



Identification and Analysis of Transgressive Segregants in F₂ Rice Populations: A Cross-Study of Naveen x IR 64 Drt1 for Enhanced Yield Traits

Jenny P. Ekka^{a*}, Priyanka Kumari^a, Swapnil^b,
Krishna Prasad^a, Manigopa Chakarbarty^a
and Ekhlaque Ahmed^a

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.

^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bihar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102798

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105058>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/06/2023

Accepted: 21/08/2023

Published: 28/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Not all crosses display it, and only a small proportion of progeny in any particular cross may be transgressive, but it occurs frequently enough that plant breeding works as a matter of routine. The traditional thinking in plant breeding holds that transgressive segregants are the most rare individuals in the population. However, depending on the level or clarity with which the phenotypic is investigated, it is reasonable to believe that this phenomenon may be more widespread in plant breeding populations than is now recognized. In the present study the F₂ segregants that surpassed both the parentals and had higher values than the increasing parent, were observed in the cross (Naveen x IR64 Drt 1) for all the characters viz plant height, number of panicles, panicle length,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jennypriyaekka@gmail.com;

number of primary branches, number of filled grains, total number of spikelets and 1000 grain weight except for the character number of secondary branches. The maximum number of transgressives were observed for the character panicle length for the cross Naveen x IR 64 Drt1.

Keywords: F2 rice populations; transgressive segregants; plant breeding.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice, along with wheat and maize, is one of the world's most extensively produced cereals. Over 400 million small farmers and 15% of the world's arable land are involved in producing global rice. Among them Asia alone produces 90% of the world's rice, rest 10% of rice produced is traded globally. Since the end of the 1980s, the tremendous expansion of rice production that had been observed for nearly 50 years has slowed down significantly. Today, consumption is steadily rising, and rice growth is confronted with significant new obstacles. Every year, the rice dependent population increases by nearly 50 million. And thus to fulfill the basic demand of food by this rising population, there is the utmost necessity to increase in the production of rice even on by small marginal lands, by fewer people using less water and fewer chemical inputs. The identification of developmental process of transgressive segregants can aid in the breeding programs for crop improvement and pave paths for meeting with the demands of the rising population [1]. The importance of transgressive segregation in crop improvement is not only described, as it provides opportunity for the development of new hybrids species that have superior genetic combination of the parents that are more fit and stable, and having performance better than both the parent, but the transgressive segregants can be further used to create ideal plant species that are more adaptable and resistant to various environmental stresses, so ultimately the occurrence of transgressive segregants not only facilitates in the crop improvement, also these events create genotypes which are more fit than the existing. These extreme phenotypes can be introduced to wide range of environmental conditions where the parental lines cannot to survive [2,3].

Transgressed segregants are the extreme phenotypes observed in the segregating populations, which are heritably stable unlike the extreme heterotic phenotypes. The transgressive hybrids which are known to have new multiple genes combination, that surpasses both the parents with more positive or negative effects for

yield and yield attributing traits. It is frequently seen in the progeny of both interspecific and intraspecific crossings. Rick and Smith (1953) proposed three potential explanations for the occurrence of interspecific transgression, including de novo mutation caused by hybridization, complementary action of genes from the two parental species, and unmasking of recessive genes typically held heterozygous in the wild species [4,5]. The occurrence of the transgressive segregants via de novo mutation are proven in the study of *Drosophila* strains where the activation of dormant transposable elements caused the mutation to occur and thus the occurrence of transgressive hybrids [6]. Other experiments conducted on a wide range of plant species have shown evidence for the acceptances of both of the latter two possibilities. The phenotypes of hybrid transgressives are superior to those of the parents as a result of transgressive segregation. Transgressive segregants in hybrid progenies have been documented by many plant breeders, who also proposed that transgressive segregation might be exploited as a useful tool in plant breeding. The traditional concept of hybridization is to mix the favourable traits already present in two parents to create a new derivative [7]. Transgressive segregation could be a more creative method to plant breeding. As a result, transgression segregation is used in transgressive breeding to improve yield or its contributing characteristics. When a vast number of physiological components are anticipated to be involved, the recently suggested Omnigenic Theory opens an alternative way to explain the most crucial interactions underpinning transgressive phenotypes [8,9]. This hypothesis characterises quantitative features in terms of both additive and non-additive contributions from a small number of large-effect core loci and hundreds of minute-effect peripheral loci spread across the genome. We further hypothesised that the superiority or inferiority of transgressive segregants is owing to ideal or non-ideal coupling-uncoupling of different compatible and incompatible biochemical, developmental, and physiological properties encoded by the core and peripheral loci from each parent. These pathways result in physiological gain or drag,

determining whether specific individuals have positive or negative net gains [10,5].

The present study was taken up for the identification of transgressive segregants based on the number of segregants having mean values for different yield attributing characters more than the increasing parent or lower than the decreasing parent by the critical differences at 5 percent level and 95% confidence level.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was conducted on the F_2 generation in the rice research field, under the department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Jharkhand. The segregating material used for the study was obtained from the cross between Naveen x IR 64 Drt1 from which the F_2 population was developed using the hybrid generation, for which the F_1 plants obtained after the cross were selfed in the rabi season of 2020-2021. The F_2 seeds thus obtained from the previous season along with the parents were space planted and were raised in the year 2022-2023 with the spacing of 20 cm x 20cm. The segregating population and the parents was phenotypically evaluated for yielding traits like plant height, number of panicles, length of panicle, number of primary and secondary branches, number of filled grains, total number of grains and test (1000 grain) weight. The data were recorded on 607 randomly selected segregants and 10 plants each for each of the parents.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Under the study all the characters namely plant height, number of panicles, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of filled grains, total number of grains and test (1000 grain) weight were observed to portray high variability. In the present study the mean performances of the segregating F_2 generation was observed to be lower than the mean performances of both the parents for the characters like secondary branches and 1000 test weight. and for other characters like plant height, number of panicles, length of panicle, number of primary branches, number of filled grains and total number of grains the mean values were between the parents. All the characters were observed to have wide range of phenotypes for the cross Naveen x IR 64 Drt1 (as seen in Table 1.), which suggests that all the traits under the study are polygenic in nature and the alleles governing these characters have an

additive gene action for their polygenic inheritances. Thus one can say that genetic variability is determined by multiple genes and these genes ultimately contribute additively to the phenotype of the genotypes. The occurrence of transgressive segregants in any cross is possible only when one parent is fixed for increasing alleles at a proportion of the genetic loci, and the other parent is fixed for increasing alleles at the remainder or the another proportion of the genetic loci. and so the transgressive segregants or in general an improved progeny can then be selected which will be fixed for a greater number of increasing alleles than the better parent due to the process of recombination.

This simple explanation has its own strength as this explanation fits in many cases with the observed patterns of genetic segregation and genetic improvement. Transgressive variance is a potential source of unique genetic variation in crop improvement. Because polygenic systems control the majority of critical traits in domestic plants, detecting allele dispersion can aid in the selection of favourable new germplasm. It is extremely simple to obtain stable extreme strains for quantitative features regulated by a few genes with additive effects [11,7]. It is typical in plant breeding to not screen genetic populations for certain features unless one of the parents has a superior phenotype for that trait. However, it is widely recognised that phenotype alone is not an acceptable predictor of an individual's genuine potential as a genetic donor due to the possibility of positive or negative combinatorial effects [12].

In the present study the F_2 segregants that surpassed both the parentals and had higher values than the increasing parent, were observed in the cross (Naveen x IR64 Drt 1) for all the characters viz plant height, number of panicles, panicle length, number of primary branches, number of filled grains, total number of spikelets and 1000 grain weight except for the character number of secondary branches. The highest number of transgressive segregants were observed for panicle length, where the segregants observed surpassed both the parents. The presences of transgressive segregants in the present segregating F_2 population in the cross between Naveen x IR 64 Drt 1 suggests that both the parents Naveen and IR 64 Drt differed in the genes and alleles governing the yielding traits, which increased the scope of bringing in the beneficial alleles into one individual genotype which is thus identified through intensive rigorous selection process.

Table 1. Morphological characteristic of transgressive segregants of F₂ population for yield and yield attributing traits for the cross Naveen x IR 64 DRT1

| Sl.no | Trait | F ₂ Generation of Naveen x IR 64 DRT 1 cross | | | | Parents | | No. of transgressive segregants | |
|-------|-------|---|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Total no. of plants scored | Mean | Highest plant value | Lowest plant value | Naveen | IR 64 DRT1 | Higher than highest parent | Lower than lowest parent value |
| 1 | PH | 607 | 79.34778 | 163 | 46 | 111.84 | 123.26 | 4 | 599 |
| 2 | NP | 607 | 6.283361 | 21 | 1 | 13.37 | 11.6 | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | PL | 607 | 20.30511 | 46 | 10 | 24.60 | 23.39 | 27 | 553 |
| 4 | PB | 607 | 8.400329 | 19 | 4 | 16.00 | 15.6 | 1 | 606 |
| 5 | SB | 607 | 14.07084 | 46 | 2 | 54.00 | 48 | 0 | 607 |
| 6 | FG | 607 | 69.35091 | 208 | 10 | 192.14 | 180.8 | 2 | 605 |
| 7 | TNG | 607 | 79.46458 | 229 | 15 | 196.20 | 183.5 | 4 | 602 |
| 8 | TGW | 607 | 7.395748 | 36.7 | 0.02 | 32.16 | 28.59 | 9 | 577 |

PH- plant height, NP- number of panicles, PL-panicle length, PB- number of primar branches, SB- number of secondary branches, FG-number of filled grains, TNG-total number of grains, TGW-test weight

Similarly the extreme phenotypes or the transgressive segregants lower than the lowest parents were observed for all the characters under study, with the characters like number of primary branches, number of filled grains and total number of grains having the highest frequency of lowest value transgressive segregants in the cross between Naveen x IR 64 Drt1. The higher frequency of lower value segregants than the higher value segregants indicates the accumulation of majority of the alleles with complementary gene action from both the parents at multiple and majority of the genetic loci accompanied by the unmasked deleterious recessive alleles due to the phenomenon of inbreeding. These consequences as discussed earlier can be the contribution of genome shock or exon shuffling [13,8], which could possibly lead to novel gene products with new functions [14]. Other works done also suggested that altered DNA methylation site causes random gene silencing or reactivation of silenced genes, which could be responsible at least in part to the non-additive transcriptome signatures that are often observed in interspecies hybrids which is known as *transcriptome shock* [15-20].

4. CONCLUSION

Breeding is accomplished by crossing parents, who are frequently cultivated varieties, and selecting improved recombinant progeny. Plant breeding would be useless if no children (or descendants) were ever discovered that were better than their parents (or ancestors). Transgressive segregation refers to the property of progeny falling outside the range of the parents. Not all crosses display it, and only a small proportion of progeny in any particular cross may be transgressive, but it occurs frequently enough that plant breeding works as a matter of routine. The traditional thinking in plant breeding holds that transgressive segregants are the most rare individuals in the population. However, depending on the level or clarity with which the phenotypic is investigated, it is reasonable to believe that this phenomena may be more widespread in plant breeding populations than is now recognized.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Staal J, Kaliff M, Bohman S, Dixelius C. Transgressive segregation reveals two Arabidopsis TIR-NB-LRR resistances genes effective against *Leptosphaeria maculans*, causal agent of blackleg disease. *Plant Journal*. 2006;46:218-230.
2. Dittrich-Reed DR, Fitzpatrick BM. Transgressive Hybrids as Hopeful Monsters. *Evol. Biol*. 2013;40:310–315.
3. Tanksley SD, Nelson JC. Advanced backcross OTL analysis a method for the simultaneous discovery and transfer of valuable QTLs from unadapted germplasm into elite breeding lines. *Theory and application of genetics*. 1996;92:191-203.
4. Kover PX, Wolf JB, Kunkel BN, Cheverud JM. Genetic architecture of Arabidopsis thaliana response to infection by *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Heredity*. 2005;94: 507-517.
5. Mao D, Liu T, Xu C, Li X, Xing Y. Epistasis and complementary gene interaction adequately account for the genetic bases of transgressive segregation of kilo-grain weight in rice *Euphytica*. 2011;180:261-271.
6. Engles WR. The P family of transposable elements in drosophila. *Ann. Rev. Genet*. 1983;17:315-344.
7. Reddyamini BK, Hariprasad Reddy, Lakshmi Narayana Reddy V, Ramesh Babu P, Sudhakar P. Transgressive Segregation for Yield and Its Component Traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 2019;8(6):2450-2455.
8. Comai L, Madlung A, Josefsson C, Tyagi A. Do the different parental heteromes cause genomic shock in newly formed allopolyploids? *Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci*. 2003;358:1149-1155.
9. Koornneef M, Alonso-Blanco C, Vreugdenhil D. Naturally occurring genetic variation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Annual of Revised Plant Biology*. 2004;55:141-172.
10. De los Reyes BG. Genomic and epigenomic base of transgressive segregation-New breeding paradigm for novel plant phenotypes. *Plant Sciences*. 2019;288:110213.
11. Kshirsagar DB, Bhalekar MN, Patil RS, Kute NS, Patil SB. Transgressive segregation in F3 generation of intervarietal crosses of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). *Vegetable Science*. 2013; 40(2):240-242.

12. DeVicente MC, Tanksley. QTL analysis of transgressive segregation in an interspecific tomato cross. *Genetics*. 1993; 134:585-596.
13. Matsuoka Y. Evolution of polyploid triticum wheats under cultivation: the role of domestication, natural hybridization and allopolyploid speciation in their diversification. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2011; 52:750-764.
14. Paun O, Fay MF, Soltis DE, Chase MW. Genetic and epigenetic alterations after hybridization and genome doubling. *Taxon*. 2007;56:649-656.
15. Kawakami T, Dhakal P, Katterhenry AN, Heatherington CA, Ungerer MC. Transposable element proliferation and genome expansion are rare in contemporary sunflower hybrid populations despite widespread transcriptional activity of LTR retrotransposons. *Genome Biol*. 2001;3:156-167.
16. Pence HE, Williams A. Chemspider: An online chemical information resource. *Journal of Chemistry and Education*. 2010;87:1123-1124.
17. Rieseberg LH, Archer MA, Wayne RK. Transgressive segregation, adaption and speciation. *Heredity*. 1999;83:363-372.
18. Tanksley SD, McCouch SR. Seed banks and molecular maps: Unlocking genetic potential from the wild. *Science*. 1997; 277:1063–1066.
19. Wagner GP, Lynch VJ. Evolution any novelties. *Curr. Biol*. 2010;20:R48-R52.
20. Yueyue Cai, Linzhou Huang, Yuqi Song, Yundong Yuan, Shuo Xu, Xueping Wang, et al. LAZY3 interacts with LAZY2 to regulate tiller angle by modulating shoot gravity perception in rice, *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 2023;21(6):1217-1228.

© 2023 Ekka et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105058>