Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics

Volume 23, Issue 1, Page 28-45, 2023; Article no.AJPAS.100665 ISSN: 2582-0230



Relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration (G) under the purview of Digital Payments in India

Kirankumar Tarikere^{a*} and Akhil Dattatraya Joshi^b

^a Department of Management Studies, Karnatak Arts College, Karnatak University, Dharwad, India. ^b Kousali Institute of Management Studies, Karnatak University, Dharwad, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJPAS/2023/v23i1495

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100665

Original Research Article

Received: 01/04/2023 Accepted: 01/06/2023 Published: 12/06/2023

Abstract

Aims: Statistics is one of the most important fields. It carries out observation, collection, analysis and interpretation of collected data with necessary techniques and tools for analysis. There are many parameters which help in analyzing the data. Amongst them, Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni' Coefficient of Concentration are very important. Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness plays an important role in measuring the symmetry. Symmetry and its measure help in knowing the manner of distribution carried out by the population. Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness is represented by S_k. Apart from Karl Pearson's coefficient of Skewness, Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is also one more term which is the ratio of Ginni's Coefficient of Mean deviation and two times of Mean of the distribution. The range of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is from 0 to 1. It is represented as G. This paper tries to find the relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration.

Asian J. Prob. Stat., vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 28-45, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: kirantc@gmail.com;

Methodology: Theoretical framework is being constructed with the help of known formulas of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration. A new formula is being generated between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration by mediating mean as the common factor between the two parameters.

Result: There is a positive relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration.

Conclusion: With the help of the generated formula, Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness can be calculated once Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is known where all other parameters are considered to be known.

Keywords: Karl Pearson's coefficient of skewness; Ginni's coefficient of concentration.

1 Introduction

Giini Coefficient of Concentration and Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Slewness are most important parameters in analyzing the given set of data. Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is a parameter which tells about the inequality with respect to Lorenz curve. The range of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is from 0 to 1. Inequality measure helps in getting an estimate of how the population members are being dispersed. If Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is 0, it means that the all are equal in the given data set. It means all data points exist in equal combination with each other. If the Ginni's coefficient of Concentration is equal to 1 then it means that the inequality is maximum and all the data points are unequal quantitatively. For any data set, symmetry is important. Measure of symmetry and its importance lies with the fact that symmetrical distribution generally build a composite and regular data set which not only helps in understanding the population but also brings out distribution pattern of the data set. Considering both the parameters into account it can be said that Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Concentration and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration have to be analyzed in comparison to each other. While calculating the formula of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Concentration, average mean is used. Similarly to calculate Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration average mean is used. So "Average mean" is the factor common between the two parameters. By taking this into account, it can be understood that the Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration can be linked with the help of common factor Average Mean. This research is an attempt to understand the relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration with the common factor "Average Mean".

2 Literature Review

- 1. Contribution of K Pearson with respect to calculation of Skewness: Skewness is the parameter which tells about the distribution of the population or it tells about the symmetry of the population. There are different ways of measuring the skewness. In the earlier days, many methods have been employed in order to analyze skewness. K. Pearson's contribution had thrown light on skewness with a measurable formula [1].
- 2. Situational Formulas for Skewness: Skewness can be measured accordingly with the help of different formulas under different situations. Different Formulas build the foundational understanding of Skewness [2].
- 3. Need of Statistical tools for measuring SKewness: With the advance in statistical tools and techniques, different methods have been adopted in order to measure symmetry of the distribution. Need of different methods is because even though the parameters might have same quantitative value but the symmetry may still differ [3].
- 4. List of formulas: There are many formulas which given a different picture of skewness when it comes to its measurement. Measuring the skewness with the help of quartile has been in use. Renowned statistician Sir Arthur Lyon Bowley has framed formula for measuring skewness. In his formula he has employed quartile Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 namely first quartile, second quartile and third quartile [Arthur Lyon Bowley (1901)].

$$Sk = Q_3 + Q_1 - 2Q_2$$

 $Q_3 - Q_1$

When it comes to skewness, one of the most striking works done was by pearson. There have been many formulas framed by pearson in order to measure the skewness. Basically, usage of the concept of normal distribution has been a breakthrough in measuring the skewness. Basically there are two formulas used to measure skewness which are one is

Sk= Mean- Mode σ

Apart from this, another formula used for measuring Skewness is

 $\begin{array}{l} Sk = 3(Mean - Median) \\ \sigma \end{array}$

There are no minimum and maximum values for Coefficient of skewness measure. But it helps in taking maximum value for the skewness while integrating with respect to σ . There are many other formulas which can be employed in order to calculate the value of skewness.

- 5. Formula for Rank Skewness by Yule, Udny: One such formula is Rank Skewness. Rank Skewness takes ranks of the data set into consideration before calculating the skewness of the data set. Based on rank of different data sets, skewness is calculated [4].
- 6. Contribution of formula by Groeneveld, Meeden and Gin: On the path of evolution, there have been many formulas which have given substantial proofs to solve the problems related to Coefficient of skewness. Many formulas use mean as a parameter in order to measure coefficient of skewness. One of the formulas is

 $(\mu$ -v) E(IX-VI) [Groeneveld, Meeden and Gin (1984)]

- 7. Development of Statistical Tables for Calculating Skewness: Not only developing the formula but also different supporting statistical tables have been developed in order to calculate the coefficient of skewness [5].
- 8. Future Aspects of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness: Works of Pearson has significantly motivated different people to take up statistics as a most important field. In the same way it has helped to introduce personalities like Mahalanobis, who has contributed tremendously [6].
- 9. Measure of Inequality by Ginni'S coefficient of Concentration: When it comes to Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration, it is generally used measure the inequality. Under the comparison with Lorenz curve is done then it is measured between 0 and 1. Construction of Ginni's Indice has been a tale of curiosity for statistician. This will bring the idea of usage of mean and Ginni's Coefficient of Mean In order to bring the application of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration; it was used in estimating the inequalities measures. Interpolation of the inequalities measures help in assessing the it's difference with respect to Lorenz curve. The Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration's main purpose was to measure inequality with respect to distribution of income and wealth. The most important part of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is the ease of measurement. The measurement of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is easy to understand [7].
- 10. Utility of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: There are various uses of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration. Apart from measuring the inequality, Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is used in simple ordered alternative wherein homogeneity is tested [Bansal, P., Arora, S. and Mahajan, K. (2011)].
- 11. Usage of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: Goodness of Fit is very important from analysis point of view. It is also used to measure the goodness of fit. This is generally done in with the help of Ginni's spacing index [8].
- 12. Application of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration in wealth Distribution: Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration has emerged out of family and growth distribution with respect to wealth. It has mainly brought the concept of equal distribution of wealth in comparison with morenz curve [9].
- 13. Usage in Income Index: There are many methods which are employed in order to measure the deviation in income distribution. One such method is using Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration [10]. Methods

of measuring the concentration of wealth. Publications of the American Statistical Association, 9, 209–219]

- 14. Combination of Moments and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: In Statistics, Moments and their utility has helped in order to develop a theory on moments with respect to Concentration of distribution. This is very well summarized with the help of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration [11].
- 15. Variance Estimators: There are many estimators with respect to each method and Parameter. One the same line variance estimators are prevalent for Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration. Especiall those variance are known to be Jackknife Variance estimators [12].
- 16. Graphical Representation of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: Graphically also Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration can be represented. This is done with the help of Histogram. With the help of histogram, interpolation is done and the Lorenz curve is estimated [13].
- 17. Future aspects of Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: Initially Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration was being used as a descriptive measure of statistics. In the coming days, it will be used as a index for determining inference. Under the context of Inferential Statistics, it can will be used for inferential analysis [14].
- 18. Utility of Ginni's Coefficient Of Concentration in Coming times: Anova and its application has brought many possibilities for Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration. One such utility is breaking up of Ginni's index in the form of ANOVA [15-20].

Theoretical Framework:

Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration (G) = $\Delta_1/2 \ \overline{X}$

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \Delta_1 / 2\mathbf{G} \tag{1}$$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(mean-median)/ σ

 $S_k=3(Mean-Median)/\sigma$ $S_k\sigma = 3(Mean-Median)$ $S_k\sigma = 3Mean-3Median$ $3 Mean= S_k\sigma + 3 Median$

Mean= [$(S_k\sigma + 3 \text{ Median})/3$]

Substituting (2) in (1) [$(S_k\sigma + 3 \text{ Median})/3$]= $\Delta_1/2G$ $S_k\sigma + 3 \text{ Median} = 3\Delta_1/2G$

$$S_{k} = 3\Delta_{1} - 3 \text{ Median} \\ 2\sigma G \sigma$$

Proofs:

Problem No 1: The following are the digital payments at different years in India:

Year	Total Digital Payments (Billion)
2015-16	1015390
2016-17	1276250
2017-18	1369867.34
2018-19	1637134.25
2019-20	1620894.13
2020-21	1414851.73

Source:

- 1. 1.https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/AnnualReport/PDFs/9IXPAYMENT5467258C18F0496FA39CA6EA 7B2BF9B9.PDF
- 2. https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/PSIUserView.aspx

(2)

(3)

Differences	Differences	Differences	Differences	Differences	
-206042.4	-16240.1	267266.9	93617.34	260860	
-222282.52	251026.8	360884.3	354477.3		
44984.39	344644.1	621744.3			
138601.73	605504.1				
399461.73					
154722.93	1184935	1249895	448094.7	260860	

a. Calculating Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

 Δ_1 = Total Sum of Differences

n(n-1) 2

 $\Delta_1 = 3298508/15$ $\Delta_1 = 219900.5$ b. Calculating Mean of the Distribution $\overline{X} = (8334387.45)/6$ \overline{X} = 1389064.575 c. Calculating Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration: (G) = $\Delta_1/2 \,\overline{X}$ G=219900.5 /2*1389064.575 G=0.079154 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) a. Calculating Median= 1392360 b. Calculating Mean= 1389064.575 c. Standard Deviation: 231808.9 According to theoretical formula: Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (Sk) =3(Mean-Median)/ Standard Deviation Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness= 3(1389064.575-1392360)/ 231808.9 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness = -0.04264

According to the generated formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median $2\sigma G \sigma$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [3*219900.5/2*231808.9*0.079154]-3*1392360/231808.9

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [659701.5/36697.2033412]-18.0194979571

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 17.9768876081-18.0194979571 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = -0.0426103489

Problem 2: The following are the volume of digital financial transactions

Year	Transactions (in Lakhs)
2015-16	59471
2016-17	97808
2017-18	147144
2018-19	232602
2019-20	341240
2020-21	437118

Source:

1.https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/AnnualReport/PDFs/9IXPAYMENT5467258C18F0496FA39CA6EA7B2BF9 B9.PDF 2. https://www.rbi.org.in/Sorints/DSHIJoorView.aspy

2.https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/PSIUserView.aspx

a. Calculating Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

Differences	Differences	Differences	Differences	Differences
95878	108638	85458	49336.00	38337.00
204516	194096	134794.00	87673.00	
289974	243432.00	173131.00		
339310.00	281769.00			
377647.00				
1307325	827935	393383	137009	38337

 Δ_1 = Total Sum of Differences n(n-1)

2

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta_{l} = 2703989/15 \\ \Delta_{l} = 180265.9 \\ \text{b. Calculating Mean of the Distribution} \\ \overline{X} = (8334387.45)/6 \\ \overline{X} = 219230.50 \\ \text{c. Calculating Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration:} \\ (G) = \Delta_{l}/2 \ \overline{X} \\ G = 180265.9 \ /2^{*}219230.50 \\ G = 0.41113325928 \end{array}$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (Sk)

a. Calculating Median= 78,640
b. Calculating Mean= 219230.50
c. Standard Deviation: 27108.35

According to theoretical formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) =3(Mean-Median)/ Standard Deviation Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness= 3(219230.50-78,640)/ 27108.35 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness = 15.5587300591

According to the generated formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median $2\sigma G - \sigma$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [3*180265.9 /2*27108.35*0.41113325928]-3*78640/27108.35

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [540797.7/22290.2885784]-8.70285354881

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 24.2615836083-8.70285354881 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 15.5587300595

Problem	No	3:	The	following	are	the	Transactions	of	Payments	with	respect	to	Prepaid	Payment
Instrume	nts ((Bil	lion) i	in India										

Year	Prepaid Payment Instruments (Billion)	
2016-17	838	
2017-18	1416.34	
2018-19	2133.23	
2019-20	2155.58	
2020-21	1976.95	

Source:

1.https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/AnnualReport/PDFs/9IXPAYMENT5467258C18F0496FA39CA6EA7B2BF9 B9.PDF

2.https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/PSIUserView.aspx

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

2155.58-2133.23=22.35 2155.58-1976.95=178.63	2133.23-1976.95=156.28 2133.23-1416.34=716.89	1976.95-1416.34=560.61 1976.95-838=1138.95	1416.34-838= 578.34
2155.58-1416.34=739.24 2155.58-838=1317.58	2133.23-838=1295.23		
Sum=2257.8	Sum=2168.4	Sum=1699.56	Sum=578.34

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = Total Sum of Differences

n(n-1) 2

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = 6704.1/10= 670.41 Mean= 1704.02 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration=670.41/2*1704.02 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration= 0.19671424044

According to theoretical formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(Mean-Median)/ σ Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(1704.02-1976.95)/ 569.0713631 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = -1.4388177882

According to Generated Formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median $2\sigma G - \sigma$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta_1 = 670.41 \\ G = 0.19671424044 \\ Median = 1976.95 \\ \sigma = 569.0713631 \end{array}$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [3*670.41/2*569.0713631*0.19671424044]-3*1976.95/569.0713631

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [2011.23/223.888881896]-10.4219793589

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = 8.98316157090-10.4219793589$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = -1.438817787$

Special Case: 1. Taking into account Total number of Digital Transactions for consecutive two years

Year	Transactions (in Lakhs)
2019-20	341240
2020-21	437118

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

437118-341240 = 95878		
Sum=95878		

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = Total Sum of Differences

n(n-1) 2

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = 95878/1= 95878 Mean= 389179

Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration=95878/2*389179

Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration= 0.12317982213

According to theoretical formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3(\text{Mean-Median})/\sigma$ Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(389179-389179)/67795.98Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 0

According to Generated Formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median $2\sigma G - \sigma$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta_1 = 95878 \\ G = 0.12317982213 \\ Median = 389179 \\ \sigma = 67795.98 \end{array}$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [3*95878/2*67795.98*0.12317982213]-3*389179/67795.98

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [287634/16702.1935150]-17.2213308222

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 17.2213308222-17.2213308222

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = 0$

2. For Consecutive three years

Year	Transactions (in Lakhs)
2018-19	232602
2019-20	341240
2020-21	437118

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

437118-341240 = 95878	341240-232602=1	08638	
437118-232002=204310 Sum=95878	Sum=108638	Tot	al Sum= 204516
Ginni's Coefficient of	Mean Deviation = Total Sum n(r	n of Differences n-1) 2	
Ginni's Coefficient of Mean I Mean= 336986.7	Deviation = 204516/3= 68172	2	
Ginni's Coefficient of Concer Ginni's Coefficient of Concer	ntration=68172/2*336986.7 ntration= 0.10114939254		
According to theoretical form	ula:		
Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of	Skewness $(S_k) = 3$ (Mean-Me Skewness $(S_k) = 3(336986.7)$ Skewness $(S_k) = -0.1247005$	edian)/σ -341240)/ 102324.3 843	
According to Generated Form	nula:		
Karl Pearson's Coeffic	tient of Skewness $(S_k) = 3\Delta_1 - 2\sigma G$	- 3 Median σ	
$\Delta_1 = 68172$ G = 0.10114939254 Median=341240 $\sigma = 102324.3$			
Karl Pearson's Coefficient of [3*68172/2*102324.3*0.101	Skewness (S _k) = 14939254]-3*341240/10232	4.3	
Karl Pearson's Coefficient of	Skewness (S_k) = [204516/20	700.0815741]-1023720/10	2324.3
Karl Pearson's Coefficient of	Skewness $(S_k) = 9.87996106$	5526-10.0046616492	
Karl Pearson's Coefficient of	Skewness $(S_k) = -0.1247005$	839	
3. For consecutive four year	'S		
Year	r	Transactions (in Lakhs)	
2017-18	1	47144	
2018-19	2	232602	
2019-20		341240	
2020-21	2	37118	
Ginni's Coefficient of Mean	Deviation:		
437118-341240 = 95878	341240-232602=108638	232602-147144=854	58
437118-232602=204516	341240-147144=194096		
437118-147144=289974	Sum 202724	Sum_ 95459	T C_ 079570
SuIII=390308	sum= 302/34	Sum= 83438	1.5=9/8360
Ginni's Coefficient of Mean I	Deviation = Total Sum of Dif	ferences	

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = 978560/6= 163093.3333 Mean= 289526 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration=163093.33/2*289526 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration= 0.28165518813

According to theoretical formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(Mean-Median)/ σ Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(289526-286921)/ 126452.5 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 0.06180186235

According to Generated Formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = $3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median $2\sigma G - \sigma$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta_1 = 163093.3333 \\ G = 0.28165518813 \\ Median = 286921 \\ \sigma = 126452.5 \end{array}$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [3*163093.3333/2*126452.5*0.28165518813]-3*286921/126452.5 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [489279.9999/71232.005354]-860763/126452.5 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 6.86882248321-6.80700658349 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 0.06181589972

4. For 5 Consecutive years

Year	Transactions (in Lakhs)
2016-17	97808
2017-18	147144
2018-19	232602
2019-20	341240
2020-21	437118

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation:

95878	108638	85458	49336		
204516	194096	134794			
289974	243432				
339310					
Sum=929678	Sum= 546166	Sum= 220252	Sum=49336	T.S=1745432	
289974 339310 Sum=929678	243432 Sum= 546166	Sum= 220252	Sum=49336	T.S=174543	2

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = Total Sum of Differences

n(n-1) 2

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Deviation = 1745432/10= 174543.2 Mean= 251182.4 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration=174543.2/2*251182.4 Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration= 0.34744273484

According to theoretical formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(Mean-Median)/ σ Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 3(251182.4-232602)/139082.1 Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = 0.40077910816 According to Generated Formula:

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = 3\Delta_1 - 3$ Median 2σG σ

 $\Delta_1 = 174543.2$ G = 0.34744273484Median=232602 $\sigma = 139082.1$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) =$ [3*174543.2/2*139082.1*0.34744273484]-3*232602/139082.1

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness (S_k) = [523629.6/96646.1303825]-697806/139082.1

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = 5.41800895625-5.01722363985$

Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness $(S_k) = 0.40078531640$

Data Collection:

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value
1	-0.04264	-0.0426103489
2	15.5587300591	15.5587300595
3	-1.4388177882	-1.4388177880
Sl. No	G	Generated Formula Value
1	0.079154	-0.0426103489
2	0.41113325928	15.5587300595
3	0.19671424044	-1.4388177880

Special Case:

3

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value
1	0	0
2	-0.1247005843	-0.1247005839
3	0.06180186235	0.06181589972
4	0.40077910816	0.40078531640
5	15.5587300591	15.5587300595
Sl. No	G	Generated Formula Value
1	0.12317982213	0
2	0.10114939254	-0.1247005839
3	0.28165518813	0.06181589972
4	0.34744273484	0.40078531640
5	0.41113325928	15.5587300595

Data Analysis:

T Test: Paired T Test is done in order to understand the difference between two groups. Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference between Theoretical Value and Generated Formula value.

Alternate Hypothesis: There is a significant difference between Theoretical Value and Generated Formula Value.

Tarikere and Joshi; Asian J. Prob. Stat., vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 28-45, 2023; Article no.AJPAS.100665

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value
1	-0.04264	-0.0426103489
2	15.5587300591	15.5587300595
3	-1.4388177882	-1.4388177880

Calculated T value = 0.211314 Table T Value ($_{2,0.05}$) = 2.132

Non-Parametric Test:

Mann Whitney U Test:

 H_0 : The two populations are equal. : H_1 : The Two populations are not equal.

1. Collection of Data:

Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value	
-0.04264	-0.0426103489	
15.5587300591	15.5587300595	
-1.4388177882	-1.4388177880	

2. Arranging the Data in increasing order

Sl.No	Order	
1	-1.4388	
2	-1.4388	
3	-0.0426	
4	-0.0426	
5	15.5587	
6	15.5587	

3. Assigning the Ranks to the values arranged in increasing order

Sl. No	Order	Rank
1	-1.4388	1.5
2	-1.4388	1.5
3	-0.0426	3.5
4	-0.0426	3.5
5	15.5587	5.5
6	15.5587	5.5

4. Adding up different ranks of each group

Sl.No	Theoretical Value	Ranks	
1	-0.0426	3.5	
2	15.5587	5.5	
3	-1.4388	1.5	
Total		10.5	
Sl. No	Generated Formula Value	Ranks	
1	-0.0426	3.5	
2	15.5587	5.5	
3	-1.4388	1.5	
Total		10.5	

5. Calculating U Statistic

 $\begin{array}{lll} U_1 = n_1 * n_2 + n_1 (n_1 + 1) & - R_1 \\ & 2 \\ U_2 = n_1 * n_2 + n_1 (n_1 + 1) & - R_2 \\ & 2 \end{array}$

Substituting the values:

$$U_1 = 3*3+(3*4) - 10.5 = 4.5$$

 2
 $U_2 = 3*3+(3*4) - 10.5 = 4.5$
 2

6. Comparing the calculated U value with table U Value

 $\begin{array}{l} U_{tab\ 0.05,3,3} = 0 \\ U_1 = 3*3 + (3*4) & -10.5 = 4.5 \\ 2 \\ U_2 = 3*3 + (3*4) & -10.5 = 4.5 \\ 2 \\ 4.5 > 0 \\ 4.5 > 0 \end{array}$

This implies that:

 $\begin{array}{ll} U_1 {>} U & and \\ U_2 {>} U \\ U_{cal} {>} & U_{tab.} \end{array}$

Correlation:

Y (Karl pearson's Coefficient of Skewness	X (Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration)
-0.0426	0.07915
15.5587	0.41113
-1.4388	0.19671

Coefficient Of Correlation = 0.908647

T Test:Paired T Test is done in order to understand the difference between two groups.

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference between Theoretical Value and Generated Formula value. Alternate Hypothesis: There is a significant difference between Theoretical Value and Generated Formula Value.

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value
1	0	0
2	-0.1247005843	-0.1247005839
3	0.06180186235	0.06181589972
4	0.40077910816	0.40078531640
5	15.5587300591	15.5587300595

Calculated T value = 0.217829477

Table T Value $(_{4,0.05}) = 2.776$

Non-Parametric Test:

Mann Whitney U Test: H_0 : The two populations are equal. : H_1 : The Two populations are not equal. Collection of Data:

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Generated Formula Value
1	0	0
2	-0.1247005843	-0.1247005839
3	0.06180186235	0.06181589972
4	0.40077910816	0.40078531640
5	15.5587300591	15.5587300595

1. Arranging the Data in increasing order.

Sl. No	Order	
1	-0.1247	
2	-0.1247	
3	0	
4	0	
5	0.0618	
6	0.06182	
7	0.40078	
8	0.40079	
9	15.5587	
10	15.5587	

2. Assigning the Ranks to the values arranged in increasing order

Sl. No	Order	Rank	
1	-0.1247	1.5	
2	-0.1247	1.5	
3	0	2.5	
4	0	2.5	
5	0.0618	5	
6	0.06182	6	
7	0.40078	7	
8	0.40079	8	
9	15.5587	9.5	
10	15.5587	9.5	

3. Adding up different ranks of each group

Sl. No	Theoretical Value	Ranks	
1	0	2.5	
2	-0.124700584	1.5	
3	0.061801862	5	
4	0.400779108	7	
5	15.55873006	9.5	
Total		25.5	
Sl. No	Generated Formula Value	Ranks	
1	0	2.5	
2	-0.124700584	1.5	
3	0.0618159	6	
4	0.400785316	8	
5	15.55873006	9.5	
Total		27.5	

2. Calculating U Statistic

 $U_1 = n_1 * n_2 + n_1(n_1 + 1) - R_1$ 2 $U_2 = n_1 * n_2 + n_2(n_2 + 1) - R_2$

$$U_2 = n_1 * n_2 + n_1(n_1 + 1) - R_2$$

2

Substituting the values:

$$U_1 = 5*5+(5*6) - 25.5 = 14.5$$

 2
 $U_2 = 5*5+(5*6) - 27.5 = 12.5$

2

3. Comparing the calculated U value with table U Value

$$U_{tab \ 0.05,5,5} = 4$$

$$U_1 = 5^*5 + (5^*6) - 25.5 = 14.5$$

$$U_2 = 5^*5 + (5^*6) - 27.5 = 12.5$$

$$2$$

$$14.5 > 4$$

$$12.5 > 4$$
This implies that:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} U_1{>}U & and \\ U_2{>}U \\ U_{cal}{>} & U_{tab.} \end{array}$

Correlation:

Sl. No	G	Generated Formula Value	
1	0.12317982213	0	
2	0.10114939254	-0.1247005839	
3	0.28165518813	0.06181589972	
4	0.34744273484	0.40078531640	
5	0.41113325928	15.5587300595	

Correlation Coefficient = 0.66617403

Application of Differentiation Principles

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} S_k = & 3\Delta_1 - & 3 \mbox{ Median} \\ & 2\sigma G & \sigma \end{array}$

Where $\Delta_l\,,\,\sigma$ and median are considered to be constants.

Differentiating S_k with respect to 'G'.

$$dS_{k} = d \begin{bmatrix} 3\Delta_{1} - 3 \text{ Median} \\ 2\sigma G & \sigma \end{bmatrix}$$

$$dS_{k} = 3\Delta_{1} d \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ (G) \end{bmatrix} - d \begin{bmatrix} 3 \text{ Median} \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix}$$
$$dS_{k} = 3\Delta_{1}(-2) - 0$$
$$dG = 2\sigma (G)^{2}$$
$$dS_{k} = -3\Delta_{1}$$
$$dG = \sigma (G)^{2}$$

Application of Integration Principles:

 $\begin{array}{rrr} S_k = & 3\Delta_1 - & 3 \mbox{ Median} \\ & 2\sigma G & \sigma \end{array}$

Integrating Sk with respect to G

 $\int \mathbf{SkdG} = \int 3\Delta_1 \, dG - \int 3 \text{ Median } dG$ $2\sigma G \qquad \sigma$

 $\int \mathbf{SkdG} = 3\Delta_1 \log G - 3 \operatorname{Median} (G)$ $2\sigma \qquad \sigma$

3 Discussion and Conclusion

- 1. Under Digital Payments, Number of digital transactions and PPI, T Test Shows that study has failed to reject null hypothesis which means that there is no difference between Theoretical values of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of skewness and values of generated formula.
- 2. With respect to Digital Payments, Number of digital transactions and PPI, Mann Whitney U Test shows that the study has failed to reject null hypothesis as there is no difference between mean values of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Values of generated formula.
- 3. For Digital Payments, Number of digital transactions and PPI, Coefficient of Correlation between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is 0.908647
- 4. The relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is that Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness is inversely proportional to Ginni' coefficient of Concentration.
- The analysis of Digital Payments, Number of digital transactions and PPI presents that the Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration are positively correlated to each other
- 6. Under the special case of digital payments, T Test Shows that study has failed to reject null hypothesis which means that there is no difference between Theoretical values of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of skewness and values of generated formula.
- 7. For the special case of digital payments, Mann Whitney U Test shows that the study has failed to reject null hypothesis as there is no difference between mean values of Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Values of generated formula.
- 8. With respect to Special Case of Digital Payments, Coefficient of Correlation between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration is 0.66617403.
- 9. Special Case analysis brings the relationship between Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration where Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness is inversely proportional to Ginni' coefficient of Concentration
- 10. Special Case analysis of Digital Payments presents that the Karl Pearson's Coefficient of Skewness and Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration are positively correlated to each other.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Pearson K. Contributions to the mathematical theory of evolution. I. In: Karl Pearson's Early Statistical Papers, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1894;1–40.
- [2] Bowley AL. Elements of Statistics, P.S. King and Son, Laondon; 1901.
- [3] Hossain, Adnan. A New Approach to Determine the Asymmetry of a Distribution, Journal of Applied St atistical Science. 2007;115:127-134.
- [4] Yule, Udny G. An introduction to the theory of statistics. C. Griffin, limited; 1912.
- [5] Pearson ES, Hartley HO. Biometrika Tables for Statisticians, Vols. I and II. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; 1966.
- [6] Tapan K. Nayak. Impact of Karl Pearson's Work on Statistical Developments in India, International Statistical Review, 2009;77(1):72-80.
 DOI:10.1111/j.1751-5823.2009.00067.x
- [7] Atkinson T. On the measurement of inequality. Journal of Economic Theory. 1970;2:244–263.
- [8] Jammalamadaka SR, Goria M. A test of goodness-of-fit based on Gini's index of spacings. Statistics and Probability Letters. 2004;68:177–187.
- [9] Fei J, Ranis G, Kuo S. Growth and the family of distribution of income by factor components. Quarterly Journal of Economics. 1978;92:17–53.
- [10] Lorenz MO. Methods of measuring the concentration of wealth. Publications of the American Statistical Association. 1905;9:209–219.
- [11] Raghavachari M. A remark on the paper "on the moments of concentration ratio". Sankhya series B. 1974;36:466–467.
- [12] Yitzhaki S. Calculating jackknife variance estimators for parameters of the Gini method. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics. 1991;9:235–239.
- [13] Till'e Y, Langel M. Histogram-based interpolation of the Lorenz curve and Gini index for grouped data. The American Statistician. 2012;66:225–231.
- [14] Giovanni Maria Giorgi, Chiara Gigliarano. The Gini concentration index: a review of the inference literature, Journal of Economic Survey; 2016.
 DOI: 101111/joes.12185
- [15] Giovanni Maria Giorgi. The gini concentration ratio: back to the future Rivista Italiana di Economia Demografia e Statistica Volume LXXIII n. 2 Aprile-Giugno 2019 Invited paper to the 56th SIEDS Scientific Meeting – Ascoli Piceno; 2019.
- [16] Available:https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/AnnualReport/PDFs/9IXPAYMENT5467258C18F0496FA39C A6EA7B2BF9B9.PDF
- [17] Available:https://m.rbi.org.in/Scripts/AnnualReportPublications.aspx?Id=1322
- [18] Hossain MF, Adnan MASA. A New Approach to Determine the Asymmetry of a Distribution, Journal of Applied St atistical Science. 2007;15:127-134.

- [19] Cowell F, Metha F. The estimation and interpolation of inequality measures. Review of Economic Studies. 1982;49:273–290.
- [20] Giorgi GM, Pallini A. About a general method for the lower and upper distribution-free bounds on Gini's concentration ratio from grouped data. Statistica. 1987a;67:171-184.

© 2023 Tarikere and Joshi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100665