



Effect of Weather Parameters on of Pests and Diseases in Groundnut and Castor in Salem District of Tamil Nadu, India

S. Suganya Kanna ^{a*}, K. Premalatha ^b, K. Kavitha ^c,
M. Vijayakumar ^a, Ga. Dheebakaran ^d and K. Bhuvaneshwari ^d

^a Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Salem, India.

^b Department of Agricultural Entomology, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

^c Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kanyakumari, India.

^d Directorate of Crop Management, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i103035

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106796>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/07/2023

Accepted: 17/09/2023

Published: 19/09/2023

ABSTRACT

The roving survey on the incidence of pests and diseases in groundnut and castor was conducted from August, 2020 to March 2021 in Salem district at fortnight intervals revealed that, in groundnut , leaffolder (20.38%), cutworms *S. litura* (1.63/20 pls), thrips (10.69/20 pls), leaf spot (15.25%) and root rot (6.31%) , similarly in castor pests, whiteflies (23.06/20 pls), *A. janata* (8.38/20 pls), *S. litura* (10.19/ 20 pls), thrips (11.63 / 20 pls), *C. punctiferalis* (7.25/20 pls), alternaria blight (17.56%) and botrytis rot (4.19%) were found feeding /infecting on different parts of the crops. The correlation with weather parameters indicated, positive correlation of rainfall, relative humidity and wind speed on incidence of leaffolder (0.47998, 0.311421 and 0.339961) but rainfall and relative humidity

*Corresponding author: E-mail: suganyakannas@tnau.ac.in;

increased root rot (0.433059 and 0.393255) whereas, maximum temperature influenced high infection of leafspot (0.67911) in groundnut. The whiteflies incidence in castor was positively correlated with (0.490967) and rainfall (0.389125) whereas, lepidopteran pests by relative humidity (0.61212) and rainfall (0.49415). The thrips and botrytis rot were influenced by relative humidity and rainfall rot (0.65914 and 0.77965, 0.57456 and 0.82709). But natural enemies in groundnut and castor had non-significant correlation with weather parameters.

Keywords: Groundnut; castor; weather; pests; diseases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Weather parameters affect survival, reproduction and development of any insect lifecycle, propagation and outbreaks [1] and sudden changes in these abiotic factors adversely affect the population dynamics of insects [2,3]. It is important to study these relationships as it helps to plan appropriate management strategies in advance to minimize the crop losses. Groundnut and castor crop are attacked by more than 100 species of insect pests and diseases from sowing to till harvest and even in stored produce [4,5]. The present study was aimed to know the seasonal occurrence of insect pests and the relationship between the weather parameters and pest population in groundnut and castor.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The roving survey on the incidence of pests and diseases in groundnut and castor was conducted during 2020 and 2021 calendar years in Salem district at fortnight intervals. The fixed plot for castor crop (YTP1) was sown at KVK, Sandhiyur during kharif, 2020 and ground (Dharani) at Kulathur on rabi, 2020 to monitor pests and diseases and the incidence of pests and diseases in castor and groundnut. The crop was made available for the pests throughout the year. The recommended package of practices was followed except crop protection in order to avoid influence of chemicals on insect population. The pheromone traps were installed in the experimental plot to monitor the defoliator pests. A modified sweep net method [4] was followed to monitor sucking pest populations where, five sweeps were taken randomly using the sweep net from each experimental plot. The leafhoppers and thrips caught in the sweep net were then transferred into polythene bags, allowed to settle down and counted in laboratory. The weekly observations were recorded on number of moth catches per trap and number of adults of leafhoppers and thrips caught in the sweep net. Weather data pertaining to maximum and minimum temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$); morning and evening

relative humidity (%); sunshine (h) and rainfall (mm) were collected daily from the automatic weather station of Panamarathupatti block. The data on insect populations and the weather parameters were statistically analyzed for correlation and regression using DSAASTAT software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Incidence of Insect Pests and Diseases in Groundnut and Castor

Occurrence of pests and diseases on groundnut revealed that three pests, leafhopper, *S. litura* and thrips and two diseases, leaf spot and root rot and in castor crop revealed that six insect pests, Whiteflies, *A. janata*, *Euproctisspp*, *S. litura*, thrips and *C. punctiferalis* and two diseases, alternaria blight and botrytis rot found feeding /infecting on different parts of the crop (Table 1). The correlation co-efficient revealed that rainfall, relative humidity and wind speed had influenced significantly the incidence of leafhopper (0.47998, 0.311421 and 0.339961), rainfall and relative humidity on root rot (0.433059 and 0.393255) and maximum temperature (0.67911) on leafspot in groundnut. The whiteflies incidence in castor was positively correlated with temperature (0.490967) and rainfall (0.389125) where as lepidopteran pest, *S. litura* by relative humidity (0.61212) and rainfall (0.49415). The results were in accordance with the findings of Jayanthi *et al.* [6], Ratnapara *et al.* [7], Ruba and Padmapriya [8] and Rahman, *et al.* [9]. The thrips and rot was influenced by relative humidity (0.570641 and 0.469101), but blight was not have any correlation with weather parameters (Table 2).

3.2 Influence of Weather Parameters on Incidence of Different Insect Pests in Groundnut and Castor

In fixed plot, occurrence of pests and diseases on groundnut during rabi season revealed that

Table 1. Occurrence and intensity of pests in groundnut and castor during kharif and rabi season, 2020-21 in Salem district (Roving Survey)

Pests	Number per 20 plants/Per cent damage or infected																Mean
	1 FN Aug	2 FN Aug	1 FN Sep	2 FN Sep	1 FN Oct	2 FN Oct	1 FN Nov	2 FN Nov	1 FN Dec	2 FN Dec	1 FN Jan	2 FN Jan	1 FN Feb	2 FN Feb	1 FN Mar	2 FN Mar	
	Groundnut																
Leaffolder (%)	23	26	26	43	47	17	16	20	18	14	12	15	12	15	12	10	20.38
<i>Spodoptera litura</i>	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	1.63
Thrips	15	12	5	0	0	5	7	7	25	18	22	12	7	5	16	15	10.69
Leaf spot (%)	12	10	12	10	7	8	5	5	7	15	18	12	21	33	45	24	15.25
Root rot (%)	0	0	10	10	14	26	12	7	15	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	6.31
	Castor																
Whiteflies	30	25	56	30	25	12	34	25	8	5	0	0	43	20	31	25	23.06
<i>Achaea janata</i>	12	10	12	10	7	8	5	5	7	12	9	10	9	8	5	5	8.38
<i>Euproctis spp</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	2.13
<i>Spodoptera litura</i>	5	7	15	22	9	7	15	12	12	11	8	16	5	7	5	7	10.19
Thrips	15	12	20	0	0	5	7	7	25	18	22	12	7	5	16	15	11.63
<i>Conogethes punctiferalis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	13	17	10	8	14	12	4	16	12	7.25
Spiders	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38
Alternaria Blight (%)	17	25	45	54	33	26	12	14	15	12	15	13	0	0	0	0	17.56
Botrytis rot (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	13	10	10	5	12	2	5	3	4.19

Table 2. Correlation co-efficient between incidence of different insect pests in groundnut and castor and weather parameters during kharif and rabi season, 2020-21 in Salem district (Roving Survey)

Pests	Mean Popl./Damage	Weather Parameter correlation Coefficient					
		Max Temp	Min Temp	RH (%) I	RH (%) II	Wind speed	Rainfall (mm)
Groundnut							
Leaffolder	20.38	-0.10626	0.105219	0.139932	0.311421	0.339961	0.47998
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	1.63	0.15517	0.08053	-0.23931	-0.20211	0.16803	-0.24351
Thrips	10.69	-0.23185	-0.28448	0.239618	0.126437	0.090445	-0.43695
Leaf spot	15.25	0.67911	0.261815	-0.6327	-0.79703	-0.42997	-0.56861
Root rot	6.31	-0.17788	-0.15567	0.052243	0.393255	-0.13354	0.433059
Castor							
Whiteflies	10.31	0.490967	0.280759	-0.27483	-0.20635	0.112066	0.389125
<i>Achaea janata</i>	2.36	-0.38484	-0.34896	0.184264	0.165217	0.562241	0.015138
<i>Euproctisspp</i>	2.5	0.116513	-0.26484	-0.21018	-0.14755	-0.4291	-0.32174
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	1.56	-0.37052	-0.18248	0.61212	0.42835	0.15260	0.49415
Thrips	6.88	-0.33743	-0.28528	0.570641	0.168014	0.18139	-0.34248
<i>Conogethespunctiferalis</i>	5.81	0.115307	-0.10983	0.122799	-0.15143	-0.45745	-0.40291
Alternaria Blight	9.00	-0.16147	-0.48214	0.149615	0.031256	-0.36902	-0.48539
Botrytis rot	4.19	-0.39302	-0.10038	0.327876	0.469101	0.059823	0.040183

Table 3. Occurrence and intensity of pests in groundnut and castor during kharif and rabi season, 2020-21 in Salem district (Fixed Plot Survey)

Pests	Number per 20 plants/Per cent damage or infected																Mean
	1 FN Aug	2 FN Aug	1 FN Sep	2 FN Sep	1 FN Oct	2 FN Oct	1 FN Nov	2 FN Nov	1 FN Dec	2 FN Dec	1 FN Jan	2 FN Jan	1 FN Feb	2 FN Feb	1 FN Mar	2 FN Mar	
Groundnut																	
Leaffolder (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	11	12	12	16	9	5	14	11.38
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	1.25
Thrips	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	12	11	15	6	6	17	3	11.50
Leaf spot (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	12	19	30	45	40	43	37	30.38
Root rot (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.88
Castor																	
Whiteflies	25	12	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	28	35	33	10.31
<i>Achaea janata</i>	0	10	2	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	0	0	2.36
<i>Euproctisspp</i>	0	0	0	0	30	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.50
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	0	0	0	0	18	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.56
Thrips	0	0	0	0	0	12	30	24	26	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.88
<i>Conogethespunctiferalis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	10	8	14	12	4	16	12	5.81
Grasshopper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
Alternaria Blight (%)	2	2	15	12	20	12	12	14	15	12	15	13	0	0	0	0	9.00
Botrytis rot (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	13	10	10	5	12	2	5	3	4.19

Table 4. Correlation co-efficient between incidence of different insect pests in groundnut and castor and weather parameters during kharif and rabi season, 2020-21 in Salem district (Fixed Plot Survey)

Pests	Mean Popul./Damage	Weather Parameter correlation Coefficient					
		Max Temp	Min Temp	RH (%) I	RH (%) II	Wind speed	Rainfall (mm)
Groundnut							
Leaf folder	11.38	-0.10878	0.03029	0.01907	0.09660	0.03748	0.07537
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	1.25	0.48476	0.19355	-0.51234	-0.52606	-0.53311	-0.42885
Thrips	11.50	-0.52612	-0.55616	0.65914	0.59250	0.30029	0.57456
Leaf spot	30.38	0.88308	0.57635	-0.88970	-0.97087	-0.83541	-0.89216
Root rot	7.88	-0.54669	-0.31446	0.56796	0.77965	0.69851	0.82709
Castor							
Whiteflies	10.31	0.67516	0.61609	-0.65242	-0.71370	-0.01589	-0.29506
<i>Achaea janata</i>	2.36	-0.34983	-0.33994	0.25650	0.10122	0.08512	-0.20192
<i>Euproctisspp</i>	2.50	0.09341	0.06881	-0.15623	0.13744	-0.11365	0.11689
<i>Spodoptrealitura</i>	1.56	0.04158	0.11861	0.06515	0.24170	-0.11661	0.31510
Thrips	6.88	-0.47196	-0.26024	0.56400	0.65793	-0.01649	0.37354
<i>Conogethespunctiferalis</i>	5.81	0.29982	-0.11691	-0.15218	-0.38920	-0.45141	-0.68997
Alternaria Blight	9.00	0.04294	-0.23752	0.19991	0.10568	-0.29538	-0.42145
Botrytis rot	4.19	-0.43354	-0.54435	0.52732	0.30684	-0.17899	-0.19100

three pests, leaffolder, *S. litura* and thrips and two diseases, leaf spot and root rot and in castor crop revealed that six insect pests, whiteflies, *A. janata*, *Euproctisspp*, *S. litura*, thrips and *C. punctiferalis* and two diseases alternaria blight and botrytis rot found feeding /infecting on different parts of the crop (Table 3). The incidence of thrips and root rot (22/20pls and 28-35%) were found to be maximum during the month of December and leaf spot from second fort night of January to March (30-45%). In castor, the whiteflies incidence was high during vegetative stage (August-September) and found to decline due to intermittent rainfall and again flareup during the month of February and March (12-35 /20 pls) but the incidence of thrips was found be high during October to December (12-30/20 pls.). The blight in castor was recorded from August to January (2-20%) and rot from the month of November (2-13%). In fixed plot survey in castor, correlation co- efficient revealed that incidence of leaffolder was not influenced by weather but *S. litura* and leafspot by temperature (0.48476 and 0.88308), relative humidity, wind speed and rainfall had positive correlation on thrips and root rot (0.65914 and 0.77965, 0.57456 and 0.82709) in groundnut. The whiteflies incidence in cotton was positively correlated with temperature (0.67516) where as thrips,rot and *S. Litura* by relative humidity (0.65793, 0.52732 and 0.24170) and rainfall on thrips and *S. litura* (0.37354 and 0.31510) (Selvaraj *et al.* [10] and Lakshmi and Reddy, [11] but blight not have any correlation with weather parameters (Table 4). The relationship between castor pests and ambient weather parameters revealed minimum temperature, humidity, wind speed and wind direction were significant negative influence lepidopteran pest population according to Manjunatha, and his co workers [12].

4. CONCLUSION

In groundnut rainfall, relative humidity and wind speed had influenced significantly the incidence of leaffolder rainfall and relative humidity on root rot and maximum temperature on leafspot in groundnut. The whiteflies incidence in castor was positively correlated with temperature and rainfall where as lepidopteran pest, *S. litura* and blight by relative humidity and rainfall. The thrips and rot was influenced by relative humidity and rainfall, but blight not have any correlation with weather parameters.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Pedigo LP. Entomology and pest management. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi. 4th edition. 2004;175-210.
2. Prasad SG, Logiswaran G. Influence of weather factors on population fluctuation of insect pest of brinjal at Madurai, Tamil Nadu. Indian J. Ent. 1997;59:385-388.
3. Harish G, Nataraja MV, Poonam Jasrotia, PrasannaHolajjer SD. Savaliya and Meera Gajera. Impact of weather on the occurrence pattern of insect pests on groundnut. Legume Research. 2014; 38(4):524-535.
4. Nandagopal V, Geetha N, Gedia MV. Evaluation of sampling procedure for leafhopper and thrips in groundnut. J. Entomol. Res. 2007;31(4):279-284.
5. Lakshminarayana M. Eco-friendly management of insect pests of castor. In: Research and Development of castor: Present status and future strategies (Ed. D. M.Hegde). 2010;141.
6. Jayanthi M, Singh KM, Singh RN. Population buildup of insect pests on MH-4 variety of groundnutinfluenced by abiotic factors. Indian J. Ento. 1993;55:109-123.
7. Ratnapara HC, Shek AM, Patel JR, Patel NM. Effect of weather parameters on brinjaljassid, *Amrasca biguttula* biguttulalshida. Gujarat Agril. University Res. J. 1994;19:39-43.
8. Ruba Mangala R, Padmapriya A. Predicting the outbreak of tikka and rust in groundnut (*ArachisHypogaea*).International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878. 2020;8(3):1862-1867.
9. Rahman SM, Vijayalakshmi K, Durga Rani ChH, Ameer S, Basha Srinivas C. Survey on major insect pests of groundnut in Southern Telangana zone. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(9):291-296.
10. Selvaraj S, Adiroubane D, Ramesh V, Narayanan AL. Impact of ecological factors on incidence and development of tobacco cut worm, *Spodopteralitura*F. on cotton. J. Biopesticides. 2010;1:043-046.
11. Lakshmi Narayanamma V, Dharma Reddy K. Weather based insect pest forewarning

- models in castor.Indian J. of Pl. Prot. 2015;43(1):49-53.
12. Manjunatha KL, Ganiger PC, Jahir Basha CR. Population dynamics of pests infesting castor and their natural enemies in Southern Karnataka. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2019; 7(1):238-243.

© 2023 Kanna et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106796>