

Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
4(2): 1-11, 2016; Article no.JGEESI.22146
ISSN: 2454-7352

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

The Importance of Individual and Territorial Differences on Water Footprint Calculations

Nüket Sivri[1*], V. Zülal Kiremitci[1], Burcu Balçik[1], Mehmet Demir[2] and A. Cemal Saydam[3]

[1]Department of Environmental Engineering, Istanbul University, 34320, Istanbul, Turkey.
[2]Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation, Directorate General for Preservation of Natural Heritage, 06510, Ankara, Turkey.
[3]Department of Environmental Engineering, Hacettepe University, 06800, Ankara, Turkey.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author NS designed the study. Authors VZK and MD performed the statistical analysis. All the authors wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors BB and VZK managed the analyses of the study. Author VZK managed the literature searches. Author ACS completed critical reading of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2016/22146
Editor(s):
(1) Wen-Cheng Liu, Department of Civil and Disaster Prevention Engineering, National United University, Taiwan and Taiwan Typhoon and Flood Research Institute, National United University, Taipei, Taiwan.
Reviewers:
(1) Anonymous, Brazil.
(2) Marcela Bianchessi da Cunha Santino, Universidade Federal de Sao Carlos, Brazil.
(3) Rajaram Pandurang Dhok, Savitribai Phule Pune University, India.
(4) Anonymous, Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India.
Complete Peer review History: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12276

Original Research Article

Received 20th September 2015
Accepted 29th October 2015
Published 12th November 2015

ABSTRACT

The water footprint refers both direct and indirect water use in production process. Not only the water footprint of products, but also the water footprint of nations can be determined. The main factors which determine the Water Footprint (WF) of a country are gender (since water footprint values for different dietary habits are also different from each other) dietary habits and Gross National Product (GNP). In this study, Germany, France, United Kingdom (UK), Spain, Italy, Turkey, Greece, Bulgaria, Ukraine and Poland were selected considering their development level, their geographical and cultural features. The WF values of these selected countries were calculated based on sex, dietary habits and the annual amount of income via "Your Water Footprint Quick Calculator". It was found that the country with the highest WF was Spain (3531 m³/year), while the

**Corresponding author: E-mail: sivrin@gmail.com;*

country with the lowest WF was UK (1711 m³/year). It was calculated that Turkey's WF was 1626 m³/year. In comparison of WF values determined for other countries in the study, it was found that Turkey has a mean WF value. Water footprint was determined 930 m³/year for equal consumption of vegetables, fruits and milk per week. Water footprint values for vegetable-based, fruit-based, milk-based and meat based dietary were respectively 944, 959, 1299 and 993 m^3/year. The most important factors that change values of Turkey's WF were the consumption of meat and dairy products. As a result, every country should be evaluated according to its own characteristics in study related to the determination of the water footprint of the countries.

Keywords: Water Footprint (WF); water footprint quick calculator; dietary habits; Gross National Product (GNP); the mediterranean countries; Turkey.

1. INTRODUCTION

In despite of being a necessity for the continuation of life, water does not spread over the world equally; it is emphasized as a restrictive and suppressive factor in most ecosystems. In spite of its vital role, freshwater makes up a very small fraction of all water on the planet [1]. In 2030, according to economic developments and effective, 4,500 km^3 of the global water demand are forecast to rise to 6,900 km^3. Not only drinking water, but also required for the creation of added water consumption has emerged as a commodity to be considered [2]. According to these considerations, water requirement is not only the volume of consumption, water requirement should also be determined in the production phase of commodities [3]. Being defined, as water volume required producing a product or a service, virtual water is closely related to the concept of water footprint [4]. Water footprint concept introduced in 2002 by Arjen Hoekstra [5].

The global water footprint is 7450 Gm^3/yr, which is 1240 m^3/cap.yr in average. In absolute terms, India is the country with the largest footprint in the world, with a total footprint of 987 Gm^3/yr. However, while India contributes 17% to the global population, the people in India contribute only 13% to the global water footprint. On a relative basis, it is the people of the USA that have the largest water footprint, with 2480 m^3/yr per capita, followed by the people in south European countries such as Greece, Italy and Spain (2300-2400 m^3/yr per capita). High water footprints can also be found in Malaysia and Thailand. At the other side of the scale, the Chinese people have a relatively low water footprint with an average of 700 m^3/yr per capita [3].

Water Footprint (WF), which refers measuring the amount of freshwater required to produce a product or service within the whole supply chain, comprises the whole process of a raw material from cradle to the grave. In this way, the concept of WF takes accounts of both direct and indirect water use during production process of commodities. WF is measured as the amount of consumed (including evaporation) and/or polluted water in a unit time. Not only WF of a person, society or commercial activity but also that of goods and service can be calculated [6]. In literature review, many studies have been conducted on calculations and assessment WF of cereal products, meat products, produced goods and services [3,4,7-15] and also comparing WF change according to dietary habits of people in different countries [14]. In Turkey, on the other hand, the most comprehensive research on WF is Water Footprint Report (WWF-Turkey) of Ministry of Forest and Water Management (Turkey), General Manager of the Water Management Turkey, OMO and Unilever [5].

Due to limited number of reports WF studies carried out in Turkey could not be compared with other countries. Therefore, in this study the subtitles of the water footprint components of Turkey have been studied. In high income countries, people generally consume more goods and services, which immediately translate into increased water footprints. But it is not consumption volume alone that determines the water demand of people. Sharing similar geographical regions and similar dietary habits Turkey; Bulgaria, Italy and Greece were grouped as Mediterranean Food Habit. Geography close to Turkey and Bulgaria, which are countries with similar dietary intake, Spain, Italy and Greece has been selected in this group. Mediterranean cousins mainly shape the dietary habits of Turkey and countries sharing similar geography like Spain, Italy and Greece therefore these countries grouped all together. Poland and Ukraine are included in the computations as to reflect WFs of developing countries located at colder climate having similar but rather different

dietary habits. Turkey is placed on the average level amongst world rankings with respect to cropping and water consumption therefore international comparison is made in terms of the average level WF countries.

The aim of this study is to assess and analysis WF of Turkey via Water Footprint Calculator. WF calculated for the other countries are compared to WF of Turkey. While this WF values are calculated, factors caused by national habits are tried to be determined. It is investigated whether other grouped countries calculated WF values are able to represent national variations or not.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, "water Footprint Calculator (WFC)" was used to calculate WFs of different European nations selected on the basis of their water shortage percentages. The calculations of water footprints, follow the methodology described in the Water Footprint Assessment Manual [6]. The calculation consists of two chapters. The first chapter is WFC which consists of three fundamental variables being countries, sex dietary habit and annual income amount. The second chapter of the calculation "Your Water Footprint Extended Calculator" was prepared according to the WF components and a total of 29 questions take place in food consumption (11 questions), domestic water consumption as indoors and outdoors (17 questions) and industrial product consumption (1 question) categories. Three main factors emerged in this calculations; countries, gender (since water footprint values for different dietary habits are also different from each other) dietary habits were assessed with the annual amount of income components.

Each nation's level of economic development was considered as first factor in assessing WF, which differs due to water shortages, adverse weather conditions and poor agricultural practices and policies [4]. Based on the fact that as developed countries have more WF since they consume more goods and services; Germany, France and UK were selected under the category of developed countries and their WF were assessed. For each question on WFC different virtual data have been formed. Those data have been used for determining WF of countries in each group.

Considering gender as the basis of our argument the difference amongst the daily food consumption habits of men and women shapes the dietary habits. This dietary habit also shapes the WF of different countries since the consumption habits also differ amongst different nations in line with their GNP. Countries can also be classified under the headings of vegetarian diet types, moderate and high protein consumption medium categories according to their geographical locations, cultural features, dietary habits and incomes [16]. Sharing similar geographical regions and similar dietary habits Turkey; Bulgaria, Italy and Greece were grouped as Mediterranean Food Habit. Geography close to Turkey and Bulgaria, which are countries with similar dietary intake, Spain, Italy and Greece has been selected in this group. A Mediterranean cousin mainly shapes the dietary habits of Turkey and countries sharing similar geography like Spain, Italy and Greece therefore these countries grouped all together. Poland and Ukraine are included in the computations as to reflect WFs of developing countries located at colder climate having similar but rather different dietary habits. In this research, web-based individual WFC was used in order to calculate WFs of countries. This research paper based on web based "Your Water Footprint Calculator (Water foot printing) developed by Hoekstra and Chapagain [4]. With this approach it's possible to reach sound results both for countries as well as for individual WFs.

In this study, Cluster analysis has been used. The cluster analysis arranges the sites into groups. Clusters are formed of sites that are similar in composition, as measured by a chosen ecological distance. Cluster analysis provides a summary of the similarity in water footprint of other countries [17]. The Bray–Curtis similarity measure was chosen as the similarity coefficient and similarity matrices coupled with, water footprint and countries were generated. Bray-Curtis similarity index identified the pronounced differences among countries on the basis of the water footprint.

According to Mekonnen and Hoekstra [18] processed meat consumption in dietary habit possesses the largest WF than any other food. Next important parameter is the luxury food consumption of the individuals living in a country. The GNP values broadcasted by World Bank [19] is used for individual countries.

3. RESULTS

As mentioned the selected countries are Germany, France, UK, Spain, Italy, Poland,

Turkey, Bulgaria Ukraine and Greece. The WF findings were assessed under 3 headings; WF values by countries, WF values by gender and meat consumption of countries.

The results of countrywide "Your Water Footprint Quick Calculator" are presented in Fig. 1. It appears that Spain has the highest WF with 2878 m³/year. The smallest value was calculated for UK as 1395 m³/year. The WF value of Turkey was found to be as 1626 m³/year.

The same calculator was used to compute WF distribution of countries by gender and the results are presented in Fig. 2. In WF of countries by gender distribution, it was found that WF of women is lower compared to that of men. Accordingly, the highest WF value of males is 3006 m³/year while the highest WF value of females is 2752 m³/year. The lowest water footprint value is 1442 m³/year for men while it is 1350 m³/year for women.

The same calculator was used to determine the changes of WF values of countries known to have different dietary regimes and related distribution is presented in Fig. 3. In general it can be seen that people having dietary habit based on high amount of protein consumption have higher WF values compared to those of vegetarian diets as presented in Fig. 3. The highest WF value was calculated in high meat consumption (3408 m³/year) while the lowest value was found in vegetarian group (1198 m³/year).



Fig. 1. WF distribution of countries



Fig. 2. WF distribution of countries by gender



Fig. 3. WF distribution of countries by dietary habits

The distribution of countries' WFs calculated with "Your Water Footprint Quick Calculator" according to GNP values based on World Bank data [19] are presented in Fig. 4. According to GNP value, the lowest WF value was calculated in UK (1711 m³/year) and the highest value was calculated in Spain (3531 m³/year). Studies always emphasize that the most essential factor that affects the WF values are luxury consumption of foods (meat, fruit, vegetable, dairy products and GNP values). According to the dietary habits of the population in Turkey, in accordance with the studies conducted so far, the obtained variables were written under different groups according to the weekly consumption amounts. Considering the fact that these consumptions are different, the weekly consumption amounts were considered around 1-2 kg; WFs were calculated through different combinations of kilogram amounts of these four products. Other variables in "Your Water Footprint Extended Calculator" were stabilized. According to mean GNP values obtained from the World Bank [18]; the lowest WF value was calculated as 1167 m³/year while the highest value was found as 1643 m³/year through the calculation tool in this study. According to minimum GNP value, the lowest WF value was found to be 930 m³/year and the highest value was found to be 1405 m³/year.

Turkey's water footprint was calculated by Extended Water Footprint calculation tool [6]. Using Extended Water Footprint calculation tool, it was tried to determine the effects of different dietary habits of Turkey's water footprint. Dietary habits were divided into vegetables, fruits, meat and dairy products. Firstly, water footprint was calculated based on the equal consumption for each product. Then, water footprint of the same products was calculated according to different weekly consumptions. Water footprint was determined 930 m³/year for equal consumption of vegetables, fruits and milk per week. Water footprint values for vegetable-based, fruit-based, milk-based and meat based dietary were respectively 944, 959, 1299 and 993 m^3/year. Water footprint of milk-based consumption was found highest among the other food-based consumption. The most important factors that changed values of WF were the consumption of meat and dairy products.

4. DISCUSSION

The concept of WF has been defined and developed in order to be an indicator of water consumption of people. WF of a country defines the volume of water required for the production of goods and services consumed by the citizens of that country. The global WF is 7450 Gm³/year; while the WF per capita is 1240 m³/year [3]. In this study, it was found that WF value of each country is different from the WF of other countries just as stated in the study of Chapagain and Hoekstra [3]. Income levels by personal, geographical characteristics and climate conditions of countries are effective to determine WF; in addition, agricultural production amounts, production of products which need much more water in agriculture also have impact on different WF values.



Fig. 4. WF distribution of countries by GNP

In other studies conducted on WF, [3,20,21] found that UK (1250 m³/year) has the lowest WF while Spain (2450 m³/year) has the highest WF value; these findings from the countries. WF graphics are in concordance with the WF values found in the present study. Similarly, WF values of Turkey were found to be in close value with mean WF value of all countries (1626 m³/year) (Fig. 1).

According to Mekonnen and Hoekstra [7] WFs per capita in developed countries are lower than those in developing countries. According to the analysis conducted on countries in the present study, it was found that developed countries like Germany, France and UK have lower WF compared to developing countries. The main reasons for this can be as follows; the agricultural and animal products which require much use of water are less in developing countries [22] and while developed countries use modern techniques in agriculture, developing countries continue agricultural activities through traditional techniques to increase their WF. The sector-based water use of countries reflects their development level to some extent. As the economy of developed countries is based on industry, they import raw material and agricultural products, have more comprehensive water management plans, conscious water consumption is more common in fields where water is mostly used; especially in agriculture; for these reasons, WF values are lower in such countries.

In rich countries, people generally consume more goods and services, which immediately translate into increased water footprints. But it is not consumption volume alone that determines the water demand of people. The composition of the consumption package is relevant too, because some goods in particular require a lot of water (bovine meat, rice). In many poor countries it is a combination of unfavourable climatic conditions (high evaporative demand) and bad agricultural practice (resulting in low water productivity) that contributes to a high water footprint.

The influence of the various determinants varies from country to country. The water footprint of USA is high (2480 m^3/cap/yr) partly because of large meat consumption per capita and high consumption of industrial products. The water footprint of Iran is relatively high (1624 m^3/cap/yr) partly because of low yields in crop production and partly because of high evapotranspiration. In the USA the industrial component of the water footprint is 806 m^3/cap/yr whereas in Iran it is only 24 m^3/cap/yr. The aggregated external water footprints of nations in the world constitute 16% of the total global water footprint (Fig. 4). However, the share of the external water footprint strongly varies from country to country. Some African countries, such as Sudan, Mali, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Malawi and Chad have hardly any external water footprint, simply because they have little import. Some European countries on the other hand, e.g. Italy, Germany, the UK and the Netherlands have external water footprints contributing 50-80% to

the total water footprint. The agricultural products that contribute most to the external water footprints of nations are: Bovine meat, soybean, wheat, cocoa, rice, cotton and maize.

In developed countries having high level of income, agricultural water use is replaced by industrial sector [23]. Furthermore, the increase in consumption need and water shortage in developed countries turned water into a global resource [14]. Accordingly, purchasing water through imported products or selling water through exported products will play a significant role in countries' strategies to decrease WF, measures to be taken against water shortage and the water management plans to be applied [3]. It can be seen that WF volume which differs by export and import is higher in developed countries; so is the purchased and sold WF volume. Each country has a different water-balance characteristics and this balance is more stable in developed countries.

It can be seen that WF values of Mediterranean countries such as Spain, Italy, Greece and Turkey are higher than other countries. The WF is related with geographical features of Mediterranean and cultural characteristics and dietary habits of people living in this geography. Dietary habit of Mediterranean countries is mostly based on vegetable or fruit agriculture and their consumption within the country [24] and this is a factor which increases the WF. Especially in Mediterranean countries, there is need of plans towards water need in agricultural production and water management [4]. The high temperature values in Mediterranean countries compared to others are in parallel with the increase in WF values. Especially Spain fulfills 5% of cereal production of Europe [25]. Another important factor in countries which have higher WF around Mediterranean is that they have high production and consumption of olive which is a fruit having high WF. Furthermore, Mediterranean region is the most active region in olive oil production. The water used for irrigation in agriculture which can be commonly observed in Mediterranean countries is among the most characteristic factors to increase WF value [24].

In consideration of WF calculation of countries, one of the most important factor which have impact on WF is agricultural activities. The consumption of agricultural products comprises 92% of global WF which depends on consumption. According to the levels of product categories; cereal consumption comprises the largest part (27%) of global WF which is followed by meat (22%) and dairy products (7%) [13]. To conduct agricultural activities in accordance with natural condition and climate conditions can have effect in decreasing WF [4,21].

Dietary habits of people in a country, consumption of products having high WF such as meat are important in calculation of that country's WF. According to the dietary habit based on meat consumption; the findings obtained in the present study are in concordance with the findings of Vanham et al. [16]. The increasing meat consumption having high WF leads to increase in WF value of a country (Fig. 3). In this study, WF values obtained for high meat consumption are higher than the values calculated for the group having less meat consumption and vegetarian group in all countries. Economic development brings along changes in food and consumption habits. The increasing and diversifying consumption of middle class in developing countries has increased the meat consumption worldwide. In countries having high level of income, annual mean meat consumption per capita increased to 93.5 kg in 2002 compared to 55.9 kg in 1990. According to the values of the year 2012, annual mean meat consumption per capita is 110.2 kg in Spain, 91.4 kg in Italy, 88.7 kg in France, 87.7 kg in Germany and 85.8 kg in UK [26]. The importance of these values for water consumption is closely related with 15.000 m^3water consumption for the production of a ton of beef [27,28]. The environmental effects of meat production can be seen in deterioration of environment and increase in greenhouse gas emission apart from water shortage (800 million tones methane/year) [28].

One of the reasons of different WF values of countries is the annual income levels of countries. National revenues of countries not only determine consumption volumes but also affect WF values. Citizens in each country have different income amounts and purchasing power. Therefore, the mean Gross National Product (GNP) values of countries were used to calculate WFs. Mean and low GNP values of countries were analyzed in order to determine the effect of incomes of citizens on WF. While the contribution of people having high annual income is much on WF, those having low income have less WF. As stated in the study of Hoekstra and Chapagain [4] the present study also found that WF values of countries differ by national incomes of countries (Fig. 4).

Considering the lowest and mean GNP values of countries, it was calculated that WF of Bulgaria is 2398, 2362 m³/year and that of Ukraine is 1793 and 1693 m³/year, respectively. This income distribution leads to close values in WFs as well. There are various numerical indices for measuring economic inequality. Due to the high difference between income levels of developed countries and other countries selected for this study, the difference between the WFs calculated according to minimum and mean GNP values is very high as well. The difference between income levels directly affects the luxury consumption title; therefore it has clear impacts on WF values as well.

In Spain and UK where the WF values show the biggest difference according to the mean GNP values, footprint values were determined as 3531 m^3/year and 1711 m^3/year. In addition, in Bulgaria and UK where the WF values show the biggest difference according to minimum GNP values, footprint values were determined as 2362 m^3/year and 1080 m^3/year. In Turkey, these values are within the range of 1810 m^3/year and 1442 m^3/year and this situation makes our country take place in the group of countries having different income distribution.

In calculations of WF through sex, it is possible to see less WF of women compared to men is related to women's dietary habits. In order to keep body weight relatively stable, energy intake should be in same amounts with daily consumption. The mean energy intake is 2600 kcal/day for an American man; this figure is 1900 kcal/day in average for a female. Men consume foods having more fat and energy compared to women; and men spend more energy [29]. Daily water consumption is also directly related with daily calorie need; daily water consumption is 1-1.5 ml per 1 kcal energy for a person [30]. Therefore, it is possible to assume that daily water consumption can be higher for men. For that reason, this study conducted based on the sex difference can predict that the main factors in higher WFs of men are related to their different dietary habits and the energy of the consumed foods.

In the analysis of WF data of countries through Bray-Curtis similarity dendogram (Fig. 5); the group of Mediterranean countries having similarities (Bulgaria, Italy, Greece and Spain) is remarkable. France and Germany are the two closest countries in terms of similarity in WF values and Poland can be included in the same group. Turkey and Ukraine can be assessed as two countries having mean but incompatible values in terms of similarity. UK is a different country and has very little similarity among all groups. The consistency between the statistical results of the values detected in this study and the cultural-dietary habits of countries makes the calculations of this study reliable.

At the end of the calculations, the WF of Turkey was found as 1626 m³/year. In comparison of WF values determined for other countries in the study, it was found that Turkey has a mean WF value. In addition, the main variables of "Your Water Footprint Extended Calculator" used for Turkey were investigated. Just like in the assessment conducted among countries, generally as GNP increased, WF values increased as well. Meat, vegetable, fruit and dairy products which have a significant place in dietary habits of Turkey were determined as the



Fig. 5. The Bray-Curtis similarity index among countries

most effective factors in the calculation of WF of Turkey. According to GNP values; it was found that WF value of Turkey increased as the consumption of meat, vegetable, fruit and dairy products increased. The WF value of Turkey reached above the mean value through the increase in meat consumption which has a common production and consumption field and has a high WF. In specific to Turkey, the second most important factor which affects WF was assumed to be the increase in dairy product consumption.

5. CONCLUSION

The Water Footprint (WF) concepts have become popular during the last decade. Indeed, water is one of many inputs in production, but other strategic and economic considerations are usually the drivers of trade (not only comparative advantage, as is often emphasized). In studies to be conducted related to determining or decreasing WFs of Turkey, each country is assessed by her own characteristics.

Meat and dairy products have the highest value among intense water consumption products and national water plans cannot reach the aim without these two factors. The increasing need of meat and dairy products should be controlled in correct way in order to decrease the shortage of usable water resources. A suitable water policy should include the limitation of meat and dairy sector. The possible effects can be different as the dietary habits of each country are different. However, meat consumption-derived WF can be decreased by changing dietary habits in nations and regions having relatively high meat consumption per capita. Such a change is out of the question for countries having mean world values of WF such as Turkey. However, the suggestion of vegetarian diet in both approaches related to obesity and suggestions towards healthy living of people, created a dominant effect on Turkish press and people.

While making national water planning, states adopt a traditional attitude towards fulfilling national water need with a solely national perspective. States look for ways to satisfy water users with total amount of water need. Anticipations about climate change indicate that Mediterranean Basin (including Turkey) will be seriously affected by temperature rise and decrease of raining. It is assumed that this situation will increase water stress, will lead to more frequent and serious dimensions of drought, as a result, water shortage, forest fires will increase, biologic variety will be lost and income loss will be experienced in agriculture and tourism. Considering all these anticipations, it is very important to make and apply policies towards reducing long-term water need of Turkey and decrease Turkey's WF.

In “Your Water Footprint Extended Calculator”, factors such as garden irrigation frequency, car washing frequency, swimming pool use and capacity under the subtitles of fundamental variables cannot be calculated through an anticipated mean value for each household considering general life habits of Turkey. For that reason, as one of the main suggestions of this study, these variables were considered as variables which should not be included in the assessment in terms of calculation method for Turkey. One of the important factors to be emphasized is the fact that subtitles of each fundamental variable in WF calculations may not be suitable for each country. It is suggested that these variables to be selected for each country should be selected in accordance with characteristics of each country, life standards and habits; private variables of related country.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Research Fund of The University of Istanbul (Project Number: BYP-50601). We gratefully acknowledge Oğuz KUZU for critical reading and final revision of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). AQUASTAT. Available:http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/main/index.stm (Accessed December 2014)
2. Muluk ÇB, Kurt B, Turak A, Türker A, Çalışkan MA, Balkız Ö, Gümrükçü S, Sarıgül G, Zeydanlı U. Türkiye’de Suyun Durumu ve Su Yönetiminde Yeni Yaklaşımlar: Çevresel Perspektif. İş Dünyası ve Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Derneği - Doğa Koruma Merkezi. 2014;112.

Available:www.dkm.org.tr
(Accessed December 2014) (in Turkish).

3. Chapagain AK, Hoekstra AY. Water footprints of nations. Research Report Series No: 16; 2004.
4. Hoekstra AY, Chapagain AK. Water footprints of nations: Water use by people as a function of their consumption pattern. Water Resources Management. 2007; 21(1):35-48.
5. Pegram G, Conyngham S, Aksaoy A, Bahar B, Öztok D. Türkiye'nin Su Ayak İzi Raporu: Su, Üretim ve Uluslararası Ticaret İlişkisi. WWF-Türkiye, ISBN: 978-605-86596-7-4; 2014. (in Turkish).
6. Water Footprint Network (WFN). Available:http://www.waterfootprint.org/?page=files/home (Accessed Nomevber 2014)
7. Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. The green, blue and grey water footprint of farm animals and animal products. Main Report, UNESCO-IHE. 2010;1.
8. Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. Water footprint benchmarks for crop production: A first global assessment. Ecological Indicators. 2014;46:214-223.
9. Hoekstra AY. Water for animal products: A blind spot in water policy. Environmental Research Letters. 2014;9(9):091003.
DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/9/9/091003
10. Chapagain A, Orr S. UK water footprint: The impact of the UK's food and fibre consumption on global water resources. Appendices. WWF-UK, Godalming. 2008; 2:31-33.
11. Van Oel PR, Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. The external water footprint of the Netherlands: Geographically-explicit quantification and impact assessment. Ecological Economics. 2009;69(1):82-92.
12. Zhao X, Chen B, Yang ZF. National water footprint in an input output framework—A case study of China 2002. Ecological Modelling. 2009;220(2):245-253.
13. Hoekstra AY, Mekonnen MM. The water footprint of humanity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012; 109(9):3232-3237.
14. Vanham D, Bidoglio G. A review on the indicator water footprint for the EU 28. Ecological Indicators. 2013;26:61-75.
15. Schyns JF, Hoekstra AY. The added value of water footprint assessment for national water policy: A case study for Morocco. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(6):e99705.
DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099705
16. Vanham D, Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. The water footprint of the EU for different diets. Ecological Indicators. 2013;32:1-8.
17. Mooi E, Sarstedt M. A concise guide to market research, in Chapter 9. Cluster Analysis, Springer – Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg; 2011.
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642 12541-6_9
18. Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. A global assessment of the water footprint of farm animal products. Ecosystem. 2012;15(3): 401-15.
19. Dünya Bankası, Available:http://www.worldbank.org/ (Accessed: December 2014)
20. Chapagain AK, Hoekstra AY. The blue, green and grey water footprint of rice from production and consumption perspectives. Ecological Economics. 2011;70:749-758.
21. Hoekstra AY, Chapagain AK, Aldaya MM, Mekonnen MM. The water footprint assessment manual: Setting the global standard. Earthscan, Washington, USA; 2012. ISBN: 978-1-84971-279-8.
22. Available:http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx (Accessed December 2014)
23. Aküzüm T, Çakmak B, Gökalp Z. Türkiye'de Su Kaynakları Yönetiminin Değerlendirilmesi. Tarım Bilimleri Araştırma Dergisi, 2010;3(1):67-74. (in Turkish).
24. Sofi F, Cesari F, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A. Adherence to mediterranean diet and health status: Meta-analysis. BMJ. 2008;337:a1344.
DOI: 10.1136/bmj.a1344
25. Aldaya MM, Garrido A, Llamas MR, Varela-Ortega C, Novo P, Casado RR. Water footprint and virtual water trade in Spain. In: Garrido A. and Llamas MR. (eds.), Waterpolicy in Spain, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, U.S., Chapter 6. International Stardart Book Number-13: 978-0-203-86602-3. 2010;49-59. (eBook-PDF).
26. Available:http://vegetarian.procon.org/view.resource.php?resourceID=004748 (January 2014)
27. Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). The state of the world's land and water resources for food and agriculture: Managing systems at risk. Rome/London, Land and Water Division, FAO/Earthscan; 2011.
28. Swedish International Cooperation Agency (SIWI). Let it reign: The new water

paradigm for global food security. 2005; Available:http://www.waterfootprint.org/Reports/SIWI_2005.pdf

29. Rolls BJ, Fedoroff IC, Guthrie JF. Gender differences in eating behavior and body weight regulation. Health Psychology. 1991;10(2):133.

30. Longo D, Fauci A, Kasper D, Hauser S, Jameson J, Loscalzo J. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. Mc Graw Hill Professional, 18th Edition, Part 6, Chapter 73. 2011;588.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12276