



Effect of Inorganic Fertilizer and Spacing on the Plant Growth of Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis*) under Tamil Nadu Agro-Climatic Conditions

V. A. Samant^{a++}, C. Indu Rani^{b#*}, K. A. Shanmugasundaram^{a†},
P. Janaki^{c‡}, M. Djanaguiraman^{d^}, R. Neelavathi^e
and Patil S. G.^{ft}

^a Department of Fruit Science, HC & RI, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

^b Department of Vegetable Science, HC & RI, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

^c Nammazhvar Organic Farming Research Centre, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

^d Department of Crop Physiology, AC & RI, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

^e ICAR - KVK, TNAU, Tindivanam, Villupuram, India.

^f Department of Physical Science and Information Technology, AEC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102794

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105449>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/06/2023

Accepted: 23/08/2023

Published: 28/08/2023

⁺⁺ PG Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Assistant Professor;

[‡] Professor;

[^] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ci76@tnau.ac.in;

ABSTRACT

The dragon fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis*) is a new emerging fruit crop, there is very less information available on the spacing and fertilizer dose requirements of this crop so the present study was carried out to find the effect of fertilizer doses, spacings, and interaction effect of both on plant growth of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis*) at the Orchard, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. during the year 2022. The design of the experiment was a split plot with four main plots viz. $M_1 = 3.5 \times 2.0 \text{ m}^2$, $M_2 = 3.0 \times 3.0 \text{ m}^2$, $M_3 = 3.0 \times 2.5 \text{ m}^2$, $M_4 = 2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ m}^2$ and six sub plots viz. $S_1 = N_{540} P_{420} K_{360}$, $S_2 = N_{495} P_{385} K_{330}$, $S_3 = N_{450} P_{350} K_{300}$, $S_4 = N_{340} P_{260} K_{225}$, $S_5 = N_{225} P_{175} K_{150}$, $S_6 = N_0 P_0 K_0$ g per pillar was applied and replicate four times. A significant difference was observed in terms of vine length (m), cladode girth (cm), cladode length (cm), and cladode number when different doses of fertilizers were applied. The highest vine length (2.96), cladode girth (24.52), cladode length (67.21), and number of cladodes (67.48) were observed in $S_3 (N_{450} P_{350} K_{300})$. Similarly, the highest vine length (2.503) and cladode girth (19.78) were observed in $M_4 (2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ m}^2)$. The highest cladode length (67.47) was observed in treatment combination $M_2 S_3$. Hence, the application of fertilizer dose $S_3 (N_{450} P_{350} K_{300})$ and adoption of spacing $M_4 (2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ m}^2)$ is good to enhance the vegetative growth characters of dragon fruit under Tamil Nadu conditions.

Keywords: Dragon fruit; NPK dose; spacing; vegetative growth; vine; cladode.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dragon fruit is a perennial climbing cactus in the family Cactaceae ($2n=22$), *Hylocereus costaricensis* (Web.) Britton and Rose. The Greek word 'hyle' (which means woody) and the Latin word 'cereus' (which means waxen) are combined to form the scientific name for dragon fruit. Dragon fruit is one of the most attractive and nutrient dense fruit crops in the world. It first originated from Mexico, Central and South America [1-3]. The cultivation of dragon fruit is expanding in India, where it was first introduced in the late 1990s (mostly in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, and West Bengal). The flower is too lovely, so people impersonate it as a noblewoman and the night's reigning queen.

Due to its abundance in phytochemicals, antioxidants, lipids, carbs, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, potassium, phylocactin, hylocerenin, and betacyanin with 5-O- or 6-O-glycosides, dragon fruit provides several health benefits. In those with type 2 diabetes, dragon fruits reduce blood sugar levels. It helps with heart issues, carbohydrate metabolism, bone and tooth health, blood and tissue formation, respiratory tract infections, immune system strength, quicker wound and bruise healing, and even as a mixed laxative due to its high fiber content. It also helps prevent colon cancer, improve kidney function, and lengthen eye focal length. It has B group vitamins, which are crucial for good health (B1, B2, and B3). Due to its high antioxidant value,

vitamins, and mineral content as well as its economic value and delightful red or pink colour has recently drawn much attention among Indian growers [4,5].

The areas with little rainfall, it is highly ideal to grow in most of India. The ideal temperature range for dragon fruit plants is a tropical climate with an average temperature of 20°C to 29°C, while they may survive brief periods of 38°C to 40°C and as low as 0°C [6]. Locations with high rainfall are unsuitable for this crop since it leads to fruit and flower wilting [7,8]. Dragon fruit may be grown on virtually any soil, however sandy loam soils that are rich in organic matter and have a slightly acidic character (pH 5.5 to 6.5) are the best.

Due to the no application of NPK fertilizer and improper spacing may cause poor growth and development of the plant. Since not much data is available on the effect of fertilizers and spacing on dragon fruit crop. Judicious application of fertilizers and planting at proper spacing may cause good growth and development of dragon fruit plants. There is no study has been done yet under Tamil Nadu climatic conditions regarding this aspect. It is necessary to know the optimum nutrient requirement, spacing, and performance of the dragon fruit under Tamil Nadu conditions for commercial exploitation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in 2022 at dragon fruit Orchard, Horticultural College and

Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. To find out the effect of inorganic fertilizers and spacings on plant growth of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis*) under Tamil Nadu conditions. The soil was sandy clay loam predominant plain soil, with a pH of 8.02 and EC 0.25 dsm⁻¹. The design of the experiment was a split plot design with four main plot treatments and six sub plot treatments including control replicated four times. Main plot treatments viz. M₁ = 3.5 x 2.0 m², M₂ = 3.0 x 3.0 m², M₃ = 3.0 x 2.5 m², M₄ = 2.5 x 2.5 m² and the sub plot treatments viz. S₁ = N₅₄₀ P₄₂₀ K₃₆₀, S₂ = N₄₉₅ P₃₈₅ K₃₃₀, S₃ = N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀, S₄ = N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅, S₅ = N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀, S₆ = N₀ P₀ K₀ g per pole. For all the treatments 10 kg of FYM per pillar was applied. The fertilizers were applied in four split applications during the second to third week of February, March, April, and June. The source of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium was Urea, Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP), and Muriate of Potash (MOP) respectively. The vegetative growth parameters viz. cladode length (cm), cladode girth (cm), number of cladodes per pole, and vine length (m) were recorded according to the standard method. Recorded data was statistically analyzed with the help of R studio, OPSTAT, and MS Excel.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vine Length (m)

It was observed that the vine length of dragon fruit differed significantly due to spacings. The highest vine length (2.503 m) was recorded in M₄ (2.5 x 2.5 m²), which was significantly higher than the vine lengths which was recorded in M₁ (3.5 x 2.0 m²), M₂ (3.0 x 3.0 m²) and M₃ (3.0 x 2.5 m²). The treatments M₁ and M₂ are on par with each other. The lowest vine length of (2.49 m) was observed in treatment M₃ (3.0 x 2.5 m²). Similarly, it was disclosed that vine length differ significantly due to the different fertilizer doses. The highest vine length (2.96 m) was observed in S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) and was significantly higher than in other treatments. The treatments S₁ (N₅₄₀ P₄₂₀ K₃₆₀) and S₂ (N₄₉₅ P₃₈₅ K₃₃₀) are on par with each other. The lowest vine length (2.27 m) was observed in treatment S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀). There was no significant difference due to spacing and fertilizer dose combinations in respect of vine length. The highest vine length (2.503 m) was observed in M₄ (2.5 x 2.5 m²). Dragon fruit is shallow rooted crop and its roots are mostly confined up to 40 cm to 60 cm from the pole the

distance of 2.5 m between two plants is sufficient for its growth and the intermingling of two plants' roots is also not done in this spacing this may be the reason for good vine growth. The highest vine length (2.96 m) was observed in S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) this may be due to the optimum dose of nitrogenous fertilizer. As the fertilizer dose goes increases or decreases the length of the vine also going decrease. When comparing S₄ (N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅), S₅ (N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀), and S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀) fertilizer doses applied to plants the vine length goes decreasing this may be due to a decline in nitrogen level. The lowest vine length (2.27 m) was observed in treatment S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀) this may be due to the no application of nitrogenous fertilizer. The aforementioned outcomes closely match those that were reported by Dhillon et al., [9], Chakma et al., [10], Srichandan et al., [11], Shreenivas et al., [12], Lodhi et al., [13], Sandeep Kumar et al., [14], Sangeeta Shree et al., [15] and Verma et al., [16].

3.2 Cladode Girth (cm)

The highest value of cladode girth (19.78 cm) was observed in spacing M₄ (2.5 x 2.5 m²). The other three spacings M₁, M₂, and M₃ are on par with each other. Similarly, it was observed that cladode girth differs significantly due to fertilizer doses. The highest value of cladode girth (24.52 cm) was observed in the treatment S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) followed by S₁ (N₅₄₀ P₄₂₀ K₃₆₀), S₂ (N₄₉₅ P₃₈₅ K₃₃₀), S₄ (N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅), S₅ (N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀), S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀). The lowest cladode girth (14.43 cm) was observed in S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀). There was no significant difference due to spacing and fertilizer dose combinations in respect of cladode girth. The highest cladode girth (19.78 cm) was observed in spacing M₄ (2.5 x 2.5 m²) this may be due to the proper sunlight and shading to plants as compared to other spacings which support good plant growth. The highest cladode girth (24.52 cm) was observed in the treatment S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) this may be due to the optimum fertilizer dose, as the fertilizer dose goes increases or decreases the cladode girth decreases. When comparing S₄ (N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅), S₅ (N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀), and S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀) fertilizer doses applied to plants the cladode girth goes decreasing this may be due to a decline in nitrogen level. Similar results regarding vegetative growth were reported by Perez et al., [17], Singh et al., [18], Al-Harathi et al., [19], Chakma et al., [10], and Tammana Perween and Hasan [20].

Table 1. Effect of spacing on vegetative growth parameters of dragon fruit

Treatments	Vine length	Cladode girth	Cladode length	Cladode number
M ₁	2.493 ^{ab}	19.05 ^b	60.88 ^b	59.43 ^a
M ₂	2.498 ^{ab}	19.12 ^b	61.37 ^{ab}	59.07 ^a
M ₃	2.490 ^b	18.89 ^b	61.83 ^a	58.76 ^a
M ₄	2.503 ^a	19.78 ^a	61.30 ^{ab}	59.41 ^a
SE d	0.004	0.231	0.363	0.494
CD (0.05)	0.010	0.522	0.821	1.117

Table 2. Effect of inorganic fertilizer doses on vegetative growth parameters of dragon fruit

Treatments	Vine length	Cladode girth	Cladode length	Cladode number
S ₁	2.46 ^b	21.96 ^b	64.54 ^b	59.50 ^c
S ₂	2.45 ^b	21.24 ^c	62.23 ^c	62.25 ^b
S ₃	2.96 ^a	24.52 ^a	67.21 ^a	67.48 ^a
S ₄	2.43 ^c	16.97 ^d	60.27 ^d	58.45 ^c
S ₅	2.40 ^d	16.18 ^e	58.14 ^e	56.15 ^d
S ₆	2.27 ^e	14.43 ^f	55.68 ^f	51.18 ^e
SE d	0.006	0.339	0.591	0.735
CD (0.05)	0.012	0.679	1.182	1.470

Table 3. Effect of the interaction of spacing and inorganic fertilizer doses on vegetative growth parameters of dragon fruit

Treatments	Vine length	Cladode girth	Cladode length	Cladode number
M ₁ S ₁	2.46 ^{cd}	21.36 ^{de}	64.35 ^b	60.46 ^{cde}
M ₁ S ₂	2.45 ^{cde}	20.87 ^e	58.95 ^{cde}	61.82 ^{cd}
M ₁ S ₃	2.97 ^{ab}	23.63 ^{bc}	67.38 ^a	68.36 ^a
M ₁ S ₄	2.42 ^{fghi}	17.55 ^f	60.48 ^c	57.49 ^{fgh}
M ₁ S ₅	2.39 ^j	16.48 ^{fg}	58.70 ^{cde}	57.52 ^{fgh}
M ₁ S ₆	2.27 ^k	14.44 ^l	55.45 ^f	50.91 ⁱ
M ₂ S ₁	2.47 ^c	22.34 ^{cd}	64.45 ^b	59.46 ^{def}
M ₂ S ₂	2.46 ^{cd}	21.50 ^{de}	63.35 ^b	63.20 ^{bc}
M ₂ S ₃	2.95 ^b	24.40 ^{ab}	67.47 ^a	67.59 ^a
M ₂ S ₄	2.44 ^{efg}	16.56 ^{fg}	59.57 ^{cd}	57.64 ^{e fgh}
M ₂ S ₅	2.41 ^{hij}	16.37 ^{fgh}	57.33 ^{def}	55.63 ^h
M ₂ S ₆	2.27 ^k	13.59 ^l	56.03 ^f	50.91 ⁱ
M ₃ S ₁	2.45 ^{cde}	21.62 ^{de}	65.32 ^{ab}	59.31 ^{def}
M ₃ S ₂	2.44 ^{def}	20.94 ^e	63.48 ^b	62.08 ^{cd}
M ₃ S ₃	2.96 ^{ab}	24.55 ^{ab}	66.90 ^a	66.06 ^{ab}
M ₃ S ₄	2.43 ^{efgh}	16.31 ^{fgh}	60.40 ^c	58.23 ^{efgh}
M ₃ S ₅	2.40 ^{ij}	15.37 ^{ghi}	59.35 ^{cde}	55.35 ^h
M ₃ S ₆	2.26 ^k	14.55 ^{ij}	55.56 ^f	51.53 ⁱ
M ₄ S ₁	2.46 ^{cd}	22.50 ^{cd}	64.06 ^b	58.76 ^{efg}
M ₄ S ₂	2.45 ^{cde}	21.64 ^{de}	63.14 ^b	61.91 ^{cd}
M ₄ S ₃	2.97 ^a	25.49 ^a	67.12 ^a	67.89 ^a
M ₄ S ₄	2.45 ^{cde}	17.47 ^f	60.63 ^c	60.46 ^{cde}
M ₄ S ₅	2.41 ^{ghij}	16.49 ^{fg}	57.19 ^{ef}	56.09 ^{gh}
M ₄ S ₆	2.27 ^k	15.12 ^{hi}	55.69 ^f	51.37 ⁱ
SE d	0.012	0.679	1.182	1.470
CD (0.05)	0.024	1.358	2.363	2.940

3.3 Cladode Length (cm)

It was observed that due to the fertilizer doses, cladode length differ significantly. The highest

cladode length (67.21 cm) value was observed in S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) followed by S₁ (N₅₄₀ P₄₂₀ K₃₆₀), S₂ (N₄₉₅ P₃₈₅ K₃₃₀), S₄ (N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅), S₅ (N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀), S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀). The lowest cladode

length (55.68 cm) was observed in S_6 ($N_0 P_0 K_0$). There is no significant difference in cladode length due to spacings. The interaction effect between spacings and fertilizer doses was found to be significant in respect of cladode length. The highest cladode length (67.47 cm) was observed in M_2S_3 Followed by M_1S_3 , M_4S_3 , and M_3S_3 which were 67.38 cm, 67.12 cm, and 66.90 cm respectively. The treatment combinations M_2S_3 , M_1S_3 , M_4S_3 , and M_3S_3 are on par with each other. The lowest cladode length (55.45 cm) value was observed in treatment combination M_1S_6 . The highest cladode length (67.21 cm) was observed in the treatment S_3 ($N_{450} P_{350} K_{300}$) this may be due to the optimum fertilizer dose, as the fertilizer

dose goes increases or decreases the cladode length decreases. When comparing S_4 ($N_{340} P_{260} K_{225}$), S_5 ($N_{225} P_{175} K_{150}$), and S_6 ($N_0 P_0 K_0$) fertilizer doses applied to plants the cladode length goes decreasing this may be due to a decline in nitrogen level. The highest cladode length (67.47 cm) was observed in the treatment combination of M_2S_3 . The treatment M_1S_3 , M_2S_3 , M_3S_3 , and M_4S_3 are on par with each other this may be due to the application of the optimum dose of fertilizer. The above results are in closely match those reported by Chakma et al. [10], Sharma et al. [21], Correa et al. [22], Tammana Perween and Hasan [20], and Priya et al. [23].




Fig. 1. Effect of treatment combinations on vine length (m)




Fig. 2. Effect of treatment combinations on cladode girth (cm)




Fig. 3. Effect of treatment combinations on cladode length (cm)




Fig. 4. Effect of treatment combinations on cladode number (units)



Pic. 1. Field view

3.4 Cladode Number (Units)

There was no significant difference between cladode numbers due to spacings. It was observed that there is a significant difference between the cladode number due to fertilizer doses. The highest number of cladodes (47.48) was observed in S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) followed by S₂ (N₄₉₅ P₃₈₅ K₃₃₀), S₁ (N₅₄₀ P₄₂₀ K₃₆₀), S₄ (N₃₄₀ P₂₆₀ K₂₂₅), S₅ (N₂₂₅ P₁₇₅ K₁₅₀), S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀) and that are 62.25, 59.50, 58.45, 56.15 and 51.18 respectively. The treatments S₁ and S₄ are on par with each other. There was no significant difference between cladode numbers due to spacings and fertilizer dose interaction effect. The highest cladode number (67.48) was observed in the treatment S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) this may be due to sprouting after getting the optimum dose of fertilizer. As the fertilizer dose goes increases as well as decreases the cladode number also decreases. The lowest cladode number (51.18) was observed in S₆ (N₀ P₀ K₀) this may be due to no application of fertilizers. The above findings are supported by Chakma et al., [10] and Kumar et al., [14], [24,25].

4. CONCLUSION

Dragon fruit is a shallow rooted fruit crop hence it requires judicious application of fertilizers and proper spacing for good vegetative growth. If there is good vegetative growth is responsible for getting good yield and quality fruits. The outcome from this experiment revealed that the adoption of spacing M₄ (2.5 x 2.5 m²) and application of NPK dose S₃ (N₄₅₀ P₃₅₀ K₃₀₀) g/ pillar with 10 kg of FYM would be optimum for good vegetative growth of dragon fruit under Tamil Nadu condition.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Britton NL, Rose JN. "Descriptions and illustrations of plants of the cactus family." Cactaceae. 1963;4:1-318.
2. Morton JF. "Cactaceae, strawberry pear." Fruits of warm climates; 1987.
3. Mizrahi Yosef, Avinoam Nerd. "Climbing and columnar cacti: New arid land fruit crops." Perspectives on new crops and new uses. 1999;1:358-366.
4. Ruzainah Ali Jaafar, Ridhwan Ahmad, Zaini Nor, Vasudevan R. "Proximate analysis of dragon fruit (*Hyclecerus polyhizus*)." American Journal of Applied Sciences. 2009;6(7):1341-1346.
5. Rebecca OPS, Amru Nasrulhaq Boyce, Chandran S. "Pigment identification and antioxidant properties of red dragon fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*)." African Journal of Biotechnology. 2010;9(10):1450-1454.
6. Karunakaran G, Tripathi PC, Sankar V, Sakthivel T, Senthilkumar R. "Dragon fruit: A new introduction crop to India: A potential market with promising future." In Abstract In Proceeding: National Seminar on Strategies for Conservation, Improvement, and Utilization of Underutilized Fruits on. 2014:138-139.
7. Karunakaran G, Arivalagan M, Sriram S. "Dragon fruit country report from India." "Dragon Fruit Network: Marketing and the Whole Value Chain" and Steering Committee Meeting; 2019.
8. Karunakaran G, Arivalagan M. "Dragon fruit-a new introduction crop with the promising market." Indian Horticulture. 2019;63(1):8-11.
9. Dhillon WS, Gill PPS, Singh NP. "Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth, yield, and quality of pomegranate'Kandhari'." Acta Horticulturae. 2011;890(890):327-332.
10. Chakma SP, Harunor Rashid ASM, Roy S, Islam M. "Effect of NPK doses on the yield of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus costaricensis* [FAC Weber] Britton & Rose) in Chittagong Hill tracts." American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences. 2014;14(6):521-526.
11. Srichandan S, Mangaraj AK, Behera KK, Panda D, Das AK, Rout M. "Growth, yield, and economics of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. Italica) as influenced by organic and inorganic nutrients." International Journal of Agriculture, Environment, and Biotechnology. 2015;8(4):965.
12. Shreenivas BV, Ravi MV, Latha HS. "Effect of targeted yield approaches on growth, yield, yield attributes, and nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays* L.)-chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cropping sequence in UKP command area of Karnataka." Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2017;12(1):143-150.
13. Lodhi P, Singh D, Tiwari A. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilizers on yield

- and economics of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Italica*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6:562-566.
14. Kumar Sandeep, Saravanan S, Sandeep Singh, Bhardwaj AK, Neeraj Kumar. "Effect of NPK and organic manure on establishment and plant growth of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*) under Allahabad agro climatic condition Cv. Red flesh." International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(3):3146-3148.
 15. Shree Sangeeta, Champa Lal Regar, Fiza Ahmad, Vijay Kumar Singh, Ritu Kumari, Amrita Kumari. "Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of hybrid bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.)." Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(4):2256-2266.
 16. Verma RS, Rubee Lata, Ram RB, Verma SS, Som Prakash. "Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on vegetative characters of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) plant." The Pharma Innovation Journal 2019;8(6):726-728.
 17. Perez A, Vargas D. "Effect of fertilizer level and planting distance on soil pH, growth, fruit size, disease incidence, yield and profit of two papaya varieties." J. Agrie. Univ. PR. 1977;61:68-76.
 18. Singh Kirad K, Barche S, Singh DB. "Integrated nutrient management in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Surya." In II International Symposium on Papaya. 2008;851:377-380.
 19. Al-Harathi Khalid, Rashid Al-Yahyai. "Effect of NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of banana in Northern Oman." Journal of Horticulture and Forestry. 2009;1(8):160-167.
 20. Perween Tamanna, Abu Hasan. "Growth, yield, and quality of dragon fruit as influenced by NPK fertilization." Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2019;76(1):180-183.
 21. Sharma Asheesh, Prerak Bhatnagar. "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth attributes in custard apple cv. Arka Sahan." Progressive Horticulture. 2014;46(2):227-231.
 22. Corrêa Márcio Cleber de Medeiros, Edmilson Igor Bernardo Almeida, Virna Braga Marques, Júlio César do Vale Silva, Boanerges Freire de Aquino. "Early growth of dragon fruit due to combinations of phosphorus-zinc." Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2014;36:261-270.
 23. Priya B, Kurubar AR, Ashok H, Ramesh G, Udaykumar N, Umesh MR, Rajakumar H. "Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth and yield of custard apple (*Annonas squamosa* L.) Cv. Balanagar; 2022.
 24. Perween Tamanna, Mandal KK, Hasan MA. "Dragon fruit: An exotic super future fruit of India." Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(2):1022-1026.
 25. Perween Tamanna, Hasan MA. "Effect of different dose of NPK on flower phenology of dragon fruit." Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(5):2189-2194.

© 2023 Samant et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105449>