

Microbiology Research Journal International

Volume 34, Issue 7, Page 124-132, 2024; Article no.MRJI.119093 ISSN: 2456-7043, NLM ID: 101726596 (Past name: British Microbiology Research Journal, Past ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140)

# Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activity of Aqueous and Alcoholic Stem Bark Extracts of *Kaya Senegalensis* (Desv) A. Juss., in Human Urinary Infections

### Kamagate Tidiane <sup>a,b\*</sup>, Gboko Abiba Ouattara <sup>a,b</sup>, Kassim Dosso <sup>c</sup>, Toure Abdoulaye <sup>a,b</sup>, Boni Ahoussi Pascal <sup>a</sup> and Kablan Ahmont Landry Claude <sup>d</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Biotechnology and Valorisation of Agro-Resources and Natural Substances, UFR of Biological Sciences, University Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, P.O. Box 1328, Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire.
<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Biochimical Pharmacodynamy, UFR of Biosciences, Félix Houphouët Boigny University, 22 P.O. Box 582, Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire.

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Physiology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Environment, University of Jean Lorougnon Guede of Daloa, 02 P.O. Box 150, Daloa 02, Côte d'Ivoire.

<sup>d</sup> Laboratory of Constitution and Reaction of Matter, UFR Structural Sciences of Matter and Technology, Félix Houphouët-Boigny University, 22 P.O. Box 582, Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/mrji/2024/v34i71463

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119093

> Received: 23/04/2024 Accepted: 26/06/2024 Published: 02/07/2024

Original Research Article

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: kamagatetidiane2006@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Tidiane, Kamagate, Gboko Abiba Ouattara, Kassim Dosso, Toure Abdoulaye, Boni Ahoussi Pascal, and Kablan Ahmont Landry Claude. 2024. "Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activity of Aqueous and Alcoholic Stem Bark Extracts of Kaya Senegalensis (Desv) A. Juss., in Human Urinary Infections". Microbiology Research Journal International 34 (7):124-32. https://doi.org/10.9734/mrji/2024/v34i71463.

#### ABSTRACT

Urinary infections constitute a public health problem today and the responsible germs increasingly express their strong resistance to common antimicrobials. The use of plants or plant products in fight against these pathologies are considered to be a goood alternative. The objective of this study is to determine in vitro antioxidant power and antibacterial activity of aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts 70% of stem bark of *Kaya senegalensis* (Meliaceae) on clinical strains of *E.coli* and *Staphylococcus spp*. urinary infections and on two reference strains. The antioxidant activity was demonstrated by the ABTS radical cation trapping method using trolox as a reference. Sensitivity of strains to the two extracts and antibacterial parameters which are minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were determined respectively by punch well method in Mueller Hinton agar and liquid dilution method. Both extracts expressed a strong antioxidant power around 50 µmol TE/L of extract. The MICs varied from 6.25 mg/mL to 12.5 mg/mL for aqueous extract and from 6.25 mg/mL to 25 mg/mL for hydro-ethanolic extract. For all the strains MBC was identical to the MIC indicating that the two extracts have bactericidal power on the strains excluding the reference strain of *S.aureus*. These results suggest that *K. senegalensis* could be an alternative in the fight against urinary infections.

Keywords: K.senegalensis; antioxidant; antibacterial parameters; urinary infections.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Urinary tract infection is a pathology of the urinary tract, including the kidneys, ureters, bladder and urethra. There are different types of urinary infections, namely lower urinary tract infections and upper urinary infections (located in the kidneys). In lower urinary tract infections located in the bladder, we distinguish between non-infectious cystitis especially microbial cystitis [1,2]. The latter can affect everyone, but they are more common in women of childbearing age and in men of advanced age [3,4]. Approximately 50% of women develop a symptomatic urinary tract infection at least once in their life [5]. Since, the pathologies develops strong resistance to these molecules, the populations to resort to medicinal plants. Medicinal plants are а therapeutic alternative, since they constitute the most important and inexhaustible source of bioactive compounds capable to prevent and treat diseases [6]. Among these medicinal plants are Kaya senegalensis (Méliaceae) is one among such medicinal plants. This plant is widespread in the forests and savannahs of West Africa [7]. The scientific studies undergone has revealed its potentiality as antimalarial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, antimicrobial, anthelmintic, antidiarrheal agent [8,9]. Despite this broad spectrum of activity, there are no pharmacological data relating to urinary infections in Côte d'Ivoire. It is within this framework that the present work falls, which aims to show antioxidant and antibacterial potential of aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts of K.

*Senegalensis* (Desv) A.Juss., on the in vitro growth of germs responsible for urinary infections in humans.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Material

#### 2.1.1 Plant material

The plant material selected was the stem bark of Khava senegalensis, collected in Korhogo (Côte d'Ivoire) in July 2023 and was authenticated under the identifier UCJ012302, at the National Center (CNF) of Floristics the Félix HOUPHOUËT-BOIGNY University of Cocody (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire). The samples were carefully cleaned with distilled water and cut into small pieces. These were then shade dried, at room temperature for two months. The dried barks were pulverized using an electric grinder (RETSCH, Type AS 200) and the powdered samples were stored in sterile jars till it was further used.

#### 2.1.2 Bacterial strains

The different clinical bacterial strains collected from the Microbiology Laboratory of the Regional Hospital Center of Korhogo and the reference strains from the Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire (Table 1). The strains were then stored at -20° at the bacteriology Laboratory of the National Agricultural Development Support Laboratory in Korhogo.

Types of strains	Clinics				Reference		
Strains	E.coli	Staphylococcus sp		lococcus spp	E.coli S.aureus		
Codes	8039	8133	9044	9109	ATCC 25922	ATCC 19213	

#### Table 1. Bacterial strains used for extract susceptibility testing

#### 2.2 Preparation of Plant Extracts

Aqueous and hydroethanolic 70% extracts were prepared according to method described by Zirihi *et al* [10]. One hundred (100) g of *K. senegalensis* bark powder were macerated in 1 L distilled water or ethanol diluted to 70% (70/30; V/V) using a magnetic stirrer (Froidlabo<sup>®</sup>) at room temperature for 24 hours. The solution was first drained through a square of white cloth, then doubly filtered through hydrophilic cotton and once through Whatman paper (3 mm). The filtrate obtained was concentrated in an oven until the solvent completely evaporated. The extracts were then stored in a sterile bottle for antioxidant and antibacterial tests.

#### 2.3 Evaluation of the Antioxidant Activity of the Extracts

Antioxidant activity was carried out according to prescribed method [11]. It is based on the ability of compounds to reduce cationic radical of 2.2azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic) or ABTS\*\*. The solution of the cationic radical ABTS<sup>++</sup> was obtained by mixing an 8 mM ABTS solution (87.7 mg in 20 mL of distilled water) and a 3 M potassium persulfate solution (0.0162 g in 20 mL of distilled water) in a ratio 1:1 (v/v). The reaction mixture was then incubated in dark at room temperature (28±2°C) for 16 hours. Then, the resulting ABTS\*\* solution was diluted in absolute methanol to obtain a solution with an absorbance of 0.7±0.02 at 734 nm. Furthermore, 3.9 mL of the diluted ABTS+ solution was added to 100 µL of the extract to be tested. After shaking, resulting mixture was incubated for 6 min in the dark. The residual absorbance of the ABTS+ radical is measured at 734 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Infitek SP-LUV752) and must represent between 20 and 80% of the absorbance of the white. The tests were carried out in triplicate and results were expressed as µmol of Trolox equivalent per liter of extract (µmol TE/L of extract). Calibration of the spectrophotometer was carried out by reading absorbance of different concentrations of Trolox (3.75; 5; 6.25; 10; 11.25, 13.75 and 15.10-4 mM). The percentage of inhibition (PI) of ABTS<sup>++</sup> was determined by the relationship:

 $PI = ((A_0 - A)/A_0) \times 100$ 

PI: Inhibition percentage (%); A: Absorbance of diluted ABTS containing the samples to be tested; A<sub>0</sub>: Absorbance of diluted ABTS (control absorbance);

Activity of the extracts was expressed by Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC) which corresponds to the concentration of Trolox (reference antioxidant) inducing the same antioxidant capacity as a concentration of 1 mM of the extract tested. It is determined by the following formula:

 $C = (PI \times D)/(4.99X 10)$ 

C: antioxidant capacity (µmol TE/L of extract); D: dilution factor; PI: Inhibition percentage

#### 2.4 Evaluation of the Antibacterial Activity of the Extracts

#### 2.4.1 Preparation of bacterial inoculum

The inoculum of each bacterial strain was prepared by homogenizing two young colonies aged 18 to 24 hours in 10 mL of Mueller-Hinton broth then incubated for 3 hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Following incubation, 1 mL of broth was added to 9 mL of Mueller-Hinton broth to obtain inoculum estimated at  $10^{6}$  bacteria/mL with a turbidity of 0.5 Mac Farland.

#### 2.4.2 Determination of strain sensitivity to plant extracts

Agar punch well method in Petri dishes was used to test sensitivity of the strains to the extracts. The Mueller-Hinton agar was first inoculated by flooding with the previously prepared inoculum. After aspiration of the excess liquid using a sterile Pasteur pipette and drying in an oven for 15 to 30 min at 37°C, wells of 6 mm in diameter were made in the agar. These wells separated by at least 20 mm were filled with 80 µL of aqueous extract or hydro-ethanolic extract at 100 mg/mL [12]. At the same time, a control well was prepared with 80 mL of a mixture of DMSO/sterile distilled water (v/v) and gentamycin (30  $\mu$ g) was used as a standard positive control antibiotic. After 45 min of pre-diffusion, the whole was incubated in an oven at 37°C for 18 hours. The effect of each extract on the strain studied was assessed by measuring diameter of the growth inhibition zone around the well [13]. This test was carried out in triplicate for each extract.

#### 2.4.3 Determination of antibacterial parameters of plant extracts

#### 2.4.3.1 Preparation of the concentration range

A range of concentrations of each extract, ranging from 100 to 0.8 mg/mL was prepared by the double dilution method in test tubes [14]. Thus, 1000 mg of fine powder of the extracts were mixed with 10 mL of distilled water to make the initial concentration  $C_1 = 100$  mg/mL. Then, 5 mL of this solution was added to 5 mL of distilled water to obtain the concentration  $C_2 = 50$  mg/mL. Using this technique, the other concentrations were prepared:  $C_3 = 25$  mg/mL;  $C_4 = 12.5$ mg/mL;  $C_5 = 6.25$  mg/mL;  $C_6 = 3.125$  mg/mL;  $C_7$ = 1.56 mg/mL;  $C_8 = 0.80$  mg/mL. The contents of the tubes thus prepared were sterilized at 121°C for 15 min in the autoclave.

#### 2.4.3.2 Determination of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by adding 1 mL of the inoculum of each strain to 1 mL of the concentration of each plant extract in hemolysis tubes. After homogenization of the mixture, the tubes were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. After incubation, observation of the tubes made it possible to obtain the MIC corresponding to the lowest concentration which does not leave any growth visible to the naked eye of the bacteria tested.

### 2.4.3.3 Determination of the minimum bactericidal concentration

To determine the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), two Petri dishes A and B containing a Mueller-Hinton agar were used. Box A was inoculated in parallel streaks of 5 cm, with 0.1 mL of the contents of each of the tubes with a concentration greater than or equal to MIC using a sterile calibrated loop. At the same time, dilutions from the mother suspension (10<sup>o</sup>) were made up to the dilution 10<sup>-4</sup>. Then, these dilutions and the mother suspension were also inoculated by parallel streaks in box B. Then, the

two boxes were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. The MBC was obtained by comparing the different colonies in box A to those in the  $10^{-4}$ dilution of Petri dish B. The MBC corresponds to the concentration of plant extract presenting a number of colonies in box A. less than or equal to that of the  $10^{-4}$  dilution of box B. This MBC is the smallest concentration which allows at most 0.01% of the germs in the starting suspension to survive for 24 hours. Finally, MBC/MIC ratio was calculated to determine the antibacterial power of each extract [15].

#### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Values were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The graphs were made using Excel software and the data were analyzed with Graph Pad Prism 8.0 software (Microsoft, USA) for multiple variances (ANOVA). The difference between the means was determined using the DUNCAN test with a threshold of 5% (P < 0.05 for significant differences).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Antioxidant Activity of Extracts**

Determination of antioxidant activity of hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts by the ABTS+• radical cation trapping test was carried out using the Trolox calibration line (Fig. 1). The results obtained are presented in Fig. 2. The analysis of these results reveals a strong antioxidant power of the two extracts. Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) of the hydroalcoholic extract is 54.83 ± 0.02 µmol TE/L of extract. This value is higher than that of the aqueous extract which is 47.58 ± 0.1 µmol TE/L of extract. However, there is no significant difference between these values. These results are in agreement with those obtained from the DPPH radical trapping test already carried out by Gboko et al. [16]. on the same extracts. Indeed, these authors showed by this technique that the two extracts had a strong anti-radical power compared to gallic acid with  $ICs_{50}$  of 6.4 ± 0.02  $\mu$ g/mL and 7.5 ± 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL respectively. Antioxidant activity of the extracts could be linked to the chemical constituents present in the bark of this plant. A qualitative and quantitative phytochemical study carried out by the same authors on the extracts of this plant revealed the presence of high quantities of total polyphenols with a predominance for flavonoids and tannins. These secondary metabolites are known in the literature as antioxidant molecules [17,18].





Fig. 1. Trolox calibration curve for antioxidant activity assay



#### Fig. 2. ABTS+• antioxidant capacity of aqueous and hydroethanolic extract

## 3.2 Antibacterial Activity of *K.* senegalensis Extracts

#### 3.2.1 Sensitivity of Strains to Extracts

Table 2 indicates sensitivity of different strains to the two plant extracts and gentamicin across the diameters of inhibition zones. It appears from this test that for the aqueous extract, the diameters of the inhibition zones of the strains varied from 10  $\pm$  0.2 mm to 21.6  $\pm$  1.5 mm while those of hydroethanolic extract ranged from  $10 \pm 0.2$  mm to  $21.6 \pm 1.5$  mm. from  $12\pm 0.3$  mm to  $22\pm 1.0$  mm. Referring [13], all strains were sensitive to both extracts. A bacterial strain is sensitive to a plant extract when the diameter of the inhibition zone that it induces is greater than or equal to 10 mm. However, strains of the *Staphylococcus* genus were more sensitive than *E.coli* strains with inhibition diameters of  $18\pm02$  mm to  $22\pm1.0$  mm compared to  $10\pm0.2$  mm to  $12.00\pm1.00$  mm for *E.coli*. There is no significant difference between

the diameters of the inhibition zones of species of the same genus unlike those observed between strains of *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus*.

As for the reference antibiotic (gentamycin), the inhibition diameters observed showed sensitivity of all the strains with diameters varying from  $11 \pm 0.1$  mm to  $26 \pm 0.4$  mm excluding the strain. *E. coli* 8133 (8 ± 1.2 mm). This antibiotic was more active on the two reference strains tested.

### 3.2.2 Determination of antibacterial parameters of plant extracts

Antibacterial parameters of the plant extracts on the different strains are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Concerning the hydro-ethanolic extract, the MIC recorded on the two clinical strains of E.coli was identical at 6.25 mg/MI. This value is lower than that observed with the reference strain E.coli ATCC 25922 (25 mg/MI). For all of these strains, the MBC was identical to the MIC. The MBC/MIC determines the antibacterial power. ratio According to Marmonier [15], when this ratio is less than 4 the extract is bactericidal, but the extract is said to be bacteriostatic if this ratio is greater than or equal to 4. For all the strains of E.coli tested, this ratio is 1 indicating that the hydro-ethanolic extract has a bactericidal effect. As for Staphylococcus, the highest MIC (12.5 mg/MI) was recorded with strain 9044 while clinical strain 9109 and reference strain ATCC 19213 indicated the lowest value (6.25 mg/Ml). For the two clinical strains of Staphylococcus spp, the MBC was identical to the MIC indicating a bactericidal effect of the hydro-ethanol extract on these strains while for the reference strain Staphylococcus ATCC 19213, the MBC was 25

mg/MI, corresponding to a bacteriostatic effect of the extract on this strain (Table 3).

For the aqueous extract, apart from the reference strain *Staphylococcus* ATCC 19213 which showed the lowest MIC (6.25 mg/mL), all the other strains recorded the same MIC of 12.5 mg/mL. Furthermore, MBC was identical for all strains of *E.coli* (25 mg/mL) and for all strains of *Staphylococcus* (12.5 mg/mL). The MBC/MIC ratio varied from 1 to 2, allowing us to note that aqueous extract had a bactericidal effect on all the strains tested (Table 4).

These results confirm those of Kubmarawa et al. [19] and [20] with the roots and leaves of the same plant (K.senegalensis), respectively. A dose-dependent antibacterial activity on various strains including E.coli, Staphylococcus spp. Salmonella spp with aqueous and hydro-ethanol extracts of the leaves were studied earlier. In the same vein, these authors obtained great effectiveness on the strains tested with the hydro-ethanolic extract. This is in accordance with the antibacterial parameters found in this study. The analysis of these parameters shows lower MICs and MBCs with the hydro-ethanolic extract compared to the aqueous extract. Ethanol therefore presents itself as the solvent which better extraction of the bioactive allows constituents from the root bark of this plant. The antibacterial activity exerted by these extracts could be explained by the presence of these bioactive constituents. Indeed, according to the work of Gboko et al. [16], the roots of this plant are very rich in total polyphenols, flavonoids and alkaloids. All of these biomolecules are recognized for their antioxidant and antibacterial activities [21,22]. This could also justify the traditional use of this plant against numerous pathologies.

Strains	Aqueous extract (100 mg/ml )	Hydro-ethanolic extract (100 mg/ml)	Reference antibiotic		
	(100 mg/mz)	Inhibition diameters (mm)			
E.coli 8039	10 ± 0,2	12 ± 0, 3	11 ± 0,1		
<i>E.coli</i> 8133	11 ±0,3	13 ±0,5	8 ± 1,2		
<i>E.coli</i> ATCC 25922	12 ± 1,0	11 ± 1,5	26 ±0,4		
Staphylococcus spp 9044	18 ±0,2	18 ±0,3	16 ±0,3		
Staphylococcus spp 9109	15 ± 1,0	18 ±0,2	16 ±0,2		
S.aureus ATCC 19213	21 ± 1,5	22 ± 1,0	25 ±0,1		

Tidiane et al.; Microbiol. Res. J. Int., vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 124-132, 2024; Article no.MRJI.119093

Table 3. Antibacterial parameters of the hydro-ethanolic extrac	t
---	---

	Strains	E. co	li E. coli	E. coli ATCC	Staphylococcus spp	Staphylococcus spp	S.aureus
Para-Meters		8039	8133	25922	9044	9109	ATCC 19213
MIC (mg/mL)		6,25	6,25	25	12,5	6,25	6,25
MBC (mg/mL)		6,25	6,25	25	12,5	6,25	25
MBC/MIC		1	1	1	1	1	4

#### Table 4. Antibacterial parameters of the aqueous extract

	Strains	E.coli	<i>E.coli</i> 8133	E.coli	ATCC	Staphylococcus	spp	Staphylococcus spp 9109	S.aureus
Para-Meters		8039		25922		9044			ATCC 19213
MIC (mg/ml)		12,5	12,5	12,5		12,5		12,5	6,25
MBC (mg/ml)		25	25	25		12,5		12,5	12,5
MBC/MIC		2	1	2		1		1	2

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to highlight antioxidant and antibacterial potential of aqueous and hydroethanolic extracts of stem bark of *K*. *senegalensis*. At the end of the work, it appears that the two extracts have a strong antioxidant power compared to the reference antioxidant (trolox). Both extracts were also active on clinical strains involved in urinary infections and reference strains of *E.coli* and *Staphylococcus*. These extracts could therefore be a good basis for the implementation of traditionally improved drugs (TID) in the fight against urinary infections.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

All the authors declare that no generative Al technology and text-to-image generators were used during the writing or editing of the manuscripts.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks go first to the National Floristic Center of the Felix HOUPHOUËT-BOIGNY University (Côte d'Ivoire) for the authentication of the plant, then to the Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire and the Korhogo Hospital Center which provided the clinical and hospital bacterial strains and finally the National Laboratory to Support Agricultural Development (LANADA) where the microbiology work took place.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Baldé MA, Tuenter E, Traoré MS, Matheeussen A, Cos P, Maes L, Camara A, Haba NL, Gomou K, Diallo MS, Baldé ES. Antimicrobial investigation of ethnobotanically selected guinean plant species. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2020;263:113232.
- Dougnon V, Hounsa E, Koudokpon H, Agbodjento E, Afaton A, Sintondji K, Klotoe JR, Segbo J, Baba-Moussa L. Assessment of the antibacterial effect of Khaya senegalensis on some Gram-negative bacteria. Bulletin of the National Research Centre. 2021;45(1):107.
- 3. Caron F, Galperine T, Flateau C, Azria R, Bonacorsi S, Bruyere F. Practice

guidelines for the management of adult community-acquired urinary tract infections. Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses. 2018;48(5):327-58.

- 4. Galand A. Factors favoring cystitis in Travel medicine. Global News Media St. Maur www.primadoctor.com /globalnewsmedia. 2001; viewed February 28, 2024.
- Bakhit M, Krzyzaniak N, Hilder J, Clark J. Use of methenamine hippurate to prevent urinary tract infections in community adult women: a systematic review and metaanalysis. The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners. 2021;71(708): e528–e537.
- Kambizi L, Mahachi J, Okem A, Lall N, 6. Nuno de Canha M, Twilley D. Therapeutic potential of Gnidia capitata L.F: investigation on its antitvrosinase. antibacterial, antioxidant and anticancer activities; African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines. 2017;14(5): 1-7.
- 7. WAHO (West African Health Organization). West African Pharmacopoeia. 2013;255.
- Mshana NR, Abbiw DK, Addae-Mensah I, Ahiyi MRA. Traditional medicine and pharmacopoeia. Contribution to the revision of Ethnobotanical and Floristics Studies of Ghana. Organization of African Unity/Scientific, technical and research committee (Ghana) ; 2000.
- Abubakar MG, Lawal A, Usman MR. Hepatotoxicity studies of sub-chronic administration of aqueous stem bark of Khaya senegalensis in albino rats. Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2010;3(1):26–28.
- Zirihi G, Kra A, Guede-Guina F. Evaluation of the antifungal activity Microglossa pirifolia (LARMARCK) O. Kuntze (Asteraceae) "PYMI" on the in vitro growth of Candida albicans, Revue de Médecine et de Pharmacopée Africaine. 2003 ;7:11-19
- 11. Miller NJ, Rice-Evans C, Davies MJ, Gopinathan V, Milner A. A novel method for measuring antioxidant capacity and its application to monitoring the antioxidant status in premature neonates. Clinical Science. 1993 ;84(4) :407-412.
- 12. Ganfon H, Houvohessou JP, Assanhou AG, Bankole HS, Gbenou J. Antibacterial activity of the ethanolic extract and fractions of Anogeissus leiocarpa (DC) Guill. And Perr. (Combretaceae).

International Journal of Biological and Chemical Science. 2019 ;2:643-651.

- Biyiti LF, Meko'o DL, Tanze V, Amvam ZPH. Research of the antibacterial activity of 4 medicinal plants in Cameroon. Pharmacopoeia and Traditional African Medicine. 2004;13:11-20.
- 14. Ouattara K, Doumbia I, Coulibaly AF, Siaka S, Coulibaly A. *In-vitro* antibacterial activity of Thonningia sanguinea [Balanophoraceae (Vahl)] flower extracts. Journal of Microbiology and. Biotechnology Research. 2013 ;3(2):83-87.
- 15. Marmonier AA. Introduction to techniques for the study of antibiotics. In Medical bacteriology, Common techniques. Doin, Paris, France. 1990 ;227-236.
- Gboko AO, Kamagate T, Kassim D, Boni AP, Toure A, Kablan ALC. Phytochemical Screening and in vitro Antioxidant Activity of the Stem Bark of Khaya senegalensis (Desv.) A.Juss., a Medicinal Plant from Northern Ivory Coast. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2023;35(33):28-39.
- 17. Albano SM, Migel MG. Biological activities of extracts of plants grown in Portugal. Industrial Crops and Products. 2011;33 (2):338-343.
- 18. Alkadi H. Determination of chemical composition, antioxidant activity and

antimicrobial activity of essential oils Damask Ocimum basilicum L. Journal of Materials and Environment Science. 2021; 12(7):919-928.

- Kubmarawa D, Khan MOI, Punah AM, Hassan M. Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial effectiveness of Kaya senegalensis extracts against human pathogenic bacteria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008 ;7(24):4563-4566.
- 20. Abdalla MS, Gambo A, Ishaq S, Mustapha T, Nasir M. Effect of aqueous and ethanolic leaf extract of Kaya senegalensis against E.coli, Shigella and Salmonella Species; Imperial Journal of interdisciplinary Research. 2016;2(6):680-684.
- 21. Moroh J-LA, Bahi C, Dje K, Loukou YG, Guede-Guina F. Study of the antibacterial activity of the acetate extract (EAC) of Morinda morindoides (Baker) milneredheat (rubiaceae) on the in vitro growth of strains of Escherichia coli. Bulletin of the Royal Society of Sciences of Liège. 2003;77:44-61.
- 22. Bagre I, Ouattara K, Yoro B, Meite S, Coulibaly A. Demonstration of the antistaphylococcal properties of the total flavonoids of Thonningia sanguinea (Vahl), a plant of the Ivorian pharmacopoeia. Phytotherapy. 2014;9:136-41.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119093