



Awareness, Acceptance, and Barriers of Vasectomy among Male Resident Doctors at Jos University Teaching Hospital

R. E Akpa^{a++*}, A. N Ocheke^{a#} and A.F Andibanbang^{b†}

^a Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Jos University Teaching Hospital, Plateau State, Nigeria.

^b NES Healthcare, United Kingdom.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119850>

Original Research Article

Received: 18/05/2024

Accepted: 20/07/2024

Published: 10/08/2024

ABSTRACT

This study will help identify the barriers to vasectomy as a family planning option among male medical resident doctors in Jos University Teaching Hospital. Vasectomy, or male surgical sterilization, involves the division or occlusion of the lumen of the vas deferens leading to disruption of the passage of sperm from the testes. It is one of the few fertility control methods that enable men to take personal responsibility for contraception. Respondents were selected using a stratified proportionate random sampling technique. Of the three tertiary health facilities in Jos North, JUTH was picked by simple random sampling by balloting. A list of all the resident doctors was obtained for each department and the names were sorted by sex and arranged by seniority. This study also

⁺⁺ Senior Registrar;

[#] Professor & Consultant;

[†] Medical Officer;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bobbyakpa@gmail.com;

Cite as: Akpa, R. E, A. N Ocheke, and A.F Andibanbang. 2024. "Awareness, Acceptance, and Barriers of Vasectomy Among Male Resident Doctors at Jos University Teaching Hospital". *Asian Journal of Research in Surgery* 7 (2):282-92. <https://journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/article/view/222>.

showed that the negative attitude towards vasectomy was influenced by the need for more children as most of the participants had concerns about regrets experienced by couples after the procedure. It is recommended that strategies should be developed to tackle major identified barriers, especially to personal use of vasectomy. Training programmes which are focused on evidence-based facts on male sterilisation would help promote proper counselling in birth control consultations.

Keywords: Family planning; sterilization; contraception; vasectomy.

1. INTRODUCTION

“The 2006 census revealed that the population of Nigeria was a little above 140 million persons with a national population growth rate of 3.2% per annum. Current estimates have it that the population of Nigeria is well over 170 million and rising with a high fertility rate of 5.5 births per woman and a relatively high mortality ratio of 576 per 100,000 live births. The Safe Motherhood Initiative, a global campaign to reduce maternal mortality, launched in 1987, identified family planning as one of four strategies to reduce maternal mortality in developing countries, where 99% of all maternal deaths occur. Family planning or contraceptive services have helped reduce maternal mortality and population growth in developed countries. The contraceptive prevalence rate in Nigeria is low compared to those of Ghana, South Africa, and the United Kingdom” [1].

“The low rates of contraceptive use in Nigeria and other developing countries is largely influenced by cultural perceptions and misconceptions about family planning methods, poverty, low levels of female education, as well as the unmet need for family planning due to unavailability, and lack of access to family planning services” [1]. “Men also play a very important role in deciding the number of children couples have and how to use FP methods most effectively” [2]. “One of the most important ways to encourage men to use FP is to provide couples with more male-oriented contraceptive choices, such as vasectomy” [2]. “Although vasectomy is a simple process with a high success rate (>99%) and minimal complications (such as swelling and pain), it is still underutilized around the world, especially in developing countries” [2]. “Vasectomy, or male surgical sterilization, involves the division or occlusion of the lumen of the vas deferens leading to disruption of the passage of sperm from the testes” [3]. It is one of the few fertility control methods that enable men to take personal responsibility for contraception [3]. “It is a simple procedure usually done under local anaesthesia

on an outpatient basis and is associated with less risk of morbidity than BTL. It is less expensive than BTL and its method failure rate of 0.01 per 100 women years is lower than 0.13 per 100 women years for female sterilization” [3]. “While high acceptance rates have been reported in developed countries like the USA and Australia” [3]. “Vasectomy is still not widely accepted in many African countries including Nigeria [3] because many believe that it causes impotence, ejaculatory failure, weight gain, and its equation with castration” [3]. “Spread of accurate information in a population has been shown to improve the perception and acceptability of vasectomy” [3].

“A cross-sectional study done on the knowledge and acceptance of vasectomy among 102 medical students in Meta in 2018 showed that 53.35% of the students had a high knowledge of vasectomy, 41.07% had a medium knowledge of vasectomy and 5.35% had a low knowledge of vasectomy [4]. Of the medical students who participated in the study, 75% accepted undergoing vasectomy at some point in their lives” [4].

“A study carried out on Attitudes, Counselling Patterns and Acceptance of Vasectomy among Obstetrics and Gynaecology resident doctors attending an update course showed that 99.6% had good knowledge of vasectomy” [3]. “The unwillingness to accept vasectomy was attributed to socio-cultural (21.3%), religious (13.1%) and psychological (41.0%), 24.6% had no specific reasons” [3,4]. “More than four-fifths were convinced that the average Nigerian doctor would not accept vasectomy when indicated” [3].

“A study was carried out on the awareness and perception of vasectomy among antenatal women in a tertiary facility in southeast Nigeria. Of the 200 respondents, 146(73%) were unaware of the existence of vasectomy while 54(27%) were aware. Of those who were aware, 41(75.9%) accepted its use as a family planning method for men. The commonest reason, 17(41.5%), for acceptance was the fear of

infidelity among their husbands. The intention to use was, however, low as 24(44.4%) of those who accepted vasectomy disapproved of its use by their husbands and the main reason for disapproval was “weakens husband’s sexual performance” (33.3%)” [5].

Owing to the low rates and barriers to vasectomy as a method of family planning [2,3]. This study aims to identify the knowledge gap of vasectomy as a family planning method among medical doctors. The acceptance rate of vasectomy in this study population is unknown. Hence, this study aims to know the acceptance among doctors and for these doctors to recommend vasectomy as a family planning method to patients they come in contact with. Lastly, this study will help identify the barriers of vasectomy as a family planning option among male medical resident doctors in Jos University Teaching Hospital.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

JUTH is a tertiary health institution in Jos, the capital of Plateau State located in North-central Nigeria. It was established in 1981 and has a capacity of about 600 beds. It has 25 departments including clinical departments like the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and non-clinical departments. It serves as a training centre for students, interns, house officers and resident doctors. JUTH has a total of 492 resident doctors receiving specialist training in the facility.

2.2 Study Population

The study population comprised male doctors undergoing residency training who consented to the study.

2.3 Inclusion Criteria

Male resident doctors who have been in training for at least 6 months.

2.4 Exclusion Criteria

All other health professional not in residency training and those not willing to participate.

2.5 Estimation of Sample Size

The sample size was calculated using the formula: [4,5]

$$n = Z^2 pq / d^2$$

N= Minimum sample size

Z=1.96 at 95% confidence level

P= Best estimate of prevalence of the condition in the target population expressed as fraction of 100. The prevalence rate of would be used = 0.75³

Q= Complementary proportion (1- p) = 0.25

D= level of significance, 0.05 at 95% confidence level

$$N = 1.96^2 \times 0.75 \times 0.25 / 0.05^2$$

$$= 288$$

$$\approx 290$$

This gives a minimum sample size of 290. Assuming a non-response rate of 10%, the minimum sample size = 319

The number of respondents from each department was determined using

Number of male doctors in Department/Total number of male doctors in JUTH X Minimum sample size

For example. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology = 30 male doctors

$$37/367 \times 319 = 32.1 \approx 32$$

2.6 Sampling Technique

Respondents were selected using a stratified proportionate random sampling technique. Of the three tertiary health facilities in Jos North, JUTH was picked by simple random sampling by balloting. A list of all the resident doctors was obtained for each department and the names were sorted by sex and arranged by seniority.

Each sampling frame (number of male resident doctors in the department derived by PPS) was divided by the sample size required to get an nth number. The first respondent was selected by balloting and every nth name will be picked subsequently. When consent is not obtained, the next number is selected as a respondent.

2.7 Data Collection

The list of all the resident doctors was obtained from the Association of Resident Doctors (ARD) secretariat and serialised. After which the sample size was adjusted based on the number of doctors in each department. The eligible doctors were selected using simple random sampling and the questionnaires were administered to them. Data collection was by self-administered structured questionnaires adapted from a study

done on the intention to use vasectomy and its associated factors among married men in Ethiopia [6].

The Knowledge of Vasectomy was scored over a point of 10. People who scored less than 5 were assigned poor knowledge and scores above 5 were assigned good knowledge [7,8,9].

2.8 Data Analysis

Data entry and cleaning in Microsoft Excel and data analysis in SPSS version 26.

2.9 Study Design

The design adopted for this study is a cross-sectional study.

3. RESULTS

The study revealed that majority of the participants 247(77.4%) were aged between 30-39 years. Mean age of participants was 33.4±4.1 years. A proportion of 181(56.7%) of the participants were married. Also,

226(70.8%) of the study participants were Registrars, while 93(29.2%) Senior registrars (Table 1).

3.1 Awareness of Vasectomy as a Family Planning Method

The majority of the participants 309(96.9%) agreed that vasectomy is a contraceptive method that involves transacting the vas deferens. Similarly, 279(87.5%) also agreed that vasectomy is an irreversible method of family planning. All the participants agreed that vasectomy requires a minor surgical procedure. Similarly, the majority of the participants 266(83.4%) did not believe that with vasectomy the normal functioning of testis is altered. Also, majority of the participants 234(73.4%) did not believe that ejaculation is impaired with vasectomy as seen in Table 2 below.

Overall assessment of knowledge of vasectomy revealed that the majority of the participants (89.3%) had good knowledge of vasectomy as a family planning method as seen in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants (n = 319)

Demographic characteristics	Frequency (f)	Percent (%)
Age (years)		
20-29	47	14.7
30-39	247	77.4
40-49	25	7.8
Mean±SD	33.4±4.1	
Religion		
Christianity	271	85.0
Islam	48	15.0
Marital status		
Single	138	43.3
Married	181	56.7
Cadre		
Registrar	226	70.8
Senior Registrar	93	29.2

Table 2. Awareness of Vasectomy as a family planning method (n = 319)

Knowledge	f	%
Vasectomy is a contraceptive method that involves transacting the Vas deferens		
True	309	96.9
False	10	3.1
Vasectomy is an irreversible method of family planning		
True	279	87.5
False	40	12.5
Vasectomy requires a minor surgical procedure		
True	319	100.0
False	0	0.0

Knowledge	f	%
Seminal fluid is present shortly after Vasectomy		
True	287	90.0
False	4	1.3
Don't know	28	8.8
With Vasectomy, the normal functioning of the testis is altered		
True	10	3.1
False	266	83.4
Don't know	43	13.5
Vasectomy is a known risk factor for testicular cancer		
True	10	3.1
False	228	71.5
Don't know	81	25.4
Ejaculation is impaired with vasectomy		
True	48	15.0
False	234	73.4
Don't know	37	11.6
Sexual satisfaction is altered with vasectomy		
True	5	1.6
False	266	83.4
Don't know	48	15.0




Fig. 1. Overall Awareness of Vasectomy as a family planning method (n = 319)

3.2 Demographic Predictors of Knowledge of Vasectomy as a Family Planning Method

The study revealed that of participants aged between 30-39 years, 96.0% of them had good knowledge compared to participants aged between 20-29 years (59.6%) and 40-49 years (80.0%) respectively. This difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 57.359$, $p = 0.001$).

Similarly, knowledge was significant with marital status ($\chi^2 = 27.394$, $p = 0.001$), Religion ($\chi^2 =$

3.885 , $p = 0.049$) and Cadre of participants ($\chi^2 = 52.490$, $p = 0.001$) respectively.

3.3 Acceptance of Vasectomy

From this study, despite the high level of awareness of vasectomy as a family planning method, the level of acceptance of vasectomy was very low 22(6.9%). Similarly, only 93(29.2%) would recommend vasectomy to family and people close to them as a family planning method. However, about half of the participants 168(52.7%) agreed that vasectomy is a good choice to couples who have completed their family size (Table 3).

Table 3. Acceptance of Vasectomy (n=319)

Acceptance	f	%
I would accept vasectomy as a form of contraception		
Agree	22	6.9
Neutral	117	36.7
Disagree	180	56.4
I will recommend vasectomy to family, friends and people close to me		
Yes	93	29.2
No	226	70.8
Vasectomy is a good choice to couples who have completed their family size		
Yes	168	52.7
No	151	47.3




Fig. 2. Adoption of vasectomy because it is a permanent method of contraception (n=319)




Fig. 3. Barriers to Adoption of Vasectomy as a family planning method (n=184)

3.4 Barriers to Adoption of Vasectomy as a Family Planning Method

The reasons why they opposed vasectomy were regrets experienced by couples after the procedure (74.5%), personal belief (13.0%) erectile dysfunction (5.4%), religious belief (4.9%) and loss of status in the family/society (2.2%). This showed that regrets experienced by couples after the procedure formed the major barrier to the adoption of vasectomy as a family planning method.

4. DISCUSSION

This study sought to identify the awareness, acceptance and barriers of vasectomy as a family planning option among male medical resident doctors in Jos University Teaching Hospital.

The study revealed that the majority of the participants 247(77.4%) were aged between 30-39 years. This age group in this present study is similar to the age group in another study conducted in Ibadan [10] and Ogun- Nigeria [11].

The result of this study showed that the majority of the participants (89.3%) had good knowledge of vasectomy as a family planning method which would be expected of resident doctors in a training programme. A previous study among Nigerian health workers in Ibadan [10] and among resident gynecologist [3] showed good knowledge of vasectomy but level of knowledge among the general population of males is considerably lower [12]. This was also in agreement with a study carried out in Ekpoma, Nigeria among literate married men that showed that a good number of men were aware of vasectomy as a family planning method [13].

Another important finding in this study was that of knowledge of vasectomy. There was a statistically significant association between participants' level of knowledge and their marital status, religion and cadre. In an Iranian population, a positive significant association was found between education levels among men and women and choosing to have a vasectomy [14].

A contrary finding was found in a study done in Ogun-Nigeria where participants' educational qualifications did not have a significant association with their level of knowledge about vasectomy [11].

In this study, despite the good knowledge about vasectomy, acceptance is poor. Majority of participants would neither accept nor recommend vasectomy for family and friends. This finding is similar to a previous study among Nigerian resident gynaecologists which reported that despite good knowledge about vasectomy among doctors, the majority were poorly disposed towards use of vasectomy [3]. This was also in accordant with a study carried out in Ekpoma that showed poor acceptance of vasectomy as a male contraceptive method, Also the study showed poor knowledge of vasectomy and this may be a reason for the poor acceptance [13]. This indicates a need for effective national training programmes targeted at health workers to enhance their knowledge of vasectomy as well as break barriers to personal use of and counselling for vasectomy [11].

This study also showed that the negative attitude towards vasectomy was influenced by the need for more children as most of the participants had concerns about regrets experienced by couples after the procedure. Similar findings were reported in a study done in Nigeria [10]

This study identified that the religious beliefs of participants were not a major barrier associated with attitudes towards vasectomy or its acceptance. This is in contrast with a study done in a suburban Nigerian population, where apart from a fear of side effects (70.4%), the other major reason for nonapproval of family planning by men was their perception that family planning was against religion (52.1%) [12]. This study also did not agree with a study done in Oyo state, Nigeria that revealed that the major barrier of vasectomy was due to fear of side effects of surgery and a good number of participants also saw it as a "sin against God" [15,16].

5. CONCLUSION

Male Resident Doctors in JUTH have a good level of knowledge about vasectomy, nevertheless, the acceptance of this procedure is poor. This study showed that the knowledge of the respondents did not significantly influence their perception and attitude towards vasectomy.

Hence, it is recommended that strategies should be developed to tackle major identified barriers, especially to personal use of vasectomy. Training programmes which are focused on evidence-based facts on male sterilisation would help

promote proper counseling in birth control consultations.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. More campaigns should be carried out to enlighten the public in various clinics, especially on the advantages of vasectomy as a family planning method
2. Men be incorporated in family planning issues. Moreso, the misconceptions and myths that serve as barriers should be properly discarded.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nyengidiki TK, Oriji V, Olaka WE. Knowledge and attitude toward vasectomy among antenatal clinic attendees in a tertiary health facility in Nigeria. *Sahel Med J [Internet]*. 2016;19(4):201. [Cited 2022 Mar 2] Available:<https://www.smjonline.org/article.asp?issn=1118-8561;year=2016;volume=19;issue=4;spage=201;epage=205;aulast=Tamunomie>
2. Sezer k, Esen S, Simge Z, Sevgul D. Opinions and attitudes about vasectomy of married couples living in Turkey. *American Journal of mens health*. 2017;11(3):531-534.
3. Ebeigbe PN, Igberase GO, Eigbefoh J. Vasectomy: A survey of attitudes, counselling patterns and acceptance among Nigerian Resident Gynecologists. *Ghana Medical Journal*. 2011;45(3):101-104
4. Daniel TA, Jessica LG, Ivan JR. et al. Knowledge and acceptance towards Vasectomy in a sample of Medicine Students, meta. *Columbia Urology Journal*. 2019;29(2).
5. Ikeako LC, Nnagbo JE, Umeh UA, Ezegwui HU, Chigbu CO, Ajah L, et al. Awareness and Perception of vasectomy among antenatal women in a tertiary facility in southeast Nigeria. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2018;7:1706-11
6. Ayele AD, Beyene FY, Wudineh KG, Kassa BG, Goshu YA, Mihretie GN. Intention to use vasectomy and its associated factors among married men in Debre Tabor Town, PLoS ONE. 2020;15(9)
7. Plateau State Government. Visit Plateau. Last cited on 28th June, 2014. Available:<http://www.plateaustategov.org/visit/jos.html>
8. Maninder Singh Setia. Methodology series module 3: Cross-sectional studies in India *Journal of Dermatology*. 2016;61(3): 261-264
9. Ogbonna C. Research methodology in; Biostatistics, Medical Informatics and Research Methodology. First edition, Jos, Plateau State 2014;227-228.
10. Okunlola MA, Awoyinka SB, Owonikoko KM. Awareness and practice of vasectomy among married male health workers at the University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. *Niger Postgrad Med J* 2009;16:203-6.
11. Owopetu C, Chukwuma S, Nwozichi C. Knowledge and attitude of men about vasectomy as a method of family planning among married men working in Babcock University, Ogun state, Nigeria. *Internat J Nurs & Midwifery*. 2014;7(3):30-35.
12. Odu OO, Ijadunola KT, Komolafe JO, Adebimpe WT. Men's knowledge of and attitude with respect to family planning in a suburban Nigerian community. *Niger J Med*. 2006;15:260-5.
13. Akpamu U, Nwoke EO, Osifo UC, Igbinovia ENS, Adisa AW. Knowledge and acceptance of vasectomy as a contraceptive method among literate married men in Ekpoma, Nigeria. *Afri J Biomed*. 2010;153-156
14. Keramat A, Zarei A, Arabi M. Barriers and facilitators affecting vasectomy acceptability (a multi stages study in a sample from north eastern of Iran), 2005-2007. *Asia Pac Fam Med*. 2011; 10:5.

15. Adeyimka TD, Oyedunni SA, Ademola JA. Antecedent factors relating to the adoption vasectomy among married women in peri-urban communities of Ibadan, Nigeria. International Journal of nursing and Midwifery. 2016;8(6).
16. Kogan P, Moshe W. Male Contraceptives; History and Development. Urol. Clin. N. Am. 2014;41(1):145-61.

APPENDIX

QUESTIONNAIRE ON AWARENESS, ACCEPTANCE AND BARRIERS OF VASECTOMY AS A FAMILY PLANNING METHOD AMONG MALE MEDICAL RESIDENT DOCTORS AT THE JOS UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL

I am a resident doctor with the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. I am conducting a study on the above topic in fulfillment of my part 1 training.

The aim is solely for academic purpose. Information obtained will be treated confidentially as names will not be requested on the questionnaire

SECTION A

Age _____
Sex _____
Marital status _____
Religion _____
Cadre _____

SECTION B : KNOWLEDGE OF VASECTOMY AS A FAMILY PLANNING METHOD

1. Vasectomy is a contraceptive method that involves transecting the Vas deferens
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
2. Vasectomy is an irreversible method of family planning
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
3. Vasectomy requires a minor surgical procedure
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
4. Seminal fluid is present shortly after vasectomy
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
5. With vasectomy, the normal functioning of the Testis is altered
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
6. Vasectomy is a known risk factor for testicular cancer
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
7. Ejaculation is impaired with vasectomy
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know
8. Sexual satisfaction is altered with vasectomy
 - a. True
 - b. False
 - c. Don't Know

SECTION C: ACCEPTANCE OF VASECTOMY

9. I would accept vasectomy as a form of contraception.

- a. Agree b. Neutral c. Disagree

10. I will recommend vasectomy to family, friends and people close to me

- a. Yes b. No

11. Vasectomy is a good choice to couples who have completed their family size

- a. Yes b. No

SECTION D: BARRIERS TO ADOPTION OF VASECTOMY AS A FAMILY PLANNING METHOD

12. I will not recommend a vasectomy because it is a permanent method of contraception

- a. Yes b. No

13. If No, what could be the possible reason(s)? Kindly specify

- a. Personal beliefs, Specify:
- b. Religious beliefs, Specify:
- c. Regrets experienced by couples after the procedure
- d. Erectile dysfunction
- e. Loss of status in the family/society
- f. Other _____

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119850>