



Rainfall Variability and its Effect on Livestock Production Across Nigeria

Ugochukwu Kingsley Okoro ^{a*}

^a *Department of Physics, Centre for Atmosphere and Environmental Research and Atmospheric Physics Group, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2023/v24i61000

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109022>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/09/2023

Accepted: 06/11/2023

Published: 10/11/2023

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at investigating climate variability and its impact on livestock production across Nigeria. Observational data of monthly rainfall was obtained for a period of 42 years (1979 to 2021) from Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NiMET). The yearly animal production data was also obtained for a period of 10 years (2013 to 2022) from Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural resources. The data obtained was subjected to descriptive statistical analysis (mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation) to show spread and variability; linear regression to show trend (changes with time); coefficient of correlation to show statistical relationship between the variables (rainfall and each of the animal production); revealing insights into changing precipitation patterns. Positive and negative correlations were identified, indicating how changes in rainfall influenced livestock output. And the significance of these correlations was determined through t-tests, shedding light on the strength of the relationship between rainfall and livestock production.

Keywords: *Nigeria; rainfall; variability; trend; livestock.*

*Corresponding author: E-mail: uknac23@yahoo.com, ukokoro@imsu.edu.ng;

1. INTRODUCTION

Climate change is the most severe predicament that the globe is facing recently [1]. It has been recognized as graver than global terrorism [2]. Climate change affects food and water resources that are critical for livelihood in developing countries where much of the population rely on local supply systems that are sensitive to climate variability [3,4]. African farmers depend on livestock for income, food and animal products. Climate can affect livestock both directly and indirectly. Direct effects of climate variables such as air, temperature, humidity, wind speed and other climate factors influence animal performance such as growth, milk production, wool production and reproduction [5,6]. Climate can also affect the quantity and quality of feedstuffs such as pasture, forage, and grain and the severity and distribution of livestock diseases and parasite. Hence, the totality of agricultural sector is considered by examining agricultural productivity [7]. The nation's natural and agricultural ecosystems, including freshwater and coastal resources, are highly susceptible to the effects of climate change [8,9].

Rainfall is by far the most important element of climate change in Nigeria and its water resources potential [10,11]. Agriculture in Nigeria is mostly rain-fed, it follows therefore that any change in climate is bound to influence agricultural productivity and livestock production in particular and other socio-economic activities. The issue of climate change has become more threatening not only to the sustainable development of socio-economic and agricultural activities of any nation but also to the totality of human existence [8]. The most important systems responsible for Nigeria's rainfall are the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), the subtropical anticyclones and the monsoonal winds [12]. Previous studies provide some evidence that a bimodal rainfall regime dominates the south of Nigeria, while a unimodal distribution is more apparent above 3° North [7]. Nigeria experiences varied rainfall, with some areas receiving heavy rains while other areas have experienced drought. In some seasons, there is early onset of the rain and late cessation while in other cases there is early onset of the rain and it stops when it is still expected to continue [12]. The variability in distribution of rainfall arises from a series of interactions. Interannual variability of the rainfall has shown correlation with sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the Pacific through

atmospheric teleconnections and the ENSO phenomenon [13, 14].

Domestication and the use of conventional livestock breeding techniques have been largely responsible for the increases in yield of livestock products that have been observed over recent decades [15]. Considerable changes in the composition of livestock products have occurred [2]. The nutritional needs of farm animals with respect to energy, protein, minerals and vitamins have long been known, and these have been refined in recent decades [5,16]. Different countries have their different requirement determination systems for ruminants and non-ruminants, which were originally designed to assess the nutritional and productive consequences of different feeds for the animal once intake was known [17,10]. Rapid population growth is an important impediment to achieving improvements in food security in most countries [18]. Another important factor that determines the demand for food is urbanization [19]. Urbanization has considerable impact on patterns of food consumption in general and on demand for livestock products in particular, urbanization often stimulates improvements in infrastructure, including cold chains, and this allows perishable goods to be traded more widely [20,21]. Another driver leading to increased demand for livestock products is income growth. As income grows, so does expenditure on livestock products [22]. The last few decades have seen a general reduction in the burden of livestock diseases, as a result of more effective drugs and vaccines and improvements in diagnostic technologies and services [23,24].

This work aims at investigating rainfall variability and its effect on livestock production across Nigeria. The objectives of this study are to, (i) examine the trend in livestock production in Nigeria, (ii) investigate the trend and variability of rainfall across Nigeria from 1979 to 2021, and (iii) analyze the link between rainfall variability and livestock production in Nigeria. It is worth noting that numerous empirical studies on different aspects of livestock production in Nigeria have been carried out [25]. Despite the myriads of research in livestock, there exists a gap in livestock research with respect to livestock production and climate change nexus in Nigeria. The global trend in climate variability has necessitated this study to gain insight into the relationship between livestock production and rainfall variability in Nigeria [26].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

Nigeria is located on the West Coast of Africa and is made up of about 223.8 million people as at mid 2023 [27]. It is bordering the North Atlantic Ocean, between Benin and Cameroon. It covers 923,770 sq kilometers [28]. Nigeria is characterized by three distinct climate zones, a tropical monsoon climate rain forest in the south, a tropical savannah climate for most of the central regions, and a Sahelian hot and semi-arid climate in the north of the country [29]. This leads to a gradient of declining precipitation amounts from south to north. The southern regions experience strong rainfall events during the rainy season from March to October with annual rainfall amounts, usually above 2,000 mm, and can reach 4,000 mm and more in the Niger Delta [30].

The rainy season is from April to September in the central region whereas the dry season is

from December to March of the following year. The dry season is influenced by the harmattan wind from the Sahara [27]. The coastal areas experience shorter dry season [28]. The country is influenced by the localized convection of the West African monsoon whereas the wet season rainfall starts in May and ends in October, with an annual minimum of 110 mm/yr along the northern savanna and maximum of 2600 mm/yr along the southern mangrove [31]. Northern areas have a high degree of annual variation in its rainfall regime, which results in flooding and droughts [28].

Mean annual temperature for Nigeria is 26.9°C, with average monthly temperatures ranging between 24°C (December, January) and 30°C (April). The predominant occupation of the citizens is agriculture, and the nature of agriculture popularly practiced is subsistence agriculture [32].




Fig. 1. Map of Nigeria showing the study locations and the climatic zones

2.2 Data Acquisition

Observational data of monthly rainfall was obtained for a period of 42 years (1979 to 2021) from Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NiMET). The yearly production data for selected livestock were obtained for a period of 10 years (2013 to 2022) from the Nigeria Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources. The selected livestock are poultry, cattle, goat, sheep, pigs, dogs, cats and horses due to their importance to the local population for food and income. The nine study locations are Ibadan, Owerri and Portharcourt in the rain forest, Minna, Abuja and Makurdi in the Savanna, and Sokoto, Kano and Maiduguri in the Sahel, respectively. These locations were chosen because they are spread across each climatic zone. NiMET was chosen because they are responsible by law for observing, analyzing, and accurate reporting of weather and climate information. Fig. 1 shows map of Nigeria indicating the locations for NiMET data and their occurring climatic zones.

2.3 Data Analysis

The data obtained were subjected to descriptive statistics. The mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation shows spread and variability of the data. The linear regression indicates the trend (changes with time) during the

climatology. The coefficient of determination (R^2) describes how well the trend fits. The coefficient of correlation values revealed the statistical relationship between the compared variables (rainfall and each of the animal production data) whereas the t-test showed the significance (% confidence level) of the correlation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Trend in Livestock Production in Nigeria

Fig. 2 shows the Nigeria livestock production from 2013 to 2022. The production of poultry birds was highest for the years studied (2013 – 2022), followed by cattle, goat, sheep, dogs, pigs, cats and horses respectively. It is quite evident that the production of poultry is on the increase over the years in comparison to other livestock. Table 1 shows further details to the livestock production across Nigeria from 2013 to 2022. The livestock production shows varying degrees of variations. The poultry and cattle production data show positive trends. The rest of the livestock indicate negative trends over the period. Dogs and cats showed decline in production over the years, with cats showing higher variability than dogs. Horse production has remained relatively stable with a minor decrease over the years.




Fig. 2. Nigeria livestock production from 2013 to 2022. The trend equations are inserted

Table 1. Trend and variability of livestock production in Nigeria (2013 – 2022)

| Livestock | Mean | Standard Deviation | CV (%) | Trend(yr ⁻¹) | R ² |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Goat | 9883333.33 | 2230020.97 | 22.56 | - 723636 | 0.9502 |
| Sheep | 8100000 | 1961859.45 | 24.24 | - 657576 | 0.9719 |
| Cattle | 17366666.67 | 2902679.94 | 16.72 | 717576 | 0.9262 |
| Poultry | 40153333.33 | 8672300.91 | 21.59 | 2E+06 | 0.9862 |
| Pigs | 1926666.67 | 749591.42 | 38.92 | - 300606 | 0.9337 |
| Dogs | 2646666.67 | 1016247.98 | 38.38 | - 359394 | 0.8963 |
| Cats | 1846666.67 | 930569.79 | 50.38 | -294545 | 0.9488 |
| Horse | 113333.33 | 49686.55 | 43.81 | -12842 | 0.7274 |

3.2 Trend and Variability of Nigeria Rainfall

Fig. 3 show the annual rainfall averaged across the climatic zones (Guinea, Savanna and Sahel) from 1979 to 2021. The Guinea region received more rainfall with an average of 2060.853 mm/yr while the Sahel region received the least rainfall with an average of 642.6093 mm/yr (Table 2). The relatively low coefficient of variation (7.80%) at the Guinea region indicates moderate variability in rainfall. Its negative trend (-0.36 mm/yr) indicates a decreasing annual rainfall over the years, which could impact the water availability and ecosystem in the region. The Savanna region had an average annual rainfall of about 989.803 mm/yr. With a coefficient of

variation of 8.39%, it indicated moderate variability in rainfall compared to the Guinea and Sahel regions. The positive trend (2.48 mm/yr) at the Savanna indicates an increasing annual rainfall, which has attendant implications to the local population agricultural and environmental activities. The Sahel region experienced the lowest average annual rainfall of 642.225 mm/yr. The coefficient of variation (20.94%) suggests the highest variability in annual rainfall occurs over the Sahel. However, the positive trend (4.33mm/yr) implies the recovery of the droughts of the late 1970's and early 1980's across the Sahel, which have implications for water resources and agricultural activities in this semi-arid region.




Fig. 3. The annual rainfall averaged across the climatic zones from 1979 to 2021 with the trends indicated

Table 2. Statistical analysis of Nigeria annual rainfall at the climatic zones

| Region | Mean (mm) | Standard Deviation (mm/yr) | CV (%) | Trend (mm/yr) |
|---------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Guinea | 2060.853 | 160.686 | 7.80 | -0.36 |
| Savanna | 989.803 | 83.010 | 8.39 | 2.48 |
| Sahel | 642.225 | 134.525 | 20.94 | 4.33 |

Table 3. Coefficient of correlation between the livestock and annual rainfall at the climatic zones

| Livestock | Guinea | Savanna | Sahel |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Goat | 0.487 | 0.072 | -0.566* |
| Sheep | 0.325 | -0.079 | -0.591* |
| Cattle | 0.108 | 0.233 | -0.454 |
| Poultry | 0.350 | 0.027 | 0.274 |
| Pigs | 0.150 | 0.277 | -0.048 |
| Dogs | 0.146 | 0.252 | -0.221 |
| Cats | 0.140 | 0.198 | -0.261 |
| Horse | 0.158 | 0.296 | -0.353 |

* indicates a significant correlation at 95% Confidence level from t-test.

3.3 Impact of Rainfall Variability on Livestock Production in Nigeria

Table 3 show the linear relationship between the livestock and annual rainfall at the climatic zones using the coefficient of correlation values. The negative correlation values indicate that rainfall trend causes reduction in production whereas positive values indicate the trend causes increment in the livestock production. The significant level of the correlation values at 95% confidence levels is indicated. In the Guinea region, there is a positive correlation between rainfall and goat production (0.487), while sheep production also shows a positive correlation in both the Guinea (0.325) and Savanna (0.277) regions. In the Sahel region, both goat and sheep production exhibit a negative correlation with rainfall (-0.566 and -0.591 respectively), suggesting that increased rainfall might decrease their production. In the Savanna region, there is a positive correlation between rainfall and cattle production (0.233). However, the correlation is not significant. Poultry production shows a positive correlation with rainfall in both the Guinea (0.350) and Savanna (0.027) regions. The correlation is more significant in the Guinea region. For pigs, dogs, cats, and horse, they show weak correlations with rainfall across different regions. But noteworthy is the importance of these category of livestock to the nutritional need of the population [33,34]. Overall, the correlations suggest that for certain livestock categories, rainfall changes can impact production, either positively or negatively. However, the significance of these correlations is

mixed, and additional factors beyond rainfall may also influence livestock production. The significant correlations can provide some insight into potential impacts, but the non-significant correlations indicate that other factors might be equally or more important in explaining livestock production variability.

4. CONCLUSION

The nexus between livestock production and climate change has been explored, emphasizing on the significance of climate variability on animal production. The significance of this study in addressing the gaps in livestock research, and understanding the evolving relationship between climate and livestock production cannot be overemphasized. This study provided a comprehensive literature review on the relationship between climate variability, livestock production, and environmental sustainability. The global rise in meat and milk production, coupled with the sector's environmental footprint, has been presented. The direct and indirect impacts of climate on livestock were examined, including the effects on animal performance, feed quality, and disease distribution.

The study, considered distinct climatic regions of Nigeria and the significance of agriculture in its economy. The analysis of rainfall variability and its impact on livestock production in Nigeria was extensively explored. The trends and variability of rainfall across different regions of Nigeria were analyzed over a span of decades, revealing insights into changing precipitation patterns.

Positive and negative correlations were identified, indicating how changes in rainfall influenced livestock output. And the significance of these correlations was determined through t-tests, shedding light on the strength of the relationship between rainfall and livestock production.

The study provided crucial insights into the dynamic nature of climate patterns in the country. Following the complex interplay between climate and agricultural outcomes, specifically in the context of livestock, the understanding from this study enhances the capacity for informed decision-making in agriculture and resource management. This study's comprehensive analysis and findings enrich the understanding of climate-livestock dynamics in Nigeria, offering valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners working towards sustainable agricultural development and climate resilience strategies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Amoak D, Luginaah I and McBean G. Climate change, food security, and health: Harnessing Agroecology to build climate-resilient communities. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(21):13954.
2. Rao KPC, Ndegwa WG, Kizito K, Oyoo A. Climate variability and change: farmer perceptions and understanding of intra-seasonal variability in rainfall and associated risk in semi-arid Kenya. *Experimental Agriculture*. 2011;47:267–291.
3. Porter JR, Semenov MA. Crop responses to climatic variation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. 2005;360:2021–2035.
4. Anderson R, Bayer PE, Edwards D. Climate change and the need for agricultural adaptation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. 2020;56:197-202.
5. Haines A, Kovats RS, Campbell-Lendrum D, Corvalan C. Climate change and human health: impacts, vulnerability and public health. *Public Health*. 2006;120:585–596.
6. Gomez-Zavaglia A, Mejuto JC, Simal-Gandara J. Mitigation of emerging implications of climate change on food production systems. *Food Research International*. 2020;134:109256.
7. Zillock J, Deary IJ and Edwards-Jones G. The role of attitudes and objectives in farmer decision making: business and environmentally-oriented behaviour in Scotland. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 2015;50:286–303.
8. Ncube M, Zikhali P, Musango JK. The impact of climate variability on water and energy demand: the case of South African local governments. *Water and Environment Journal*. 2012;27:29–41.
9. Gopalakrishnan T, Hasan MK, Haque AS, Jayasinghe SL, Kumar L. Sustainability of coastal agriculture under climate change. *Sustainability*. 2019;11(24):7200.
10. Weaver CP, Lempert RJ, Brown C, Hall JA, Revell D, Sarewitz D. Improving the contribution of climate model information to decision making: the value and demands of robust decision frameworks. *WIREs Climate Change*. 2013;4:39–60.
11. Obarein OA, Amanambu AC. Rainfall timing: variation, characteristics, coherence, and interrelationships in Nigeria. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*. 2019;137:2607-2621.
12. Costello A, Abbas M, Allen A. Managing the health effects of climate change. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1693–1733.
13. Barnett BJ, Barrett CB and Skees JR. Poverty traps and index-based risk transfer products. *World Development*. 2008;36:1766–1785.
14. Okoro UK, Chen W, Nath D. Recent variations in geopotential height associated with West African monsoon variability. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*. 2019;131:553-565.
15. Gwaza DS, Momoh OM. Endangered indigenous cattle Breeds of Nigeria a case for their conservation and management. *World Scientific News*. 2016;30:68–88.
16. Grossi G, Goglio P, Vitali A, Williams AG. Livestock and climate change: impact of livestock on climate and mitigation strategies. *Animal Frontiers*. 2019;9(1):69-76.
17. Crespo O, Hachigonta S, Tadross M. Sensitivity of southern African maize yields to the definition of sowing dekad in a changing climate. *Climatic Change*. 2011;106:267–283.
18. Brown A, Milton S, Cullen M, Golding B, Mitchell J and Shelly A. Unified modeling and prediction of weather and climate: A

- 25-year journey. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. 2012;93:1865–1877.
19. Codjoe S, Owusu G. Climate change/variability and food systems: evidence from the Afram Plains, Ghana. Regional Environmental Change. 2011; 11:753–765.
 20. Mohammed AR, Tarpley L. High nighttime temperatures affect rice productivity through altered pollen germination and spikelet fertility. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 2009;149:999–1008.
 21. Blekking J, Giroux S, Waldman K, Battersby J, Tuholske C, Robeson SM and Siame G. The impacts of climate change and urbanization on food retailers in urban sub-Saharan Africa. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability. 2022;55: 101169.
 22. Vermeulen SJ, Challinor AJ and Thornton PK. Addressing uncertainty in adaptation planning for agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America. 2013;110:8357–8362.
 23. Ahmed SA, Diffenbaugh NS, Hertel TW, Lobell DB, Ramankutty N, Rios AR and Rowhani P. Climate volatility and poverty vulnerability in Tanzania. Global Environmental Change. 2011;21:46–55.
 24. Railey AF, Lankester F, Lembo T, Reeve R, Shirima G, Marsh TL. Enhancing livestock vaccination decision-making through rapid diagnostic testing. World Development Perspectives. 2019;16: 100144.
 25. Hellin J, Shiferaw B, Cairns JE. Climate change and food security in the developing world: potential of maize and wheat research to expand options for adaptation and mitigation. Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics. 2012;4:311–321.
 26. Thornton PK, Jones PG, Ericksen PJ, Challinor AJ. Agriculture and food systems in sub-Saharan Africa in a four-plus degree world. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Series A. 2011;369:117–136.
 27. Vollset SE, Goren E, Yuan CW. Fertility, mortality, migration, and population scenarios for 195 countries and territories from 2017 to 2100: a forecasting analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet. 2023;396:1285–1306.
 28. Odusanya AE, Adekitan AA. Evaluation of Changing Pattern in Livestock Types in Sokoto-Rima River Basin, a Tropical Semi-Arid Region of Nigeria. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management. 2019;23 (4):741-745.
 29. Ellis J, Galvin KA. Climate Patterns and Land-use Practiced in the Dry Zones of Africa. Bio Science. 2014;44:340-349.
 30. Adejuwon JO. Investigation and Evaluation of predominant farming system in Sokoto-Rima River Basin, Nigeria. Applied Tropical Agriculture. 2018;23(1):6-11.
 31. Nwokocha CO, Okoro UK, Usoh CI. Photovoltaics in Nigeria – Awareness, attitude and expected benefit based on a qualitative survey across regions. Renewable Energy. 2018;116:176–182.
 32. Dankumo A, Riti JS, Ayeni BS. Contribution of agricultural and industrial sectors to the development of Nigerian economy from 1995 to 2012. International Journal of Business Management and Allied Science. 2015;2:2128–2135.
 33. Akinsulu AA, Ajibola S, Odetola SK, Awoyemi DO. Factors Influencing Meat Consumption in Ijebu-North Local Government Area of Ogun State Nigeria. J. Mark. Consum. Res. 2019;52:10-16.
 34. Ogoenyi EE, Ihedioha JI. Cross-sectional survey of the occurrence of azotaemia in trade pigs in Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria. Animal Research International. 2022;19(3): 4648-4660.

© 2023 Okoro; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109022>