

Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 686-692, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.107975 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Image: Additional of the sector of the se

## On-Farm Tree Mulberry Geometry: Biochemical, Growth and Yield Analysis in the Traditional Areas of Sericulture

### Jyothi B. L. <sup>a\*</sup> and Manjunatha H. B. <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Studies in Sericulture Science, Manasagangotri University of Mysore, Mysore 570006, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author JBL carried out the research, collected the data, data analysis and wrote the paper. Author MHB guided and corrected the paper. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214029

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107975

Original Research Article

Received: 14/08/2023 Accepted: 21/10/2023 Published: 28/10/2023

#### ABSTRACT

Navigation from bush to tree mulberry plantation has been in force in recent years to conquer water scarcity and high-temperature impact in traditional areas. Despite no scientific recommendation, Seri-farmers are practicing varied geometry as per their knowledge and expediency wherein a systematic analysis of the growth, leaf quality, and yield parameters is lacking. Thus, tree mulberry gardens in traditional districts - Kolar and Chikkaballapura were selected to analyze the impact of varied geometry on biochemical, growth, and yield parameters of mulberry variety V<sub>1</sub>. Surprisingly, diverse geometry of 5'x5', 6'x6', 7'x5', 8'x4', 8'x8', 9'x5', 10'x5', 10'x10', and 12'x12' is in practice in the tree mulberry plantations of the study area. The foliage of tree mulberry raised under 12'x12' geometry possesses the highest total crude protein (30.81mg/100g), nitrogen (4.93%), phosphorus (0.39%), and potassium (1.66%) contents. Among growth yield parameters, 12'x12' geometry recorded the highest number of shoots per tree - 62, the number of leaves - 881, maximum shoot height - 157 cm, fresh weight of leaves - 4.18 g. However, leaf yield was recorded lowest (3.876 kg) in 12'x12' mulberry plantation over all the geometry where the number of trees accommodated per

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: jyothibl@yahoo.co.in;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 686-692, 2023

unit area was less. Further, the highest leaf moisture measuring 75.80% was recorded from 8'x4' mulberry plantation geometry. The data stated that the number of tree mulberry recorded was 3625 per hectare of plantation under 8'x4' geometry, giving rise to the highest leaf yield of 49209 kg per hectare per year with a gross return of Rs.761000. Computing all these data, we infer that rather than following capricious geometry for establishing tree mulberry plantation, we suggest following a scientific basis of geometry wherein mulberry crop quality and yield are steadfast to harvest cocoons qualitatively and quantitatively good.

Keywords: Tree mulberry; geometry; biochemical; growth; yield.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Mulberry (Morus spp.) is a fast-growing perennial woody plant with a deep rooting nature, cultivating under varied climatic conditions temperate to tropical for foliage to rear silkworms for the production of silk cocoons. Mulberry has been cultivated in heterogeneous agro-climatic conditions following diverse cultivation methods and practices, as a low bush with comparatively closer spacing of 2' x 6' to 3' x 3' in plains of Southern and Western parts of India while it has been cultivated as a large/medium tree with the spacing of 5' x 5' to 10' x 10' depending on soil topography in hilly areas of Jammu and Kashmir [1]. However, the mulberry plant is allowed to grow tall with a crown height of 5' to 6' from the ground level and a stem girth of 4 to 5 inches referred to as tree mulberry [2].

Spacing has a direct influence on plant growth which includes plant height, number of branches per plant, shoot length, number of leaves per plant, and leaf yield. Due to lack of space, plants battle for air, light, soil moisture, nutrients, etc., leading to poor quality of foliage and yield (Bongale 1991). Thus, the growth and development of the larvae and the economic characteristics of cocoons are profoundly influenced by the nutritional contents of mulberry leaves. Because mulberry leaves as food for silkworms (Bombay mori) meet nutritional requirements - carbohydrates, proteins, moisture, essential vitamins, minerals, etc. for the production of cocoons. However, among different factors that govern successful cocoon yield, mulberry foliage shares a major share of 38.2% [3], while the quality of leaves determines the success in the production of quality cocoons with high yield, the quantum of foliage produced enhance the profit. Thus, it is a challenging task to elevate productivity.

In recent years, the concept of tree mulberry cultivation has been diffusing into plain areas as comparatively it is advantageous over bush

plantation for sustainable foliage production and hassle-free cultural operations. This phenomenal change is because of a steep rise in annual mean temperature, irregular rainfall, declined groundwater resources, and scarcitv of manpower. Thus, more farmers are showing interest in tree mulberry plantations to overcome these two major problems following drip irrigation and mechanization. Seri-farmers have been adopting varied plantation and cultivation practices as per their knowledge, convenience, and experience gained over the years. This unscientific approach not only affects the accurate assessment of mulberry leaf production in a year or ensuing years but also estimates the number of disease-free layings of silkworm (purebred/hybrid) required for rearing accordingly [4].

Towards this, albeit tree mulberry plantation is not a new venture, unlike hilly areas wherein tree plantation is mostly in practice, it needs systematic study to establish a defined package of practices that suit plan areas with a main goal of increasing leaf yield and income. With this, seri-farmers can start establishing tree mulberry plantations with less cost, minimal usage of water, and pest and disease incidence. Keeping the gap in the scientific basis of recommendation in view, this on-farm study was undertaken not only to uncover the current prevailing diversity in tree mulberry plantation, which has an impact on leaf quality and yield including cost-benefit, but to advocate appropriate technology to the serifarmers.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out during 2021-2022 and 2022-23 in Kolar and Chikkaballapura districts of Karnataka state. A total of five tree mulberry plants in three replicates from each garden of different geometry of 5'x5', 6'x6', 7'x5', 8'x4', 8'x8', 9'x5', 10'x5', 10'x10', 12'x12' were randomly selected and labeled to record the observations throughout the study. The growth and yield

parameters viz., number of shoots per tree. shoot height (cm), number of leaves per tree, fresh weight leaf (g), leaf yield/tree (kg), and yield per hectare per year (kg) were recorded in the selected tree mulberry gardens which are yield more than three year old. Leaf contributing characters were assessed and recorded from time to time following the methods suggested by Dandin and Jolly, [5]; Das et al. [6]; Dandin and Kumar, [7]; Bhat and Shilaja Hittalamani, [8].

A composite leaf sample was collected from the labeled plants and air dried followed by a hot-air oven dry at 60°C for 18 hours. The leaf samples were powdered and stored in polythene bags. These samples were used to analyze total carbohydrates (mg/100 g), total crude protein (mg/100g), nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), and potassium (%) following standard procedures. Leaf moisture (%) content was estimated using fresh and dry weights of ten composite sample leaves. Biochemical analvsis for total carbohydrates were estimated according to Dubios et al. [9] method, total proteins were estimated according to Lowry et al. [10] method. Nitrogen per cent was estimated by Micro Kjeldahl [11] method. Phosphorous (%) and Potassium (%) were estimated following the protocol of Piper [11]. Leaf moisture content was determined on fresh weight basis as per the methods suggested by Vijayan et al. [12]. Data collected on various parameters were tabulated and subjected to critical statistical analysis by adopting 'Method of Analysis of Variance' appropriate to the design of the experiment (Sundarraj et al. [13]; Singh and Choudhary, [14]. The moisture content of the leaf was calculated using the following formula,

Moisture content of leaf (%) =  $\frac{\text{Fresh wt.- Dry wt}}{\text{Fresh wt.}} \times 100$ 

#### 2.1 Survey for Data Collection on Plant Geometry

During the two years of investigation, ten crop data were recorded from farmers who adopted different geometry tree mulberry plantations and collected information on mulberry varieties, spacing, input application, irrigation regimes, organic/inorganic fertilizer, leaf yield, number of crops per year and extent of mechanization. Similarly, silkworm rearing data was also collected on the number of disease-free layings brushed, cocoon yield, cost of the cocoon, and cost incurred on rearing. Finally, mulberry (V<sub>1</sub>) leaf yield v/s cocoon (PM x CSR<sub>2</sub>) production concerning tree mulberry geometry was estimated.

#### 2.2 Identification of Farmers Adapted Different Geometry

A total of 45 farmers were selected based on geometry adapted in tree mulberry cultivation. Nine different spacing of tree mulberry geometry were identified, under each spacing five farmers were selected for collection of data.

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

All the data recorded was statistically analyzed.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth and Yield

Seri-farmers practicing diverse geometry in tree mulberry cultivation is obvious as has been reported earlier [4], wherein the scientific basis of plantation is lacking. Moreover, all of them have been practicing varied cultural operations and packages of practices as per their knowledge, experience over the years, and affordability, which lead to explicit different quality and yield parameters. However, the number of shoots per tree (62), shoot height (157 cm), number of leaves per tree (881), fresh leaf weight (4.18 g), and leaf yield per tree (3.876 kg) recorded were significantly highest in the tree mulberry plantation with 12'x12' geometry. Slightly similar results were also observed in the 10'x10' geometry plantation (58 no, 150 cm, 865 no, 4.10 g, 3.83 kg respectively) both spacing were significantly superior over all other spacing. These phenomenal changes could be due to wider spacing, which reduces the competency over light and nutrients among plants in a unit area and promotes exponential growth of the tree, which conforms to Ravikumar et al. [15] and Vinod Kumar et al. [16]. As the tree mulberry spacing reduces to 8'x8' and 8'x4' number of shoots recorded per tree was 45 and 40, shoot height was 123 and 103 cm, the number of leaves per tree was 754 and 624, fresh leaf weight was 3.90 and 3.60 g and leaf yield per tree was 3.147 and 2.715 kg respectively. Whereas tree mulberry raised under 5'x5' and 6'x6' geometry exhibit the least number of shoots per tree (29 and 32 no), shoot height (83 and 88 cm), number of leaves per tree (410 and 498 no), fresh leaf weight (2.48 and 2.87g) and leaf yield per tree (0.923 and 1.576 kg) (Table 1). These indicate that mulberry trees grown under closer spacing resulted in low yield parameters as plants have a greater competition for the nutrients and space to establish as has been observed by Vinod Kumar et al. [16] and Megharaja et al. [4]. Interestingly, among all the geometry, the highest leaf yield/hectare/year recorded was 49209 kg from 8'x4' tree mulberry geometry followed by 38640 kg in 9'x5' geometry. Contrastingly, the least leaf yield of 14534 kg per hectare per year was recorded from the wider spacing of 12'x12' geometry. The cause for the change among low, medium, and wider spacing is due to a substantial number of trees (3625/ha) accommodated under 8'x4' geometry, which yielded a higher quantum of foliage. Correspondingly, less number of trees (750/ha) accommodated in 12'x12 plantations vielded low leaf vield/hectare/year as has been reported by Sudhakar et al. [17]. Though wider and closer tree plant geometry recorded the highest and least growth and yield contributing parameters viz., number of shoots, shoot height, number and fresh weight of leaves/ tree respectively, the plant population plays an important role in determining higher leaf yield [18], which has an economical value. Thus, the optimized tree mulberry geometry of 8'x4' or 8'x5' has been proposed earlier [4] to achieve steady foliage yield (65 MT/ha/year) production around the year.

#### 3.2 Biochemical Parameters of Tree Mulberry

Despite, the wide variation that has been noticed in on-farm tree mulberry plantations concerning plant geometry associated with growth and yield,

not much disparity was observed in the moisture content of the leaf. However, among geometry plantations, 75.80% of leaf moisture was recorded from the leaves harvested from 8'x4' being the highest among varied geometry plantations, while it was 75.51% from 8'x8' geometry plantation, which is slightly lesser than the former and the least leaf moisture content of 75.04% was recorded from 10'x5' geometry. Moreover, significant variation was noticed in other biochemical parameters with the highest total carbohydrates of 18.54 mg/100 g, and total crude protein contents of 30.81 mg/100g in the tree mulberry plantation with 12'x12' geometry, which is on par with 10'x10' geometry (18.85 and 30.35 mg/100 g respectively). Further, 16.75 and 16.68 mg/100 g of total carbohydrates and 28.63 and 28.38 mg/100 g of total crude protein contents were recorded in the leaves harvested from the mulberry garden with a spacing of 8'x8' and 8'x4'geometry. Whereas, the lowest total carbohydrates (12.54 and 12.81mg/100g) and total crude protein contents (24.04 and 25.31 mg/100g) were observed in 5'x5' and 6'x6' geometry. Correspondingly, higher nutritional elements like nitrogen (4.93 and 4.86%), phosphorous (0.39 and 0.37%), and potassium (1.66 and 1.56%) were also recorded from 12'x12'and 10'x 10' geometry tree mulberry plantation. Similarly, 4.64, 0.37, and 1.53% in 10'x 5' geometry, 4.62, 0.36, and 1.50% in 9'x 5' geometry, 4.58, 0.34 and 1.44% in 8'x8' geometry and 4.54, 0.33 and 1.40% of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium respectively from 8'x4' geometry tree mulberry plantation. Least nitrogen (3.85, 4.05and 4.19%), phosphorous (0.23, 0.28and 0.30%) and potassium (1.20, 1.23 and 1.35%) contents were observed in

Table 1. Impact of On-farm tree mulberry geometry on growth and yield parameters of mulberry- variety V1

Geometry	Number of shoots per tree	Shoot height (cm)	Number of leaves per tree	Fresh weight leaf (g)	leaf yield/tree (Kg)	No.pl/ ha	Leaf yield/ha/year (kg)	Leaf yield (mt/ha/yr)
5'x5'	29	83	410	2.48	0.923	4250	19605	19.61
6'x6'	32	88	498	2.87	1.576	3000	23635	23.64
7'x5'	34	93	593	3.40	2.079	2875	29882	29.88
8'x4'	40	103	624	3.60	2.715	3625	49209	49.12
8'x8'	45	123	754	3.90	3.147	1625	25571	25.57
9'x5'	51	134	787	3.97	3.254	2375	38640	38.64
10'x5'	55	148	814	4.02	3.587	2050	36769	36.77
10'x10'	58	150	865	4.10	3.830	1075	20589	20.59
12'x12'	62	157	881	4.18	3.876	750	14534	14.53
S.Em <u>+</u>	1.65	1.90	18.73	0.05	0.06			
CD at 5%	4.90	5.64	55.64	0.14	0.18			

Geometry	Leaf moisture (%)	Total carbohydrates (mg/100g)	Total crude protein (mg/100g)	Nitrogen (%)	Phosphorous (%)	Potassium (%)
5'x5'	75.16	12.54	24.04	3.85	0.23	1.20
6'x6'	75.47	12.81	25.31	4.05	0.28	1.23
7'x5'	75.62	14.64	26.19	4.19	0.30	1.35
8'x4'	75.80	16.68	28.38	4.54	0.33	1.40
8'x8'	75.51	16.75	28.63	4.58	0.34	1.44
9'x5'	75.48	17.09	28.85	4.62	0.36	1.50
10'x5'	75.04	18.08	29.00	4.64	0.37	1.53
10'x10'	75.09	18.85	30.35	4.86	0.37	1.56
12'x12'	75.32	18.54	30.81	4.93	0.39	1.66
S.Em <u>+</u>	0.53	0.55	1.32	0.21	0.02	0.08
CD at 5%	NS	1.60	3.91	0.62	0.07	0.24

## Table 2. Impact of On-farm tree mulberry geometry on biochemical parameters of mulberry leaves

Table 3. Comparative analysis of mulberry ( $V_1$ ) leaf yield and cocoon production (Cross Breed: PMxCSR<sub>2</sub>) in relation to tree mulberry geometry

Geometry/	5'x5'	6'x6'	7'x5'	8'x4'	8'x8'	9'x5'	10'x5'	10'x10'	12'x12'
Parameters									
No. of Plants/ha	4250	3000	2875	3625	1625	2375	2050	1075	750
Leaf yield	19605	23635	29880	49210	25570	38640	36770	20590	14535
(kg/ha/yr)									
Mulberry	200000	175000	150000	125000	115000	110000	110000	100000	70000
Cultivation Cost/									
hectare/yr									
Silkworm Rearing/ Hectare:									
No. of DFLs	200	180	170	160	150	130	120	100	50
brushed /acre/crop									
No. of DFLs	2500	2250	2150	2000	1875	1625	1500	1250	625
brushed/ha/yr									
Cost of Chawki	80000	72000	68800	64000	60000	52000	48000	40000	20000
(Rs.3200/100DFLs)									
Cocoon Yield (75 &	1875	1688	1613	1700	1594	1381	1275	1063	531
85 & 90 kg/ 100									
DFLs)									
Cost of Cocoon	1031250	928125	886875	1020000	956250	828750	765000	637500	329375
/ha/yr (@ Rs. 550									
& 650/kg)									
Input cost of	110000	100000	90000	70000	60000	50000	30000	20000	20000
rearing/yr									
Total cost of input	390000	347000	308800	259000	235000	212000	188000	160000	110000
(Rs)									
Gross return (Rs)	641250	581125	578075	761000	721250	616750	577000	477500	219375

5'x5', 6'x6' and 7'x5' geometry respectively (Table 2). All these data are in concordance with the findings of Yogananda Murthy et al. [19] and Vanitha et al. [20].

#### 3.3 Leaf Yield v/s Cocoon Production

Mulberry leaf, being chief food for silkworms, provides the required amount of nutrients for the

silkworm for its not only growth but also biosynthesis of silk protein that is expelled in the form of cocoon, accounting for 38.2% share among other factors. Thus, mulberry leaf yield per unit area plays a pivotal role in harvesting substantial cocoon crop yield – the higher the leaf quality greater the cocoon yield. In this regard, higher cocoon yield (1700 and 1594 kgs) was obtained by brushing cross breed(PMxCSR2) of 2000 and 1875 dfls/ha/vear for the most significant utilization of maximum quantum of 49210 and 38640 kg/ha/year mulberry leaves harvested from the mulberry plantation with a geometry of 8'x4' and 8'x8' respectively. which yielded aross а return Rs.761000 and Rs.721250 compared to other mulberrv plantation with varied geometry. On the other hand, tree mulberry plantations with 10'x10' and 12'x12' geometry are known to accommodate less number of trees (1075 and 750 respectively) resulting in lower leaf yield of 20590 and 14535 kg/ha/year the corresponding number of dfls and brushed were 1250 and 625 dfls/ha/year intern has low gross return which of Rs.4.77.500 and Rs.2.19.375 respectively All these data are again (Table 3). in agreement with the observation of Sudhakar et al. [15].

Correspondingly, closer geometry of 5'x5', 6'x6', and 7'x5' albeit accommodate more number of tree mulberry but leaf vield recorded was 19605, 23635 and 29880 kg/ha/year respectively. Eventually, the number of DFLs brushed per hectare per year 2500, 2250, and 2150 dfls/ha/year was respectively and the gross return obtained was Rs.641250, Rs.581125, and Rs.578075 respectively.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Tree mulberry cultivation albeit not a new concept in Karnataka, in recent years, most of the Seri-farmers have been navigated to tree mulberry plantations, due to labour and water scarcity, intercrop cultivation, and the need to utilize mechanized cultural operations. However, the basis of tree plantation and the package of cultivation practices is merely arbitrary, which is through fabricated interactive verbal dialogues between farmers without substantial scientific evidence for this strategy. Consequently, this study suggests that keeping the leaf quality and yield as an economical value, among diverse tree mulberry plantations, 8'x4' promising as opined geometry is by Megharaja et al. [3] who have suggested optimized spacing of 8'x5', which yielded higher leaf yield 49209 kg/hectare/year and a gross return of Rs.761000/-. However, systematic warranted investigation is to suggest standard geometry with a precise package of practices to protect the time and wealth of the Seri-farmers.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dandin SB, Sengupta AK. Mulberry cultivation as high bush and small tree in hilly regions. Central Silk Board, Bangalore. 1998; pp:1-16.
- Dasgupta KP. A comparative analytical study on the effect of feeding with different types of mulberry leaves obtained by different methods of cultivation on silkworm, *Bombay mori* L. Indian Silk. 1961;1(4):5-8.
- 3. Miyashitha V. A report on mulberry and training methods suitable to bivoltine rearing in Karnataka. Central Silk Board, Bengaluru. 1986:1-7.
- 4. Megharaja Harishkumar J, Chaithra KC, Likhithgowda M, Manjunatha HB. Diverse tree mulberry geometry and cultural practices adopted by the farmer's and its optimization. Acta Scientific Agriculture. 2021;5(6):85-91.
- 5. Dandin SB, Jolly MS. Mulberry descriptor. Sericologia. 1986;26(4):465-475.
- 6. Das BC, Bindroo BB, Tiku AK, Pandit RK. Propagation of mulberry through cuttings. Indian Silk, 1987;26(1):12-13.
- Dandin SB, Kumar R. Evaluation of mulberry genotypes for different growth and yield parameters. In: Genetic resources of mulberry and utilisation. Ed.by Sengupta K, Dandin SB. C.S.R. & T.I, Mysore, India. 1989;143-151.
- 8. Bhat GG. Hittalamani S. Clonal differences in mulberry (Morus spp.) for root growth parameters, Indian J. Seric. 1992;31(1):5-8.
- Dubois M, Giller KA, Hamilton K, Relers PA. Smith F, Studies on biochemical constituents. Anal. Chem. 1956;28:350-356.
- 10. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J. Boil. Chem. 1951;193(1):265-275.
- 11. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis A laboratory manual of methods for the examination of soils and the determination of the inorganic constituents of plants. Hans Publication, Bombay.1966:197-299
- 12. Vijayan K, Raghunath MK, Das KK, Tikader A, Chakraborti SP, Roy BN. Qadri

SMH. Studies on leaf moisture of mulberry germplasm varieties. Indian J. Seric. 1997;36:155-157.

- 13. Sundararaj GL, Nagaraju MN, Venkataramu Jaganath. Design and analysis of field experiments, Miscellaneous Series 22, U.A.S, INDIA. 1972:410-440.
- 14. Singh RK, Choudhury BD. Bio-metrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India; 1979.
- 15. Ravikumar A, Hadimani DK, Ramakrishna Naik Ashok J. Geometric studies on growth and yield parameters of mulberry and its impacts on performance of silkworm hybrid *Bombyxmori.* L. Int. J. Chem Stud. 2019;7(3):3387-3389.
- Vinod Kumar Yadav M, Noble Morrison, Arunakumar GS, Dhaneshwar Padhan, Praveen Kumar K, Sivaprasad V, Pankaj Tewary. Comparative Study on Different Mulberry Spacing and Its Impact on Mulberry Leaf Yield and Silkworm Rearing.

J of Ent. And Zoo Stud. 2020; 8(1):1110-1115.

- Sudhakar P, Hanumantharayappa SK, Sudhakar Rao P, Jalaja SK, Sivaprasad V. Tree mulberry sustainable and econimically viable sericultural farming for southern tropical zones. Int. J. Appl. and Pure. Sci and Agric. 2018;4(6):13-23.
- Hasegawa K. Study on the relations between population density and yield in the mulberry field. Bull. Seri. Exp. Station. 1967;2:28-29.
- Yoganandamurthy VN, Ramesh HL, Munirajappa. Evaluation of mulberry (Morus) variety Vishwa for leaf yielding parameters and phytochemical analysis under different spacing systems. Indian J. Appl. Res. 2013;8(3):31-33.
- 20. Vanitha C, Narayanaswamy KC, Amaranatha N, Manjunathgowda. Productivity and quality of tree mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) leaves, Int. J. Che. Studies. 2019;7(3):1384-1386.

© 2023 Jyothi and Manjunatha; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107975