Exploration of Soil Particle Size Diversity and Primary Nutrient Status Across the Rice Productivity Regions of Mahabubnagar, Telangana, India

Bhuvana K. [a*], Anjaiah T. [b++], Madhavi A. [c#] and Laxminarayana P. [d†]

[a] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.
[b] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, KVK, Rudrur, PJTSAU, Nizamabad, 503188, Telangana, India.
[c] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, AICRP on STCR, Institute of Soil Health Management, Agricultural Research Institute, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.
[d] Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

++ Principal Scientist and Programme Coordinator;
 # Principal Scientist & Head;
† Professor;
*Corresponding author: E-mail: kongabhuvana@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The current study involved a thorough field survey to assess particle size distribution and the availability of primary nutrients in *rabi* - grown rice soils (2022 - 2023) across three productivity regions: high (5923 - 6052 kg ha^{-1}), medium (5793 - 5923 kg ha^{-1}), and low (<5793 kg ha^{-1}) in Mahabubnagar district, Telangana. A total of 225 surface soil samples (0 - 15 cm depth), with 75 samples from each productivity region, were collected before the transplanting of *rabi* rice crop using a stratified random sampling method and are further processed and analysed for soil texture, available nitrogen (Avl. N) available phosphorus (Avl. P) and available potassium (Avl. K). The sand, silt and clay content in high, medium and low productivity regions averaged 52.37%, 55.75%, 57.41%; 17.77%, 15.47%, 16.96% and 29.86%, 28.79%, 25.63% respectively. Low productivity areas had the highest mean sand content, negatively impacting soil particle aggregation thus affecting their rice productivity. Silt and clay content varied in three productivity regions, with the highest variation of clay content in high productivity regions. The respective Avl. N, Avl. P and Avl. K showed mean values of 257.88 kg ha^{-1}, 253.61 kg ha^{-1} and 240.13 kg ha^{-1}; 59.13 kg ha^{-1}, 55.40 kg ha^{-1}, 54.49 kg ha^{-1} and 304.96 kg ha^{-1}, 301.25 kg ha^{-1}, 300.19 kg ha^{-1} in high, medium and low productivity regions. Available N declined from high to medium and medium to low productivity regions. Fluctuations in available P and K showed wide distribution within each category, particularly in case of potassium emphasizing the inclusion of diverse soil conditions and factors influencing potassium availability. This variability study helped in identifying the fertility levels of three regions across the productivity regions.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most vital natural resources is soil, which is a part of the terrestrial ecosystem and serves for variety of purposes, including supporting plant growth [1]. Understanding its characteristics is essential for effective land use planning to maximize agricultural production [2]. Soil's physical, chemical and biological properties significantly impact its functions, such as in providing as a medium for plant growth, regulating water supply, recycling raw materials, and serving as a habitat for soil organisms [3]. The quality of agricultural soil, influenced by its physical attributes, which refer primarily to the soil's strength and storage characteristics in the crop root zone [4], directly affects crop performance and environmental sustainability. Soil texture, a stable property, serves as a valuable indicator of various factors determining soil's agricultural potential, including water retention, infiltration rate, aeration, fertility, tillage ease, and susceptibility to erosion [5]. The physical characteristics of the soil have deteriorated even more as a result of overfertilization and poor management, endangering the potential for soil productivity [6].

In addition to physical characteristics, soil fertility plays a significant role in determining plant growth influenced by the concentrations of water, micronutrients, organic and inorganic materials, available nitrogen (Avl. N), available phosphorus (Avl. P), and available potassium (Avl. K). An important indication of crop productivity, quality, and yield that distinguishes between balanced and imbalanced fertilization is NPK ratio. Thus, for high crop production, balanced fertilizer application is crucial [7]. Soil chemical fertility, particularly nutrient deficiency, is a major contributor to soil degradation and is a constraint on soil productivity, stability, and sustainability [8]. Nutrient cycling in the soil, influenced by various inputs and losses, maintains the balance of organic and inorganic constituents. Long-term fertilizer trials [9] showed decreasing yields when chemical fertilizers are applied continuously; attributing to reduced nutrient supplying power and soil fertility that affects the growing food needs of the people globally [10] which is very crucial for sustainable agricultural production. However, intensive agrochemical use because of industrialization and agricultural development poses risks such as soil degradation and negative effects on agricultural production [11].

Rice is a major global crop, with India being the second largest producer after China. Telangana, the rice hub of Southern India witnessed a significant expansion in paddy cultivation, with acreage grown from 1.31 crore acres to 2.20 crore acres, and production increased from 0.68 crore tonnes to 2.70 crore tonnes from 2014 to 2023 [12]. But the rice soil's fertility and quality

were being depleted as a result of continuous cropping in areas like Telangana due to prolonged improper management practices that led to the destruction of soil properties because of monoculture, uneven application of inorganic fertilizer, and excessive reclamation [9] making it challenging to maintain rice productivity. Achieving increased crop productivity, implementing effective soil conservation measures, and fostering sustainable agriculture management require a comprehensive understanding and examination of soil conditions. Therefore, considering the importance of above soil properties and their influence, this study has been undertaken to uncover variations in soil properties to identify the differences between soil properties and three rice productivity regions that help in advising fertilizer recommendations and conservational practices for the farmers, develop sustainable land use management and improve grain yield in rice cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection of Soil Samples

Mahabubnagar is positioned between latitudes 15° 55′ and 17° 29′ N and longitudes 77° 15′ and 79° 15′ E of Telangana state with the borders of the districts Ranga Reddy to the north, while the Nagarkurnool to the east, Raichur and Gulbarga districts of Karnataka State to the west, and the districts of Wanaparthy and Jogulamba-Gadwal of Telangana to the south [13]. The Mahabubnagar district experiences tropical climate with mean annual rainfall of about 661.2 mm. The mean annual temperatures ranged from 21 – 33.8℃. The present study involved the survey and collected soil samples from the study area (Fig. 1.) using stratified random sampling method where *rabi* paddy was cultivated by dividing the mandals of the district into high (5923-6052 kg ha^{-1}), medium (5793-5923 kg ha^{-1}) and low (<5793 kg ha^{-1}) productive regions by following the statistical procedure (Descriptive Statistics - range, mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation) from the previous five years rice yield data gathered from District Agriculture office, Mahabubnagar. A total of 225 samples were collected, specifically 75 from each productive region from the topsoil layer (0 – 15 cm deep) before the transplanting of the *rabi* crop, 2022-2023. These samples were then air-dried, crushed to pass through 2 mm sieve, stored in plastic bags and subjected to further laboratory analysis.


Fig. 1. Location of the study site

2.2 Laboratory Analysis

The collected soil samples were analysed for the parameters *i.e.,* soil texture by Bouyoucos hydrometer method that elucidates the particles size distribution as described by Piper [14]. The analysis for available nitrogen was carried out by alkaline permanganate method [15], available phosphorus by olsen's method [16] and available potassium by extraction with neutral normal ammonium acetate using flame photometer [17] that aimed to explore the relation between soil characteristics and rice productivity in the three regions of Mahabubnagar district. Following the results of laboratory analysis, data was subsequently subjected to descriptive statistical analysis (minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Computation of Particle Size Analysis in the Three Productivity Regions

In the view of soil physical characteristics, Table 1. and Fig. 2. details the particle size distribution of soils, characterized as clay loamy, sandy loamy, sandy clay loam, and sandy clay that revealed the diversity of the soils of the study area. In regions with high productivity, the sand content fluctuated between 31.54% and 79.68%, averaging at 52.37% with a standard deviation of 12.65 and 24.16% coefficient of variation. In medium productivity areas, the range was from 37.54% to 79.68%, averaging at 55.75% with a standard deviation of 12.48 and 22.39% coefficient of variation. Low productivity regions saw a range of 31.54% to 79.68%, with an average of 57.41%, a standard deviation of 13.72, and a 23.89% coefficient of variation.

Turning to silt content, high productivity regions spanned 2.00% to 32.00%, averaging at 17.77% with a standard deviation of 9.30 and 52.34% coefficient of variation. In medium productivity areas, the range was from 2.00% to 28.00%, averaging at 15.47% with a standard deviation of 9.06 and coefficient of variation of 58.55%. Low productivity regions exhibited a range of 2.00% to 42.00%, with an average of 16.96%, a standard deviation of 9.93, and a rich coefficient of variation at 58.54%.

On the examination of clay content, high productivity regions showcased a range of 18.32% to 42.60%, averaging at 29.86% with a standard deviation of 5.96 and a 19.97% coefficient of variation. In medium productivity areas, the range was 18.32% to 40.32%, averaging at 28.79% with a standard deviation of 6.00 and a 20.85 % coefficient of variation. Low productivity regions displayed a range of 8.32% to 28.46%, with an average of 25.63%, standard deviation of 6.24, and a 24.35% coefficient of variation. Fig. 2. provides a graphical representation of distribution of soil particles in three productive regions.

Thus, it can be inferred that soils of three regions contributed to their unique composition. Sand content varied across productivity regions, with the highest mean observed in low productivity areas. Silt content varied significantly, with the highest coefficient of variation observed in medium and low productivity regions. Clay content displays variations, with the highest mean observed in high productivity regions and a notable coefficient of variation in low productivity areas. High productivity regions tend to have lower mean sand and high silt, clay contents compared to the other two, favouring the aggregation of soil particles. The similar trend was observed in high, medium and low productive yellow clayey paddy soils [18]. Particle size distribution that helps in the determination of soil texture, which in turn shows its impact on root production and, consequently, water and nutrient uptake. Notably, clay soil proves advantageous for higher grain yield due to its ability to retain water and nutrients, resulting in increased tillers, heavier seeds, and improved grain filling compared to sandy soil [19]. The variability in particle size distribution across different productivity levels emphasizes the importance of soil aggregation, which can be achieved by conservational practices like addition of organic matter *etc.*, in the effective agricultural management.

3.2 Computation of Primary Nutrients in the Three Productivity Regions

3.2.1 Available nitrogen

Nitrogen is a crucial plant nutrient, constituting 1 – 5% of a plant's dry weight. It is a vital component of proteins, playing a key role in plant metabolism. In soil, organic nitrogen seems inaccessible to plants, while available nitrogen exists in mineral forms, primarily as ammonium and nitrate in the soil solution, supporting plant growth.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of particle size analysis among the three rice productivity regions

HIGH PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Sand (%)	31.54	79.68	52.37	12.65	24.16
Silt (%)	2.00	32.00	17.77	9.30	52.34
Clay (%)	18.32	42.60	29.86	5.96	19.97
MEDIUM PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Sand (%)	37.54	79.68	55.75	12.48	22.39
Silt (%)	2.00	28.00	15.47	9.06	58.55
Clay (%)	18.32	40.32	28.79	6.00	20.85
LOW PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Sand (%)	31.54	79.68	57.41	13.72	23.89
Silt (%)	2.00	42.00	16.96	9.93	58.54
Clay (%)	8.32	38.46	25.63	6.24	24.35

*SD – Standard deviation, CV – Coefficient of Variation*

Fig. 2. Particle size distribution among the three productivity rice regions

In high productivity regions, the Avl. N in the current study (Table 2.) ranged from 191.00 to 361.05 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 257.88 kg ha^{-1}, standard deviation of 44.40, and 17.22% coefficient of variation. Whereas, the range followed to be from 194.00 to 363.00 kg ha^{-1} in medium productivity regions with a mean of 253.61 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 44.78, 17.66% respectively, and from 191.17 to 361.04 kg ha^{-1} with a mean of 240.13 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation of 36.64 and coefficient of variation 15.26% in low productivity regions. The mean Avl. N value followed a decreasing trend from high to medium and medium to low productivity regions whereas the standard deviation and coefficient of variation values indicated a moderate level of variability in nitrogen levels across the three productivity regions, with the highest variability in high and medium productivity areas. In accordance with the specified critical limits (280 – 560 kg N ha^{-1}) [20] 76% of the samples fell within the low range, while 24% were within the medium range, inferring the use of additional nitrogenous fertilizers and conservational practices to reduce the nitrogen losses.

3.2.2 Available phosphorus

Phosphorus, one of the macronutrients, is essential for the transformation of sugars and starches, photosynthesis, energy transfer, and nutrient flow inside plants. The current study's Avl. P in high productivity regions ranged from 29.00 to 84.00 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 59.13 kg ha^{-1}, a standard deviation of 15.62, and a coefficient of variation of 26.42%. Avl. P in medium productivity regions showed a wider range from 23.00 to 94.00 kg ha^{-1} with a mean of 55.40 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation of 19.06 and coefficient of variation 34.40%. In low productivity regions, the range fluctuated between 25.00 to 84.00 kg ha^{-1} with a mean of 54.49 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation of 17.17 and coefficient of variation 31.52%, respectively (Table 2.). The findings are in line with Sannidi et al. [21]. The results for avl. P showed that 56 % of the samples are categorized under medium and 43% in high range based on the critical limits (22.9 - 56.3 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}) [20]. This observed variability in Avl. P levels emphasizes the importance of agricultural management practices based on the specific phosphorus dynamics within each productivity category.

3.2.3 Available potassium

Potassium, an indispensable constituent of soil minerals, poses a challenge for plant assimilation as it is not readily available for the absorption. Plants can access only a limited quantity of soil potassium, present in exchangeable forms and the soil solution. This accessibility is influenced by variations in soil parent materials and the impact of weathering processes.

The Avl. K content in soils in high productivity regions, varied from 129.07 to 426.08 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 304.96 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 84.85 and 27.82% respectively. Medium productivity regions displayed available K levels ranging from 144.01 to 405.07 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 301.25 kg ha^{-1} having standard deviation of 77.37 and 25.68% coefficient of variation. Similarly, low productivity regions showcased K levels ranging from 137.04 to 415.02 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 300.19 kg ha^{-1} having standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 86.20 and 28.72% respectively (Table 2.) similar to the findings reported by Vasu et al. [22]. About 3% of the samples fall in low, 46% in medium and 51% in high categories for the avl. K according to the specific limits (129.6 – 336 kg ha^{-1}) [20]. The Fig. 3. provides a graphical representation of values of primary nutrients in the three productive regions. The high standard deviation and coefficient of variation values across the productivity regions suggest a wide dispersion of K levels within each category. This variability may be attributed to factors such as soil composition, weathering, and other environmental influences. Farmers and agronomists should consider the diverse soil conditions and factors influencing potassium availability when implementing fertilization strategies.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of primary nutrients among the three rice productivity regions

HIGH PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	191.00	361.05	257.88	44.40	17.22
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	29.00	84.00	59.13	15.62	26.42
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	129.00	426.00	304.96	84.85	27.82
MEDIUM PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	194.00	363.00	253.61	44.78	17.66
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	23.00	94.00	55.40	19.06	34.40
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	144.00	405.00	301.25	77.37	25.68
LOW PRODUCTIVITY REGIONS (n = 75)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	191.17	361.04	240.13	36.64	15.26
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	25.00	84.00	54.49	17.17	31.52
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	137.00	415.00	300.19	86.20	28.72

**SD – Standard deviation, CV – Coefficient of Variation*

Fig. 3. Depiction of essential nutrients across three rice productivity regions

4. CONCLUSION

The study unveils significant variability in sand, silt, and clay content, delineating the unique soil compositions contributing to each productivity region's distinct character. Notably, low productivity areas exhibited the highest mean in sand content, negatively impacting soil particle aggregation and, consequently, crop yield. Silt content displayed pronounced variations, particularly in medium and low productivity regions, while clay content showed variations, with the highest mean in high productivity areas, favouring soil particle aggregation. A low to medium level of nitrogen availability in the soils in the study area showed a declining trend from high to medium and medium to low productivity regions. The observed fluctuations in the quantities of available phosphorus highlight the significance of agricultural management strategies that take into account the phosphorus dynamics within each productivity category. Within each category, potassium levels appear to be widely distributed, as indicated by the large values of the standard deviation and coefficient of variation throughout the productivity regions. This variability study would help in devising fertilization strategies across the productivity regions, inferring that the low productive regions need to adopt conservational practises to reduce the loss of nutrients, and adopt fertilization strategies in a strategic way than conventional methods, also farmers and agronomists should take into account a variety of soil conditions and factors that influence the availability of nutrients. Particle size distribution that helps in the determination of soil texture affects the water and nutrient uptake, thereby shows its impact on root production and therefore yield.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nwachokor MA, Uzu FO, Molindo WA. Variations in physicochemical properties and productivity implications for four soils in the derived savannah of southern Nigeria. American-Eurasian Journal of Agronomy. 2009;2(3):124-129.
2. Kumar P, Kumar A, Dhyani BP, Kumar P, Shahi UP, Singh SP, Kumar R, Kumar Y, Kumar A, Raizada S. Soil fertility status in some soils of Muzaffarnagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India, along with Ganga canal command area. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(14):1209-1217.
3. Vasu D, Singh SK, Ray SK, Duraisami VP, Tiwary P, Chandran P, Nimkar AM, Anantwar SG. Soil quality index (SQI) as a tool to evaluate crop productivity in semi-arid

Deccan plateau, India. Geoderma. 2016; 282:70-79.

4. Mohanty M, Painuli DK, Misra AK, Ghosh PK. Soil quality effects of tillage and residue under rice–wheat cropping on a Vertisol in India. Soil and Tillage Research. 2007;92(1-2):243-250.

5. Nath TN. Soil texture and total organic matter content and its influences on soil water holding capacity of some selected tea growing soils in Sivasagar district of Assam, India. International Journal of Chemical Science. 2014;12(4):1419-1429.

6. Kumar V, Kumar M, Singh SK, Jat RK. Impact of conservation agriculture on soil physical properties in rice-wheat system of eastern indo-gangetic plains. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2018;28:1432–1440.

7. Tale KS, Ingole S. A review on role of physico-chemical properties in soil quality. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2015;4(13):57-66.

8. Hartemink AE. Land use change in the tropics and its effect on soil fertility. In Proceedings 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Brisbane, Australia. 2010;55-58.

9. Ladha JK, Dawe D, Pathak H, Padre AT, Yadav RL, Singh B, Singh Y, Singh Y, Singh P, Kundu AL, Sakal R. How extensive are yield declines in long-term rice–wheat experiments in Asia? Field Crops Research. 2003;81(2-3):159-180.

10. Shahid M, Nayak AK, Shukla AK, Tripathi R, Kumar A, Mohanty S, Bhattacharyya P, Raja R, Panda BB. Long-term effects of fertilizer and manure applications on soil quality and yields in a sub-humid tropical rice-rice system. Soil Use and Management. 2013;29 (3):322–32.

11. Narkhede SR, Bhirud SR, Patil NS, Choudhary RR. Physico-chemical analysis of soil collected from chorwad, Tehsil – Bhusawal, Dist. Jalgaon (M.S.). International Journal of Chemical Science. 2011;9(4): 1973-1978.

12. Available:https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/total-cultivated-area-in-telangana-up-from-13-mn-to-22-mn-acres-since-2014-123081400274_1.html

13. Availablehttps://mahabubnagar.telangana.gov.in/about-district/

14. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay. 1966;137-153.

15. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 1956;25:259-260.

16. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular. Washington. 1954;939.

17. Muhr GR, Dutta NP, Subramanian. Soil testing in India (USAID) Mission to India, New Delhi; 1965.

18. Liu Z, Zhou W, Shen J, Li S, Ai C. Soil quality assessment of yellow clayey paddy soils with different productivity. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2014;50:537-548.

19. Dou F, Soriano J, Tabien RE, Chen K. Soil texture and cultivar effects on rice (*Oryza sativa*, L.) grain yield, yield components and water productivity in three water regimes. PloS One. 2016;11(3):e0150549.

20. Reddy GK, Sharma S, Jayasree G, Hussain S, Triveni S, Neelima T. Soil fertility mapping of Patancheru area, Sanga Reddy District Telangana by Using GPS-GIS. The Journal of Research. PJTSAU. 2021;XLIX:4(1-111):(50).

21. Sannidi S, Bindu GM, Neelima TL, Umadevi M. Soil quality mapping in the groundnut belt of erstwhile Mahabubnagar District, Telangana, India using GIS. Current Science. 2022;122(5):600.

22. Vasu D, Singh SK, Duraisami VP, Jangir A, Butte PS. Fertility status of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) growing soils in Thimmajipet mandal, Mahabubnagar district, Telangana. Andhra Pradesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2016;2(1):10-15.