00	18.50	5.42	8.32	2	0.73	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.1	18
Genotypes		13	36.19*	25.57*	41.15*	7.87	* 199	.21*	0.71	1.51	0.72	1.86	17	.45
Error		26	5.80	4.25	6.98	1.76	7.92	2	0.50	0.90	0.54	1.15	0.4	48
Source	of						Меа	an Squares	6					
Variations	U	df		Plant height						No. of	seeds pe	r pod		
variations			E1	E2	E	3	E4	POE	E1		E2	E3	E4	POE
Replicate		2	1.59	0.28	1.	73	8.00	7.52	0.7	73	0.21	0.28	0.16	0.25
Genotypes		13	58.45*	83.03*	14	4.79	7.42	140.84*	1.1	12	0.58	1.06	1.06	15.94
Error		26	22.26	18.33	7.	96	5.53	1.36	0.0	66	0.39	0.61	0.83	0.38
Source	of						Меа	an Squares	6					
Variations	01	df df		Biolog	ical yield per	r plant					Harvest i	ndex		
variations			E1	E2	E3	E4	POE	E1	E2	2	E3	E4		POE
Replicate		2	1.28	3.50	5.07	3.42	1.30	26.84	7.9	94	18.93	28.48		18.72
Genotypes		13	38.85*	43.40*	28.61*	29.47*	82.00*	30.42*	31	.65*	141.5	32.14	*	161.57*
Error		26	2.67	2.65	2.49	3.24	3.99	11.23	14	.54	12.22	10.08		25.16
Source	of	df					Меа	an Squares	6					
Variations	01	u			100 seed wei	ight					Seed yield	d per plan	t	
variations			E1	E2	E3	E4	PO	E E1		E2	E3	E4		POE
Replicate		2	0.02	0.38	0.10	0.04	0.0	6 0.3	39	0.009	0.08	0.1	7	0.11
Genotypes		13	0.18*	0.16*	0.20*	0.24*	5.9	9* 2.0)2*	3.90*	2.64	* 1.0	2*	15.06*
Error		26	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.0	5 0. ⁻	12	0.24	0.12	0.0	6	0.17

Table 1. Analysis of variance for ten various characters in mungbean

Sr				Range		PCV	GCV	^{h2} (broad	Genetic	Gen.Adv
No.	Characters	Environment	Mean	Mini	Maxi	(%)	(%)	sense)	advance	as % of Mean
		E1	41.95	39.00	45.00	5.58	3.52	59.59	3.93	9.37
	Deveto E00/ flow aring	E2	40.45	38.66	43.00	4.70	3.14	67.43	3.59	8.88
1	Daysto50%nowening	E3	41.92	39.00	44.00	4.61	3.53	78.51	4.77	11.38
		E4	41.42	39.00	43.66	4.39	3.18	72.55	4.05	9.78
		POE	41.44	39.75	43.75	4.85	2.75	65.16	2.77	6.68
		E1	64.28	62.00	69.00	3.41	2.14	59.07	3.65	5.68
	Dovetomoturity	E2	65.47	62.00	68.33	4.11	2.69	62.67	4.83	7.38
2	Daysionaluniy	E3	65.61	63.00	69.33	4.15	1.82	59.16	2.25	3.43
		E4	65.45	61.00	67.66	3.68	2.70	73.82	5.45	8.33
		POE	65.20	62.00	68.25	3.86	1.95	60.64	2.75	4.22
		E1	19.38	13.00	25.00	20.59	16.42	63.56	10.55	54.44
	No.ofpodsperplant	E2	21.14	17.33	26.00	15.94	12.61	62.54	8.79	41.58
3		E3	24.35	20.00	31.66	17.59	13.86	61.98	11.05	45.38
		E4	20.21	16.00	22.66	9.64	7.06	53.63	4.41	21.82
		POE	21.27	18.25	23.75	16.53	7.25	55.64	2.89	13.59
		E1	6.62	6.00	7.00	11.45	3.93	56.83	0.47	7.10
	No of primary brancheoper	E2	6.64	6.00	8.00	15.82	6.79	63.39	0.91	13.70
4	No.orphinarybranchesper	E3	5.74	4.66	6.66	13.52	4.35	50.28	0.45	7.84
	plant	E4	7.31	6.00	8.00	16.15	6.68	62.07	0.95	13.00
		POE	6.58	5.91	7.25	14.57	3.55	66.98	0.35	5.32
		E1	57.05	49.33	63.33	10.27	6.09	60.15	8.59	15.06
	Dianthaight(am)	E2	57.93	50.33	64.66	10.90	8.02	79.05	14.17	24.46
5	Flantineight(cm)	E3	60.69	55.33	65.00	5.27	2.49	47.21	3.03	4.99
		E4	60.50	56.00	62.66	4.10	1.31	40.18	1.15	1.90
		POE	59.04	53.91	62.08	8.06	3.80	57.24	4.47	7.57
		E1	10.33	9.00	11.33	8.74	3.82	49.09	0.83	8.03
6	No.ofseedsperpod	E2	9.57	9.00	10.33	7.07	2.65	43.97	0.51	5.33
0		E3	9.71	8.66	10.66	9.02	3.99	49.51	0.81	8.34
		E4	8.83	8.00	10.00	10.80	3.14	43.46	0.45	5.10

Table 2. Estimates of genetic parameters for 10 different characters of mungbean genotypes

Sr.	Characters	Environment	Mean	Range		PCV	GCV	^{h2} (broad	Genetic	Gen.Adv
		POE	9.61	9.00	10.33	8.94	3.83	48.27	0.75	7.80
		E1	14.00	10.73	20.60	27.41	24.80	86.83	9.82	70.11
	Dialogical violdporplant(gra)	E2	16.42	12.00	23.66	24.52	22.44	88.66	10.52	64.07
7	Biologicalyleidperplant(gm)	E3	17.29	12.66	21.83	19.35	17.06	82.73	8.14	47.05
		E4	15.71	12.00	23.00	22.03	18.82	87.91	7.91	50.35
		POE	15.85	12.40	22.23	23.20	18.81	84.62	10.07	63.53
		E1	21.48	17.40	28.50	19.54	11.77	71.28	6.37	29.66
	Here existing $(0/)$	E2	23.31	17.83	29.70	19.29	10.24	63.18	5.33	22.87
8	Harvest index (%)	E3	24.54	18.73	26.96	14.61	3.27	40.05	0.83	3.38
		E4	20.10	15.96	26.73	20.76	13.49	77.16	7.35	36.57
		POE	22.36	18.96	26.31	18.46	8.06	60.07	3.35	14.98
		E1	2.96	2.56	3.36	9.66	7.74.	74.63	0.85	28.72
	100cccdwciabt(am)	E2	3.51	3.26	3.93	8.39	5.64	55.83	0.65	18.52
9	100seedweight(gm)	E3	2.95	2.40	3.53	11.68	8.86	67.98	0.93	31.53
		E4	2.60	2.16	3.00	12.20	10.43	83.27	1.05	40.38
		POE	3.01	2.71	3.25	10.36	5.30	67.22	0.43	14.29
		E1	2.97	1.93	4.40	29.19	26.77	94.13	2.36	79.46
	Cood violate exploret (area)	E2	3.86	2.20	5.40	31.36	28.58	93.05	3.22	83.29
10	Seedyleidperplant(gm)	E3	4.21	2.96	5.76	23.26	21.74	97.32	2.75	65.32
		E4	3.09	2.26	4.00	20.08	18.29	92.95	1.70	55.02
		POE	3.53	2.47	4.82	26.71	21.37	81.05	1.97	55.81

Sonaniya et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 959-969, 2024; Article no.JSRR.113653

Genetic advance. influenced by selection intensity, heritability, and phenotypic standard deviation, ranged from 0.12 to 4.98 across environments. The highest genetic advance was seen in biological yield per plant in E2 (10.52) and number of pods per plant in E3 (11.55), while the lowest was observed in 100 seed weight in E2 (0.65). Other traits had genetic advance values around 7.19 (biological yield per plant in E4), 9.59 (plant height in E1), 7.35 (harvest index in E4), 4.77 (days to 50% flowering in E3), 1.70 (seed yield per plant in E4), and 0.95 (number of primary branches in E4) (Table 2). These findings align with previous research by Aparna et al. [15] highlighting high heritability and low genetic advance for days to 50% flowering and traits like biological yield per plant and number of pods per plant, as observed by Malli et al. [16] and Sineka et al. (2021).

3.5 Genetic Divergence Analysis

To calculate D^2 values, the correlated average values of characteristics were converted into standard uncorrelated averages through the application of Tocher's method. The statistical distance (Mahalanobis D^2) between pairs of genotypes was determined by summing up the squared differences between the pairs of corresponding uncorrelated values for any two genotypes analysed simultaneously.

The analysis of variance showed significant differences between Mungbean genotypes for all thecharacters studied. All the fourteen genotypes weregrouped into three clusters (Table 3 and Fig. 1). Cluster I was the largest among all the clusters comprising 8 genotypes, whereas cluster II had 5 genotypes. While the clusters III were solitarycluster consisting one genotype only.



Cluster No.	NO. of genotypes	Name of the Genotypes
1	8	RVSTM 22-1, RVSTM 22-2, IPM 410-3 (Shikha), IPM 205 -7 (Virat), RVSM 18-1, MI 98-64, MI 181-1, MI 750-1
II	5	RVSM 22-3, RVSM 22-4, RVSM 22-5, RVSM 22-6, RVSM 22-7
	1	RVSM 22-8

Fig. 1. Dendrogram based on genetic distance, summarizing the data on differentiation between 14 Mungbean genotypes according to Mahalanobis' D2 method

Table 3. Clustering pattern of 14 genotypes of Mungbeanbased on Mahalanobis' D2-values ar	۱d
the member present in each respective cluster	

1= RVSTM 22-1	8= RVSM 22-8
2= RVSTM 22-2	9= RVSM 18-1
3= RVSM 22-3	10= MI 98-64
4= RVSM 22-4	11= MI 181-1
5= RVSM 22-5	12= MI 750-1
6= RVSM 22-6	13= IPM 410-3(Shikha)
7= RVSM 22-7	14= IPM 205-7 (Virat)

The patternof group constellations indicated that significantvariability existed among the genotypes as observed from the clusters. Cluster I originating from differentplaces indicated that there was no parallelismbetween clustering pattern and geographicdistribution of genotypes. Similar findings werereported by Henry and Mathur (2017) and Rahim [17]. This kind of genetic diversity was recordedamong the genotypes belonging to the samegeographic origin might be due to difference inadoption, selection criteria. selection pressure and environmental condition.

The intra and inter cluster D^2 mean values are presented in table 4.On the basis of D^2 values, 14 genotypes were grouped into three clusters. Intra cluster distance ranged from 0.00 to 4.98. Cluster II showed maximum intra cluster D^2 value $(D^2 = 4.98)$, cluster I $(D^2 = 4.71)$, whereas clusters III showed zero value for Intra cluster distance.The highest inter cluster divergence was observed between genotypes of cluster II and III (8.46), followed by cluster I and cluster II (8.15). Cluster distance was lowest between cluster I and cluster III (7.20).

Cluster II showed highest cluster mean for Six characters viz., Days to50% flowering, Days to

maturity, No. of pods/plant, Plant height, Biological yield per plant and Seed yield per plant. Cluster III recordedhighest mean value for No. of primary branches, No. of seeds per pod and Harvest index, whilecluster I recorded highest mean value for 100 seed weight only (Table 5).

The selection and choice of parents mainly depend upon contribution of character towards divergence Loganathan et al., [18] and the contribution towards genetic divergence isrepresented in Table 6. It was observed that among all the traits, contribution of seed vield per plant was maximum The percent contribution of individual characters toward the total divergence was found high for Harvest index (%) (34.07%) followed by 100 seed weight (g) (20.88%), Biological yield per plant (g) (17.58%), Seed yield per plant (g) (10.99%), Plant height (cm) (4.40%), Days to 50% flowering and Number of pods per plant (3.30%), Days to maturity and Number of seeds per pod (2.20%) and Number of primary branches (1.10%) showed low percentage of contribution and it also contributed towards total divergence. Similar results were reported by Appalaswamy and Reddy [16] and Henry and Mathur [20].

Table 4. Average intra (Bold) and inter cluster D ²	values in Mungbean genotypes

Clusters	Cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III
Cluster I	4.71	8.15	7.2
Cluster II		4.98	8.46
Cluster III			0.00

Clusters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of pods/plant	No. of primary branches	Plant Height (cm)
Cluster I	41.54	63.75	18.17	6.46	53.88
Cluster II	43.07	65.07	22.00	6.80	61.6
Cluster III	39.67	64.67	16.00	7.00	59.67
Clusters	No. of seeds per pod	Biological yield per plant (gm)	Harvest index	100 seed weight (gm)	Seed yield per plant (gm)
Cluster I	10.38	11.91	20.41	3.07	2.41
Cluster II	10.20	17.99	21.81	2.79	3.87
Cluster III	10.67	10.73	28.50	2.97	3.03

Table 5. Cluster means for	yield attributed characters	in Mungbean genotypes
----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Character	Contribution %
1	Days to 50% flowering	3.30
2	Days to maturity	2.20
3	No. of pods/plant	3.30
4	No. of primary branches	1.10
5	Plant height	4.40
6	No. of seeds per pod	2.20
7	Biological yield per plant	17.58
8	Harvest index	34.07
9	100 seed weight	20.88
10	Seed yield per plant	10.99
	Total	100%

Table 6. Relative contribution of different characters for genetic divergence in Mungbean
genotypes

It is well known that crosses betweendivergent parents usually produce greater heteroticeffect than closely related ones [21-24]. Considering theimportance of character towards total divergence,the present study indicated that parental linesselected from cluster I (RVSTM 22-1, RVSTM 22-2, IPM 410-3 (Shikha), IPM 205 -7 (Virat), RVSM 18-1, MI 98-64, MI 181-1, MI 750-1) and from cluster II (RVSM 22-3, RVSM 22-4, RVSM 22-5, RVSM 22-6, RVSM 22-7) could be used in crossing programme toachieve desired segregants [25-27].

4. CONCLUSIONS

pooled analysis of variance The shows significance across all genotypes, except for the number of primary branches, signifying ample genetic variability within the population. Traits such as seed yield per plant and biological yield per plant exhibited the highest phenotypic and genetic coefficient of variation, suggesting a strong influence of genetic variability on their expression. The combination of high heritability and substantial genetic advance as a percentage of the mean was observed prominently in biological yield per plant, followed by seed yield per plant and the number of pods per plant. This suggests that these traits are predominantly governed by additive gene action, making them suitable candidates for direct selection in breeding programs. On the basis of these traits superior genotypes are selected and used in hybridization programme as a donor parent. crossing programme could be made among the genotypes belonging in cluster and cluster II for getting maximum 1 heterotic combinations, especially for yield of Mungbean.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am immensely grateful to Dr. Lekharam, our esteemed guide and chairman, a Scientist in Plant Breeding and Genetics at R.A.K. College of Agriculture, Sehore (M.P.), for initiating this research problem and providing invaluable guidance, unwavering support, and insightful suggestions throughout this investigation. His inspiration was instrumental in the successful completion of this dissertation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Rahangdale S, Lakhani JP, Singh SK, Sharma S, Barela A, Kumar P,Prajapati SS. Study of Selection Criteria and Genetic Variability in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) Genotypes for Seed Yield and its Contributing Traits. Environment and Ecology. 2023;41(1):145—151.
- Afroz M, Thirumala Rao V, Sridhar V, Hari Y. Assessment of genetic variability in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek.] The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(11):1371-1373
- HaeftenSV, DudleyC,KangY, SmithD, Nair RM, DouglasCA, PotgieterA, RobinsonH, Hickey LT, MR. Building a better Mungbean: Breeding for reproductive resilience in a changing climate. Food and Energy Security; 2023. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.467

4. Anonymous. Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on KHARIF PULSES,ICAR-IndianInstituteof

PulsesResearch, Kanpur. 2022-23;42-45.

- 5. Burton GW. Quantitaive Inheritance in grasses. Proceeding of 6th International Grassland Congress. 1952;(1):277-283.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock PE. Estimate of genetic and environmental variabilityin Soybeans. Agron. J. 1955;47:314-318.
- Allard RW. Principles of Plant breeding. John Willey and Sons, Inc., New York. 1960;485.
- Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A. 2008;80:S1-S7.
- Sopan Z, Gandhi AG, Vishwavidyalaya K, Sao IA, Nair IS, Nanda HC, Nair SK. Combining ability analysis for seed yield, its contributing traits and protein content in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(5):761-764.
- Mwangi JW, OkothOR, Kariuki MP, Piero MN .Genetic and phenotypic diversity of selected Kenyan mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilckzek) genotypes. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. 2021;19:142.
- Mariyammal I, Pandiyan M, Vanniarajan C, Kennedy JS, Senthil N. Genetic variability in segregating generations of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) for quantitative traits. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019;10(1):293 – 296.
- Ramakrishnan CK Divya, Savithramma DL, Vijayabharathi A. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in Green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Int.J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(3):2753-2761.
- Zida WPFMS, Batieno TB. J, Ouedraogo TJ, Sawadogo M. Agro morphological evaluation of 44 lines of Mung Bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) introduced in Burkina Faso. European Scientific Journal, ESJ. 2021;17(40), 20.
- Sineka T, Murugan E, Sheeba1 A, Hemalatha G, Vanniarajan C. Genetic relatedness and variability studies in greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2021;12(4):1157 – 1162.
- 15. Aparna R, Singh SK, Sharma V, Pathak R. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic

advance and path analysis in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Legume Res. 2015;38(2):157-163.

- Malli SK, Lavanya GR, Nikhil BSK. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) genotypes. Green Farming. 2018;9.(2): 235-238,
- Rahim MA. Genetic variability, character association and genetic divergence in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.Wilczek). Plant Omics. 2020;3(1):1-6.
- Loganathan P.K. Saravanan and J. Ganesan. Genetic analysis of yield and related components in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Research on Crops. 2021;1:34-36.
- Appalaswamy A, Reddy GLK. Genetic divergence and heterotic studies on mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.Wilczek). Legume Res. 2020;27(2):115-118.
- 20. Henry A, Mathur, BK. Correlation studies of quality parameters, seed yield and maturity period in clusterbean. J. Arid Legumes. 2017;5(1):70-74.
- Asari T, Patel BN, Patel R, Patil GB, Solank C. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield contributing characters in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(4): 383-387.
- Desai, V. K., Parmar, L. D., Chaudhary, A. R. and Chaudhary, N. B. (2020). Genetic variability, correlation, path coefficient and stability analysis for yield and its attributing traits in summer Green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] accessions. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(6): 2942-2955.
- Jagdhane NM, Suresh BG, Ram BJ, Yadav P. Genetic variability and character association for seed yield in Mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1388-1390.
- 24. Mehandi S, Singh IP, Bohra A, Singh CM. Multivariate analysi in greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Legume Res. 2018; 38(6):758-762.
- Ovir, Singh AK. Analysis of morphological characters interrelationship in the germplasm of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) in the hot arid climate.; Legume Res. 2016;39(1):14-19.
- 26. Paliwal S, Sharma S, Pathak N. Principal component analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek] genotypes under two

Sonaniya et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 959-969, 2024; Article no.JSRR.113653

seasons The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(3):1500-1505.

27. Payasi DK. Genetic variability analysis for seed yield and its components in

mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Inter. J. Plant Breed. Genet. 2015;9:177-188

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113653