



# **Biological Management of Panama Wilt of Banana Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Cubense***

**D. N. Shukla <sup>a</sup>, Pankaj Tiwari <sup>b\*</sup>, S. K. Singh <sup>a</sup> and Rohit Tiwari <sup>c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, RPCAU Pusa Samastipur Bihar, India.

<sup>b</sup> K.V.K. Amas Gaya Bihar Agricultural University, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

<sup>c</sup> RML Awadh University Ayodhya, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i13872

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112035>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 13/11/2023**

**Accepted: 17/01/2024**

**Published: 19/01/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

Bananas are one of the most important fruit crops grown in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. It contributes to the livelihood of many growers and constitutes a larger proportion of fruit orchards in India. Panama wilt of banana is a major fungal disease affecting banana cultivations and farmers facing huge economic losses after the introduction of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp.*cubense* Foc TR4 strain B2 in Bihar .In the present investigation, native and commercial isolates of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* are tested against the all isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense*. Both the biocontrol agents were found highly effective against the Iso. M, Iso A and Iso.K under *in vitro* as well as under natural pot conditions. But in the case of Iso.G (Foc TR 4 strain B2), these bioagents were found moderately effective under *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [pankajtiwari3491@gmail.com](mailto:pankajtiwari3491@gmail.com);

**Keywords:** *Trichoderma*; strain; TR4; fruit crops.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Banana is one of the important tropical fruit crop and economically profitable crop of India having high export potential but diseases are the major limiting factor in the cultivation of bananas and adequate management strategies are necessary to promote the production as well as productivity of banana. Banana provides the most economical source of carbohydrates and provides a much more balanced diet than any other fruit in terms of nutritional point of view with 67 to 137 calories per 100 grams. However, it has low amount of fat and protein, it is a rich source of energy and enclose all essential nutrients including minerals and vitamins A, B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub> and vitamin C and thus it is called as “Apple of Paradise” and as well as “Adams fig” [1]. The banana research in India is completely towards the extension in production and productivity. The production restraints also vary in country from region to region. However, numerous issues are identical in nature across the banana producing states of India and also among the various countries of the world. In Bihar state, there are two banana growing region one is old Vaishali belt enriched with tall group of banana cultivars and second new Koshi belt dominated with dwarf Cavendish group of banana cultivars.

“Now a days, global banana production is increasingly constrained by Panama wilt disease and being the most significant” [2,3,4]. “In 2013, TR4 was reported to be in Jordan, the first official report of TR4 outside the Southeast Asia Pacific region and a survey in 2014 revealed another infected area, north of the original outbreak” [5].

Muhammad *et al.*, [6] reported that TR4 is a noteworthy issue for Cavendish banana developing zones in Pakistan and detailed that cv. Basrai to be influenced by TR4 in specific zones in the Sindh territory and create havoc to banana industry in Pakistan and would be devastating economically. In 2018, TR4 was confirmed to be in Myanmar. The analysis of isolates from Laos, Vietnam and Myanmar provided evidence that the particular TR4 strain in these countries was likely introduced from China Zheng *et al.*, [7] Maymon *et al.*, [8] detailed TR 4 in the lower Carmel coastal plain (1,200 ha), western Galilee (500 ha), and Jordan valley (800 ha) of Israel.

“In 2015, a banana grower from Barari village in the Bihar State of India reported the wilting of

Cavendish banana cv. Robusta (AAA) and Grand Naine (AAA). The incidence of the disease was ranged from 2 to 26.6 per cent” [9]. “Recently, a highly virulent strain of Foc TR 4 strain B2 identified which was affecting Cavendish group of banana cv. Grand Naine(AAA) and Robusta(AAA) in Koshi belt of Bihar” [10].

“Management of Foc TR 4 is a matter of serious concern and different type of approaches such as chemical, biological and manipulation of cultural practices have been attempted by different research workers. The ability of Foc TR4 to survive in absence of host is a significant factor limiting the successful management through agronomic practices like crop rotation. Therefore, the only alternative approach for management is by use of antagonistic and growth-promoting fungi which have been successfully demonstrated in biological control of soil borne pathogen in different crop plants” [11,12]. This study on the biological management of Panama wilt in bananas caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense*(Foc) holds significant scientific relevance due to its implications for sustainable disease management in one of the most economically vital fruit crops globally [13,14,15]. The emergence of the Foc TR4 strain B2 in Bihar has escalated the economic impact of Panama wilt, emphasizing the urgent need for effective control strategies. The utilization of native and commercial isolates of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* as biocontrol agents presents a promising avenue for integrated disease management, contributing to the expanding field of biocontrol research. The study's in-depth assessment, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, of the efficacy of these biocontrol agents against different isolates of Foc, including the highly virulent Iso. G, provides valuable insights into the specificity and effectiveness of biological interventions in combatting Panama wilt.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Isolation and Purification the Pathogen

The infected plants parts of banana cultivars like pseudostem, roots and leaves were collected from the field. These infected plant parts were cut in to small pieces in 2-3 mm and surface sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride solution for 30 seconds after that washing with distilled water to 2-3 times. These small pieces were placed

aseptically on PDA slants with the help of an inoculating needle and incubated at 28±°C. All the isolates were categorised in to five different groups viz., Iso.M, Iso.A, Iso.K, Iso.G and Iso.R on the basis of host differential of banana cultivars.

## 2.2 Evaluation of Antagonistic Efficacy of Bio-Control Agents Against Foc Isolates

### 2.2.1 Isolation and identification of native and commercial fungal bioagents

Rhizospheric soil from healthy banana plants were collected in poly ethylene bags and brought to the research laboratory of Department of Plant Pathology, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur. Serial dilution technique [16] was used to isolate fungal antagonist from the rhizospheric soil of healthy banana plants and shade dried. The mycoflora was isolated on rose Bengal agar medium by utilizing a dilution of 10<sup>3</sup> and 10<sup>4</sup>. One ml of soil suspension was poured into sterilized Petri plates containing the melted and cooled medium and then rotated gently to get uniform distribution of soil into the medium. At that point, the plates were incubated at 27±2°C and observed frequently for the development of colonies.

The developed colonies were picked and recognised based on mycological keys described by Gilman [17] and Nelson *et al.* [18] for identification of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum*.

Bioagents were also isolated from two commercial formulation of biocides, *i.e.* Nisarga (Multiplex Agricare Pvt. Ltd. 1%W.P.) and Antagon (Arihant Nature crop Pvt.Ltd.1%W.P.) according to method described in native bioagents isolation.

### 2.2.2 Evaluation of antagonistic efficacy of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* (native and commercial isolates) against Foc *in vitro*

“For the testing the antagonistic effect of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* (Commercial and native isolate) the PDA plate divided into equal halves” [19]. “The first half was independently inoculated with 7 days old culture disc (5mm in diameter) of each fungal bio-control agent, while the later was also independently inoculated with one disc (5 mm in diameter) of 7 days old culture of pathogenic fungus in the opposite side. Control

plate was inoculated with disc of test fungus on PDA medium instead the fungal bio control agents. Three plates were used as replicates for each treatment” [20].

All plates were incubated for 28 ±2°C until the growth of Foc isolates in the control treatment reached to the edge of Petri dish. The per cent reduction of linear mycelial growth of pathogenic fungi were calculated using formula as given by Vincent [21].

$$I = \left( \frac{C - T}{C} \right) \times 100$$

Where,

I = Per cent growth inhibition

C = Colony diameter in control Petri plate;

T = Colony diameter in the treated Petri plate.

Data were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance according to Snedecor and Cochran [22] Mean of treatments were compared with F-test and L.S.D. at level of 0.05%.

### 2.2.3 Evaluation of antagonistic efficacy of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* (native and commercial isolates) against Foc TR 4 strain B<sub>2</sub> under pot condition

The efficacy of all the bioagents were tested in poly house under pot condition against the isolates Foc TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>. The mass culture of Foc isolates were prepared as per method described by Haware [23]. “The mass culture of Foc TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub> isolate was blended in steam sterilized soil separately @50 g/kg soil having 10 kg sterile loamy soil / plastic pots (50cm diameter). Each bioagent with 50 g /pot was applied in pathogen-inoculated pots after 15 days of transplanting. Inoculation of pot with sterilized distilled water was served as control. Each treatment was replicated three times with different banana cultivars independently. The disease incidence (%) was calculated by dividing the total number of transplanted plants showing Panama wilt disease symptoms by the total number of plants transplanted and then multiplied by hundred. The data on inhibition per cent over control also recorded in each treatment and data was recorded up to 90 days after transplanting” [20].

### 2.3 Per Cent wilt Index (PWI, External Symptoms)

The intensity of Panama wilt of banana was recorded as per International Musa Testing Program(IMTP) rating in 1-5 scale and per cent wilt index(PWI) was determined in each plot.

| Category | Reaction  |
|----------|---|
| 1        | Healthy   |
| 2        | Slight chlorosis and wilting with no petiole buckling                                   |
| 3        | Moderate chlorosis and wilting with some petiole buckling and or splitting of leaf base |
| 4        | Severe chlorosis, severe wilting, petiole buckling and dwarfing of newly emerged leaf   |
| 5        | Dead  |

Per cent wilt index = Total sum of numerical rating / Total number of plants observed × maximum category in the score chart × 100

The data was analysed by CRD design.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Antagonistic Effect of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* on Different Isolates of *Foc in vitro*

#### 3.3.1 Effect of native isolate of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* on different isolates of *Foc in vitro*

The antagonistic activity of native isolates of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* against the various isolates of *Foc* (Iso. M, Iso. A, Iso. K, Iso. R and Iso. G) were determined by the dual culture technique *in vitro*. Five mm disc of seven days old cultures of bioagent (*T. viride* and *T. harzianum*) and isolates of *Foc* were placed equidistant in sterilized Petri plate containing PDA medium. Suitable control was also maintained without antagonist. The growth of the pathogen was measured at 24 hrs interval up to 240 hrs of inoculation. Percent inhibition of mycelial growth of pathogen was calculated. Data are presented in (Table 1).

In case of native isolate of *Trichoderma viride* maximum inhibition was observed in Iso. K (64.6%) followed by Iso. A (62.2%), Iso. M (59.8%) and Iso. R (51.0%). The minimum

inhibition was recorded in Iso. G (50.2%) over control after 240 hrs. While in case of native isolate of *T. harzianum*, the maximum inhibition was observed in Iso. K (57.6%) followed by Iso. A (56.4%), Iso. M. (55.2%) and Iso. R (44.0%). The minimum inhibition was recorded in Iso. G (43.6%). The result clearly showed that the native isolates of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were highly effective against the Iso. M, Iso. A and Iso. K. But these native isolates of bioagents were found moderately effective against the Iso. R and Iso. G (TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>) of *Foc*. Data pertaining to inhibition per cent over control revealed that the radial growth of Iso. M, Iso. A and Iso. K were significantly inhibited against the native isolate of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum*. However, the differences between Iso. R and Iso. G was found nonsignificant or remained significantly at par. The maximum inhibition was recorded in Iso. K closely followed by Iso. A or the Iso. A and Iso. K varied significantly.

#### 3.3.2 Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma viride* (commercial) and *Trichoderma harzianum* (commercial) on different isolates of *Foc in vitro*

The antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma viride* (commercial) and *Trichoderma harzianum* (commercial) on *Foc* isolates (Iso. M, Iso. A, Iso. K, Iso. G and Iso. R) were studied by dual culture method and results presented in the (Table 2).

In case of *Trichoderma viride* (commercial) isolate, maximum inhibition was recorded in Iso. K (55.1%) followed by Iso. A (54.2%), Iso. M (53.2%), Iso. R (42.8%) while minimum inhibition was noticed in Iso. G (42.3%) over control. But in case of commercial isolate of *Trichoderma harzianum*, the inhibition per cent was found maximum in Iso. K (46.1%) followed by Iso. A (45.5%), Iso. M (43.9%) and Iso. R (33.7%). The minimum inhibition was recorded in Iso. G (TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>) i.e. 33.2% over control. Both the commercial isolates of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* were found less effective against the Iso. M (race 1), Iso. A (race 1) and Iso. K (race 2). Data pertaining to inhibition per cent over control against the commercial isolate of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* showed that considerable variation in all the isolates of *Foc*. The differences between Iso. R and Iso G was found non significant or Iso. G at par with Iso. R and minimum inhibition were recorded in Iso. G.

**Table 1. Effect of native isolate of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* on different isolates of *Foc* in vitro**

| Isolates        | Radial growth(mm)*          |         |  |                                |         |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|---------|--|
|                 | Trichoderma viride (Native) |         |  | Trichoderma harzianum (Native) |         |  |
|                 | 120 hrs                     | 240 hrs | Inhibition over control (%) at 240 hrs | 120 hrs                        | 240 hrs | Inhibition over control (%) at 240 hrs |
| Iso. M (race 1) | 15.6                        | 35.6    | 59.8                                   | 21.4                           | 39.6    | 55.2                                   |
| Control         | 35.3                        | 88.4    |  | 35.4                           | 88.3    |  |
| Iso. K (race 2) | 13.5                        | 31.4    | 64.6                                   | 19.5                           | 37.6    | 57.6                                   |
| Control         | 33.4                        | 88.5    |  | 33.5                           | 88.5    |  |
| Iso. A (race 1) | 14.2                        | 33.4    | 62.2                                   | 20.5                           | 38.5    | 56.4                                   |
| Control         | 34.3                        | 88.5    |  | 34.5                           | 88.4    |  |
| Iso. G (race 4) | 24.6                        | 44.3    | 50.2                                   | 28.4                           | 50.4    | 43.6                                   |
| Control         | 37.4                        | 89.1    |  | 37.5                           | 89.4    |  |
| Iso. R (race 4) | 23.4                        | 43.3    | 51.0                                   | 27.6                           | 49.7    | 44.0                                   |
| Control         | 36.3                        | 88.4    |  | 36.6                           | 88.7    |  |
| CD at 5%        | 1.68                        | 1.29    | 2.21                                   | 1.73                           | 1.27    | 2.09                                   |
| S.Em. (±)       | 0.57                        | 0.43    | 0.70                                   | 0.58                           | 0.43    | 0.66                                   |
| C.V. (%)        | 3.67                        | 1.19    | 2.10                                   | 3.41                           | 1.12    | 2.21                                   |

\*Mean of three replications

**Table 2. Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma viride* (commercial) and *Trichoderma harzianum* (commercial) on different isolates of *Foc* in vitro**

| Isolates        | Radial growth(mm)*              |         |  |                                    |         |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---------|--|
|                 | Trichoderma viride (Commercial) |         |  | Trichoderma harzianum (Commercial) |         |  |
|                 | 120 hrs                         | 240 hrs | Inhibition over control (%) at 240 hrs | 120 hrs                            | 240 hrs | Inhibition over control (%) at 240 hrs |
| Iso. M (race 1) | 22.5                            | 41.4    | 53.2                                   | 25.8                               | 49.6    | 43.9                                   |
| Control         | 35.5                            | 88.4    |  | 35.6                               | 88.5    |  |
| Iso. K (race 2) | 20.5                            | 39.7    | 55.1                                   | 23.6                               | 47.7    | 46.1                                   |
| Control         | 33.5                            | 88.4    |  | 33.6                               | 88.4    |  |
| Iso. A (race 1) | 21.3                            | 40.5    | 54.2                                   | 24.6                               | 48.6    | 45.1                                   |
| Control         | 34.5                            | 88.4    |  | 34.6                               | 88.5    |  |
| Iso. G (race 4) | 30.5                            | 51.5    | 42.3                                   | 32.7                               | 59.4    | 33.2                                   |
| Control         | 37.5                            | 89.3    |  | 37.5                               | 88.9    |  |
| Iso. R (race 4) | 29.5                            | 50.5    | 42.8                                   | 31.7                               | 58.8    | 33.7                                   |
| Control         | 36.6                            | 88.4    |  | 36.7                               | 88.6    |  |
| CD at 5%        | 1.88                            | 1.28    | 2.13                                   | 1.94                               | 1.20    | 1.98                                   |
| S.Em. (±)       | 0.63                            | 0.43    | 0.67                                   | 0.65                               | 0.40    | 0.62                                   |
| C.V. (%)        | 3.62                            | 1.12    | 2.33                                   | 3.57                               | 0.99    | 2.65                                   |

\*Mean of three replications

But in case of Iso. R (race 4) and Iso. G (TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>) showed ineffectiveness against the commercial isolates because these isolates were showed only little amount of mycelial growth reduction in dual culture techniques.

**3.3.3 Effectiveness of native and commercial isolates of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* against the *Foc* TR 4 strain B<sub>2</sub> (Iso. G) under pot condition**

Mass culture of *Foc* TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub> (Iso. G) was multiplied on sand maize medium and added to

steam sterilized soil (15 psi for 30 minutes) in pots @ 5% (w/w). Soil mixture without inoculums served as control. Each pot was planted with one-month old banana sucker of different cultivars viz., Malbhog (AAB), Alpan (AAB), Kothia (ABB), Robusta (AAA) and Grand Naine (AAA). After 15 days of transplanting different native and commercial isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride* were multiplied on sorghum sand medium mixed in each inoculum containing pots @ 50 gm/pot. Observation was done based on the first appearance of symptom of disease, per cent

**Table 3. Effectiveness of native and commercial isolates of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* against the Foc TR 4 strain B<sub>2</sub> (Iso. G) under pot condition**

| Bioagent   | Malbhog*<br>(Silk Group)          |               | Alpan*<br>(Mysore Group)    |                                   | Kothia*<br>(Bluggoe group) |                             | Grand Naine*<br>(Dwarf Cavendish Group) |               | Robusta*<br>(Dwarf Cavendish Group) |                                   |               |                             |    |      |      |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----|------|------|
|  | Frist appearance of disease (DAT) | Incidence (%) | Inhibition over control (%) | Frist appearance of disease (DAT) | Incidence (%)              | Inhibition over control (%) | Frist appearance of disease (DAT)       | Incidence (%) | Inhibition over control (%)         | Frist appearance of disease (DAT) | Incidence (%) | Inhibition over control (%) |    |      |      |
| T <sub>1</sub> <i>T. viride</i><br>(Native)                      | 56                                | 46            | 52.6                        | 59                                | 33                         | 62.1                        | 58                                      | 34            | 57.5                                | 51                                | 46            | 52.6                        | 54 | 42   | 56.3 |
| T <sub>2</sub> <i>T.harzianu</i><br><i>m</i> (native)            | 49                                | 53            | 45.4                        | 51                                | 53                         | 39.1                        | 44                                      | 47            | 41.3                                | 34                                | 53            | 45.4                        | 36 | 50   | 47.9 |
| T <sub>3</sub> <i>T. viride</i><br>(Commerci<br>al)              | 46                                | 57            | 41.2                        | 49                                | 35                         | 59.8                        | 48                                      | 39            | 51.3                                | 37                                | 57            | 41.2                        | 38 | 52   | 45.8 |
| T <sub>4</sub> <i>T.harzianu</i><br><i>m</i><br>(Commerci<br>al) | 40                                | 60            | 38.1                        | 41                                | 58                         | 33.3                        | 39                                      | 57            | 28.8                                | 41                                | 60            | 38.1                        | 43 | 58   | 39.6 |
| T <sub>5</sub> Control   | 26                                | 97            |                             | 27                                | 87                         |                             | 29                                      | 80            |                                     | 22                                | 97            |                             | 24 | 96   |      |
| CD at 5%   |                                   | 2.27          | 4.38                        |                                   | 1.99                       | 5.82                        |   | 2.27          | 5.26                                |                                   | 2.27          | 5.16                        |    | 2.36 | 5.29 |
| S.Em. (±)  |                                   | 0.71          | 1.32                        |                                   | 0.62                       | 1.76                        |   | 0.71          | 1.59                                |                                   | 0.71          | 1.62                        |    | 0.74 | 1.60 |
| C.V. (%)   |                                   | 1.96          | 5.16                        |                                   | 1.95                       | 6.26                        |   | 2.39          | 6.16                                |                                   | 1.96          | 6.63                        |    | 2.14 | 5.84 |

\*Mean of three replications

incidence of the disease and per cent inhibition over control. Results are presented in (Table 3).

Results revealed that the native and commercial isolates of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were significantly lower in comparison to the control.

Among the different isolates of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum*, the native isolate of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were found highly and moderately effective against the Foc TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Because Panama wilt disease symptom was not observed up to 59 days in cv. Alpan followed by cv. Kothia (58 DAT), cv. Malbhog (56 DAT), cv. Robusta (54 DAT) and cv. Grand Naine (51 DAT) against the native isolate of *T. viride*. The native isolate of *T. viride* was found significantly effective in disease suppression against the Foc TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub> followed by native isolate of *T. harzianum*. Commercial isolate of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were found less effective against the Foc TR4 strain B<sub>2</sub>. Data pertaining to inhibition over control under pot condition revealed that the T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> was found non significant or significantly at par in cultivar Malbhog (AAB), Grand Naine (AAA) and Robusta (AAA). However, the differences between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> in cultivar Alpan (AAB) was found non significant or T<sub>3</sub> at par with T<sub>1</sub>. In comparing this study with existing research on soil quality and Fusarium wilt in bananas from Latin America, an intricate interplay between biological management Araya-Alman *et al.* [24] Rey *et al.*, [25] and environmental factors becomes apparent [26]. Soil quality studies often elucidate the role of soil microbiota and physicochemical properties in influencing plant health [27,28]. The integration of biocontrol agents, such as *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum*, in the present investigation aligns with the broader understanding that soil health directly impacts plant-pathogen interactions. Additionally, the moderate efficacy observed against the highly virulent Iso. G underscores the complexity of Fusarium wilt dynamics, suggesting that soil quality may influence the success of biocontrol strategies. Comparative analyses with Latin American studies could shed light on regional variations in soil microbiota, offering nuanced insights into the adaptability and efficacy of biological management practices in diverse agroecosystems [29,30].

Considering the influence of agro-environmental factors on *Fusarium* wilt in bananas from Latin America, the present study contributes to the

discourse by addressing the practical applicability of biocontrol agents under different environmental conditions [31,32]. The observed efficacy of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* against multiple isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* in both controlled (*in vitro*) and natural pot (*in vivo*) conditions indicates a robust potential for biological management across diverse agro-environments. However, the moderate effectiveness against the Iso. G strain highlights the need for a nuanced understanding of the agro-environmental factors influencing the interaction between biocontrol agents and specific *Fusarium* strains. Collaborative research efforts between regions facing *Fusarium* wilt challenges could yield valuable insights into the adaptability of biocontrol strategies to varying environmental contexts, enhancing the global applicability of such interventions [33,34].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

During the evaluation of biocontrol agents like *Trichoderma viride* and *T. harzianum* (Native and commercial isolates) against the different isolates of Foc under *in vitro* as well as in artificial pot condition. Among the both biocontrol agents, *T. viride* (native) was found highly effective against the Iso. M and Iso. A and Iso. K under *in vitro* as well as under pot condition. Under pot condition, the native isolate of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were found highly and moderately effective against the Foc TR 4 strain B<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Because Panama wilt symptom not develop up to 59 days in cv. Alpan followed by cv. Kothia (58 DAT), cv. Malbhog (56 DAT), cv. Robusta (54 DAT) and cv. Grand Naine (51 DAT) against the *T. viride* (native). Commercial isolate of both bio control agents was found less effective against the Foc TR 4 strain B<sub>2</sub>.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Bose TK, Mitra SK. Fruits: Tropical and Sub-tropical Vol. 1, Naya Udyog, Calcutta. 2001;227-318:721.
2. Ghag SB, Shekhawat UKS, Ganapathi TR. Fusarium wilt of banana: biology, epidemiology and management. Pans Pest Articles & News Summaries. 2015;61:250-263.

3. García-Bastidas F, Ordóñez N, Konkol J, Al-Qasim M, Abdelwali M, Salem N, Ploetz RC, Kema GHJ. First report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* TR 4 associated with Panama disease of banana outside Southeast Asia. *Plant Disease*. 2014; (98):694–694.
4. Ordóñez N, García FA, Laghari H, Akkary M, Harfouche EN. First report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* TR 4 causing Panama disease in Cavendish bananas in Pakistan and Lebanon. *Plant Disease*. 2015;99:1448.
5. Ploetz RC. Management of *Fusarium* wilt of banana: A review with special reference to TR 4. *Crop Protectio*. 2015;(73)7 –15.
6. Mushammad, Aish, Hussain I, Khanzad KA, mondo L, Ali M, Yasmin T, Hyder MZ. Molecular characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* TR 4 causing Panama disease in Cavendish banana in Pakista. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci*. 2017;54(1):1-8.
7. Zheng SJ. New Geographical Insights of the Latest Expansion of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* TR 4 Into the Greater Mekong Subregion. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2018;9:457.
8. Maymon M. First Report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* TR 4 causing *Fusarium* Wilt of Cavendish Bananas in Israel. *Plant Disease*. 2018;59:348.
9. Thangavelu R, Mostert D, Gopi M, Ganga Devi P, Padmanaban B, Molina AB, A. Viljoen First detection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* TR4 on Cavendish banana in India. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol*; 2019. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-01701-6>.
10. Shukla DN, Singh SK. First ever report of TR 4 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* in Dwarf Cavendish group of bananas in Bihar state of India. National symposium on Recent challenges and opportunity in sustainable plant health management. February 26-28, 2019, organized by Indian Phyto pathological Society, New Delhi at Institute of Agricultural Sciences, BHU, Varanasi, UP; 2019.
11. Tan D, Fu L, Han B, Sun X, Zheng P, Zhang J. Identification of an endophytic antifungal bacterial strain isolated from the rubber tree and its application in the biological control of banana *Fusarium* wilt. *Plos ONE*. 2015;10:e0131974.
12. Khan N, Hidalgo PM, Ice TA, Maymon M, Humm EA, Nejat N, et al. Antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species against *Fusarium* and analysis of the potential mechanisms used in biocontrol. *Front. Microbiol*. 2018;9:2363.
13. Pitti J, Olivares B, Montenegro E. The role of agriculture in the Changuinola District: a case of applied economics in Panama. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*. 2021;25 – 1:1 - 11. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.56369/tsaes.3815>.
14. Montenegro E, Pitti-Rodríguez J, Olivares-Campos B. Identification of the main subsistence crops of Teribe: a case study based on multivariate techniques. *Idesia (Arica)*. 2021;39(3):83-94. Available:<https://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292021000300083>.
15. Campos BO. Banana Production in Venezuela: Novel Solutions to Productivity and Plant Health. Springer Nature; 2023. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6>
16. Johnson LF. Curl E, A. Methods for research on the ecology of soil-borne plant pathogen. 426 So. Sixth St., Minneapolis, MN 55415: Burgess Publishing Company; 1972.
17. Gilman JC. A manual of soil fungi. 2nd ed. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa State University Press. 1957;450.
18. Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, Marasas WFO. *Fusarium* species: An illustrated manual for identification. Pennsylvania state University Press, University Park; 1983.
19. Karunanithi K, Usman KM. Screening of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *sesame* causing wilt in seasmum. *Crop research*. 1999;18(1):127-130.
20. DN Shukla and SK Singh, Effect of different aqueous plant extracts against the various isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* causing Panama wilt of banana. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(4):2759-2764
21. Vincent JM. Determination of per cent inhibition in vitro. *Nature*. 1927;159: 850.
22. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. *Statistical methods*. 5th Ed., Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA. 1980;593.
23. Haware MP. *Methods of artificial inoculation and disease rating of root pathogens in Phyto Pathological techniques* ed: Chand J N and Sharma GS. 1980;32-35.



24. Araya-Alman M, Olivares B, Acevedo-Opazo C. et al. Relationship Between Soil Properties and Banana Productivity in the Two Main Cultivation Areas in Venezuela. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr.* 2020;20(3):2512-2524. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-020-00317-8>.
25. Rey JC, Olivares BO, Perichi G, Lobo D. Relationship of Microbial Activity with Soil Properties in Banana Plantations in Venezuela. *Sustainability.* 2022;14:13531. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013531>.
26. Olivares B, Rey JC, Lobo D, Navas-Cortés JA, Gómez JA, Landa BB. Fusarium Wilt of Bananas: A Review of Agro-Environmental Factors in the Venezuelan Production System Affecting Its Development. *Agronomy.* 2021a;11(5):986. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050986>.
27. Olivares B, Paredes F, Rey J, Lobo D, Galvis-Causil S. The relationship between the normalized difference vegetation index, rainfall, and potential evapotranspiration in a banana plantation of Venezuela. *SAINS TANAH - Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology.* 2021b; 18(1):58-64. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v18i1.50379>.
28. Olivares B, Rey JC, Lobo D, Navas-Cortés JA, Gómez JA, Landa BB. Machine Learning and the New Sustainable Agriculture: Applications in Banana Production Systems of Venezuela. *Agricultural Research Updates.* Nova Science Publishers, Inc. 2022a;42:133-157.
29. Olivares B, Vega A, Calderón MAR, Rey JC, Lobo D, Gómez JA, Landa BB. Identification of Soil Properties Associated with the Incidence of Banana Wilt Using Supervised Methods. *Plants.* 2022b;11(15):2070. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11152070>.
30. Rodríguez-Yzquierdo G, Olivares BO, González-Ulloa A, León-Pacheco R, Gómez-Correa JC, Yacomelo-Hernández M, Carrascal-Pérez F, Florez-Cordero E, Soto-Suárez M, Dita M. Soil Predisposing Factors to *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. cubense Tropical Race 4 on Banana Crops of La Guajira, Colombia. *Agronomy.* 2023;13:2588. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13102588>.
31. Rodríguez-Yzquierdo G, Olivares BO, Silva-Escobar O, González-Ulloa A, Soto-Suarez M, Betancourt-Vásquez M. Mapping of the Susceptibility of Colombian Musaceae Lands to a Deadly Disease: *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cubense Tropical Race 4. *Horticulturae.* 2023;9:757. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9070757>.
32. Martínez G, Olivares BO, Rey JC, Rojas J, Cardenas J, Muentes C, Dawson C. The Advance of Fusarium wilt Tropical Race 4 in Musaceae of Latin America and the Caribbean: Current Situation. *Pathogens.* 2023;12:277. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12020277>.
33. Olivares B, Pitti J, Montenegro E. Socioeconomic characterization of Bocas del Toro in Panama: an application of multivariate techniques. *Revista Brasileira de Gestao e Desenvolvimento Regional.* 2020;16(3):59-71. Available:<https://doi.org/10.54399/rbgdr.v16i3.5871>.
34. Vega A, Olivares BO, Rueda Calderón MA, Montenegro-Gracia E, Araya-Almán M, Marys E. Prediction of Banana Production Using Epidemiological Parameters of Black Sigatoka: An Application with Random Forest. *Sustainability.* 2022;14:14123. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114123>.

© 2024 Shukla et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112035>